Call Meeting to Order at 6:00 pm
Roll Call
Review Previous Minutes
  o February 13th, 2014

Rowe: Move to Approve.
Kirby: Seconded.
Minutes Approved.

Move into Public Comment.

Kia: Letters and science, ASMSU support of nondiscrimination ordinance, no laws that protect Montanans on discrimination, city has internal, equal employment opportunity, people not protected. No documented cases of discrimination lack of reports. 90% transgender, 40% gay. Religious freedom is not entitled to discriminate. By giving rights to another do not lose rights.

Dale: Move to extend public comment to at least three minutes per person or until they are done.

Kia: Instill virtue into community. Voices speak volumes, by voting against you support discrimination, there is no question about that. Tonight is the choice, understand the impact of your voice.

Joe: Two weeks from today I’ll be a bobcat for the last 30 years. After serving 10 years in military. Due to equality and community here, I chose to raise my family. ASMSU is committed to provided quality services, involving needs and interest of students, qualifications ASMSU activity paying student, Bozeman student is anyone enrolled at MSU. There is no discrimination to these qualifications. You represent everybody. I was raised in a church as well, they say love your neighbor, not love them conditionally.

Alex Patterson: Speaking on behalf of QSA, queer straight alliance, tonight I am hoping will make wise decision to pass this bill. I represent QSA and myself to pass this, I was bullied in high school and had to quit my job. This is not about religion; it is about fairness, equality and the betterment of Bozeman. It is difficult to document something that is legal. I urge you to pass this resolution.

Cassidy: I consider it an honor and a travesty to be in this room. I do remember what discrimination looks like, I am part Indian and I remember being told to leave restaurants to make me leave because I was colored. I remember when king marched and was shot. I know it well. I am a transgender; I started a group here called TMSU. I stand here very distressed that there are people opposed to the
concept. I get to discriminate if I don’t vote for this. You can tell people they are not equal but we already fought this war. You can sit here and disagree, read the constitution. You cannot read that and vote against this. I was fundamental in passing ordinates to bringing it to many places bringing it to the MSU system. Be on the right side of history; don’t screw this up. We already fought it.

Caleb: Chemical engineer, we have vested rights. Vested rights are those that we can choose they are ranked in importance. Evidence has shown that sexual orientation is anything but a choice. Is this asking business owners to supply bathrooms to people that violate religious beliefs or make them uncomfortable? Businesses are property and should be protected; when you go and tell a business how to run their business it is placing a bigger importance on only one side. I eagerly advocate that that is wrong, a person’s property is a right to himself or herself. These people, choosing, they can go and provide another service. This is not denying them one of their ... rights. It is so much harder for a businessman to leave town or the country rather than these people just going somewhere else. I asked that you do not support this.

Lana: Letters and science, previous senator. Come from a spirit of concern not of hate. It may protect a certain group but it hurts the rights of others. Current businesses have been closed down because they do not support others’ personal beliefs. Please consider these points and vote against this resolution. The NDO has not been drafted tonight. There is a vast amount of support that is against this. I beg you to consider carefully when voting for this.

Kendall Miller: Business. This resolution is in support of the ordinates that have not been passed yet; you are signing a blank check. You are saying on behalf of MSU that this support is definite even though not written yet.

Patrick: Letters and science, in opposition of ordinance, chaplain of.. at MSU. We do not know what is in this ordinance. It really seems foolish to sign a blank check giving to city commission not knowing what is in the ordinates. I strongly urge you to vote against this.

Helen Smith: Political Science, Christian fellowship, peoples’ views depend on what they believe to see as true, this would infringe on our ability to live out what we perceive as truth.

Matthew: Chi Alpha. Survey found that LGBT made 60,000 versus 50,000, it was pro-LGBT. They also found that the unemployment rate was only 7 percent. There is obviously no barrier to someone who is functioning in society if they go out into society to do it. I work with a homosexual and we have no problem working together. I do not think that the average person would treat someone with discrimination. It would cause more problems. If I really did not want to hire someone, I could find a way around it.

Jess: Against. I think, what the heck does discrimination mean. It is open to definition. Someone could hijack the unwritten ordinates and use them for other
means. At the end of the day there are so many examples of this being abused. A photo owner refused to take pictures of someone and faced over 6000 in fees going against discrimination. Someone is facing jail time for refusing a facility to gay couple. The LGBT community, they are singling out individuals, this will not judge behavior but beliefs, tolerance is one thing but cheerful acceptance is another. We know that the goal is acceptance. There are a lot of people that do not want this ordinance. This ordinance intimidates people in the community. It uses opinion to offend religious opinion.

Larcy: I believe that members of the LGBT community should not be discriminated against. Instead of looking to promote this, it furthers division. It furthers them out and gives people a reason to complain. As this is not in writing yet, there are those that could take advantage of this. I work as a nurse so I have a perspective on the discrimination that occurs in the community. There are people that would take advantage of this situation. This needs to be addressed first because this is a safety issue. Please do not support this ordinance.

Jordan: Letters and science, I think this is awesome. I am a Christian. I do not feel threatened by this. There comes a time to just get over it, and change takes time. With education we can teach students not to abuse it, if we can not be inclusive of students how they can feel accepted and at home.

Mary: Letters and science, this is not an issue of liberal or conservative. Who is allowed to have the power over this? To quantify someone’s right about truth is not right. You cannot quantify identity. Vote in favor of not making rules, and vote against this resolution.

Mains: Move resolution 2014-R-04 up to the top of our agenda item.

Oak: I caution you that our next presentation is in a rush.

Seconded.

Mains: I move to put it after Tom presents.

Kirby: Seconded.

Cowles: I move to amend amendment to make this resolution first item of unfinished business.

Rowe: Seconded.

Discussion.

Mains: Look around, people are here to hear our decision, I feel like this needs to be put first, after Tom.

Cowles: Out of fairness to the staff members, presentations will take 15 minutes; we can move it to unfinished business.
Dale: Recognize Murdock.

Murdock: One presenter is not here.

Dale: If we move it to the first thing it won’t matter, if they want to hear it they can stay.

Vote on amendment 16-0-1 Nguyen abstains.

In favor of motion 17-0-0

Motion passes.

End of Public Comment.

PRESENTATIONS:

Tom Stump: SOB barn, here to save our barn. We exercise do diligence when you wanted to have concerts in there, and we went in and fixed things such as lighting, doors, steeps. People asked about sprinkling but we don’t have insulation. You have to have a 6 inch water line in the building, we also asked is the building safe if we have to invest hundreds of thousands of dollars in this. The building is stressed horizontally and vertically; wind and snow could crush it. We don’t know about foundation. We closed the building, we asked an architect to secure building to get into use and consider long-term use. If we did the minimum we would have to suggest insulation, heating, cooling. Senate VP green agreed to sit on committee to evaluate the barn business. We will involve students along the way for input. At least 18 months to be closed. 3 months to get architect, 8 months for work, and remainder for work done.

Questions.

Cowles: It is clear that the SOB barn has become a nightmare for everyone. I researched about national registry; there is a loophole to remove it. Has this been an option since it takes several millions to have it open and safe, and make use of the land for a better thing for students?

Tom: The building is on the historical list religions, Montana board of regents, still a number of people alive that treasure the barn. Removing or repurposing it is on the table for the architect right now. The building is stressed, so to repurpose it, it would be hard.

Cowles: The foundation affects the safety, so if we moved it we could have another facility.

Polukoff: Thank you and I’m excited with trying to resurrect it. Students love using that space, true to Montana culture.

Mitchell: I am excited that we can save student organizations that use the SOB barn a lot I would love to be a part of resurrecting the barn.
Kirby: You have a broad process, so if and when we get this renovated, what are the purposes?

Tom: I am thinking a minimum of 1.5 million dollars, and that is to get it up and available for 49 people. The loft is still in tact; the bottom floor has updated bathrooms and conference rooms. Put in an elevator and an outbuilding that would be a staging area for deliveries or catering. We would have storage for chairs and such. 5-7 million dollars.

Miller: Thank you, this is a great project, the barn dances are a lot of fun, I would want to serve on the committee.

Nesbitt: I was wondering if you had the number of what it would cost by itself to put up a sprinkler system?

Tom: About 1.5 million.

End of Questions.

Oak: If you are interested in serving on SOB barn committee talk to Senator Green.

Resolution 2014-R-04.

Mains: Move to Approve.

Kirby: Seconded.

Discussion.

Mains: CJ and I brought this up, we are sponsors, and I honestly did not think that there would be any problem at all. I talked to students all week, posted on Facebook to get student input. I have been going insane with social media. There is a lot that people are confused on. Last year we already passed this through board of regents and code of conduct so technically are free of discrimination, so when they step off of campus why can’t it be the same? Abstaining form the vote is not because you don’t want your name in the minutes, vote for the constituents. There are a lot of issues with talking to senators about the wording; people will try to table this. We should amend this, not table it. Drafting supports us supporting the city versus the adoption. I recognize president Murdock.

Murdock: I arrived late, but I caught that the biggest problem was that we are signing a blank check. I just want to reiterate what Mains says. Support drafting what we want in this, this could be consistent with what we already have moved forward d along with 17 other campuses. It is completely unfair of us to one year put forth a resolution supporting them, and then the next year not supporting these students when they step off the campus. It is despicable to go against something that we already support. Students have come out in support in large of this. Almost unanimous support from campuses and students of this type of resolution. The
students spoke last year it is up to us to work for everyone that would benefit from this.

Mains: I would like to switch wording to use the word drafting in there. I recognize Cowles.

Cowles: Clearly, this is a contentious issue, we saw equal support from both sides a difference in 3 or 4. It is not right for us to come forward and support and make a premature decision without knowing the wording of what we are voting for. I have created an amended document, which I think is a compromise. Bare with me, it is too complicated. I will read the amendments. 2014-R-04. Titled hasn’t changed. Support for the Bozeman city commission creating an LGBTQ inclusive nondiscrimination ordinate. Sponsors: CJ Monteith, Hannah Mains. Date February 20th 2014. Vote necessary majority. Intent: to affirm the support of the students of Montana State University for the creation of a draft by the Bozeman city commission of an LGBTQIA inclusive nondiscrimination ordinates to protect residents of Bozeman in housing, public accommodations, and employment. Whereas the Montana Human Rights Act does not protect gender identity or sexual orientation. And whereas federal policy does not ubiquitously include gender identity or sexual orientation in its nondiscrimination clauses. And whereas all citizens of Bozeman regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation are entitled protections by the city of Bozeman in attaining housing, employment, and public accommodations. And whereas following the enactment of board of regents’ policy 703 and its previous support of ASMSU, the city ordinates be crafted to similarly protect members of the LGBTQ community against discrimination in the city of Bozeman. Therefore let it be solved that the associated students of Montana state university support the creation of a draft of a city wide nondiscrimination ordinate that protects people in Bozeman from discrimination based on gender identity or sexual orientation in the areas of housing, employment, and public accommodations. And therefore let it further be resolved that a copy of this resolution be sent to the Bozeman mayor Jeff Kraus, members of the Bozeman whole city commission, the Bozeman city manager, President Cruzado the president of MSU, local media entities, Lindsey Murdock the ASMSU president, ASMSU exponent, and Matt Caires the Dean of students of MSU.

Mains: I would like to recognize Dunn.

Dunn: I recommend that this body takes a recess to go and type this up and put it on the screen to make sure everyone is on the same page to be thorough with it.

Mains: I move for a five-minute recess to talk about sponsor wise and to get it on the board to see it and make sure changes are what we want.

Nesbitt: Seconded.

15-2-0

Motion passes.
Return to meeting at 7:05 pm.

Convene meeting back at 7:05 pm.

Mains: Move to replace current document with newly edited document that Cowles just edited, replace city of Bozeman adoption, to city of Bozeman draft.

Dale: Seconded.

Discussion.

Dale: Will remaining sponsors still be there?

Cowles: They will remain the same.

Dale: Much more in support for a drafting rather than an adoption, we do not have a poll in the city; this will serve as an olive branch from community to students. 15000 students, we make a huge part of the city. My reservation is that it opens a can of worms that maybe does not need to be opened.

Murdock: I want to reiterate her point. We do have a role to be active in our local government, we state government liaisons, turn this into action and ensure we are being involved in community; we are half of local Bozeman community.

Cowles: Amended document is a good compromise, overwhelming support for this ordinance, of ASMSU, members of university, I am not in support of this ordinance, but this is a good compromise. This addresses concerns that we are not signing blank check. Would anyone want to offer comments on amended document, we are supporting a draft, not a blank check. Butte’s passed last night. In cities in MT this is a rising issue, Bozeman is progressive, this will be popular in coming years, federal policy covers most of these things. The amended document is a wise compromise it acknowledges the LGBT community and a provision. The Helena document was amended several times, I am still not in favor of this but we should move forward with it.

Lana: I don’t support this being written it puts undo privileges on businesses and religious rights; we are being forced into something we don’t believe with. Throughout the state these ordinates have not been used. I don’t support discrimination, but this does not address that on hand.

Cassidy: I have watched a continuous stream of an ongoing rhetoric of misinformation to this body I openly challenge anyone to a one on one on a public debate concerning this. This entire ordinance here, as nice as you are, you're power is limited to campus, you have not drafted and you’re not drafting, you’re not writing into law anything, you are saying that we support the fact that it should be written into city of bozo policy that you cannot discriminate.

Cowles: I appreciate comments, folks should be able to express religious beliefs while conducting private business, interpretation of constitution it does not protect
within private businesses, campuses are progressive, we should move forward and offer support just given this compromise. I agree with how this is written, not the idea itself. This is the most effective compromise. We should move forward.

Rowe: I am speaking in regards to what Cowles just said, mainly because none of us have talked to anybody outside of this room about this resolution, all of this was about the previous one. I am cautious to agree. I motion to table this till next meeting.

Cowles: Seconded.

Placard vote.
10-6-0
Motion passes.
Green: I motion to call for revote.
Mains: Seconded.
Revote.
11-6-0
Motion passes.
Leslie Taylor not here.
Lacey Chapman: Community health major.
Discussion on Questions to Ask.
Rowe: I move that we ask her only “why do you want to be an ASMSU senator”.
Miller: Seconded.
Mains: Amend that to “why do you want to become an ASMSU senator and what is your back ground knowledge of ASMSU and budgeting?”
Green: Motion to amend amendment by making it into two questions.
Erwin: Seconded.
Discussion.
Dale: Yes having two questions is enough, but this is a big decision because if she is not right she does not deserve it.
Barry: People can learn if they are willing to.
Nesbitt: If they are not qualified, this is not the time to get qualified.
Mains: Also, urge you to look at her application it is on last weeks agenda, it has all her stuff on there, read through it.

Vote on amendment of amendment.

17-0-0

Motion passes.

Discussion.

Vote on Questions as amended.

17-0-0

Vote on Questions.

16-0-1 Cowles Abstains.

Miller has 1st question. Rowe has second.

Lacey: I want to get more student involvement, because I did not even know this senate existed. We deal with very important things here.

Miller: Why do you want to become an ASMSU senator?

Lacey: I think it would be really great to be involved and bring in a fresh view point, we decide where a lot of money goes to, get students involved.

Rowe: What is your background knowledge of ASMSU and budgeting?

Lacey: I do not have a lot of knowledge of ASMSU and budgeting, I don't know a lot about it and want to learn about it.

Cowles: That did not go well, I propose if it is all right to ask her, given what you have seen tonight and your small amount of knowledge regarding ASMSU, how does previous experience lend them to you becoming an effective member of this body.

Monteith: Seconded.

Discussion.

Cowles: I do not think we are adequately informed given that presentation. If she gives a good offer then we can move forward being more informed. I do not think we have enough information to discuss.

Miller: I don’t think we should ask her small amount of knowledge; amend his question to say knowledge.

Discussion.

Dale: Can you read it over again?
Cowles: Given what you’ve seen tonight and knowledge you have of ASMSU senate what previous background do you have that would lead to become an effective senator?

Vote on amendment of amendment.

17-0-0

Motion passes.

Discussion of Motion of Additional Question.

Miller: Does she get one minute?

Oak: She takes as long as she wants.

Monteith: She has been involved in Cat-mentoring, having her address this additional question would be helpful.

Erwin: As far as this question keep in mind with where we are, budgeting is around the corner and we don’t have time to help her learn.

Cowles: Which amendment are we in discussion of?

Oak: In discussion about you’re additional question.

Cowles: This question would help, and if we ask this we could engage her ability to be a senator. Her application doesn’t show enough.

Mains: We don’t usually give people with applications a second chance, this isn’t fair, I understand the need for the information, but it is also not fair to other applicants. We do not need to have a senator in this body that you do not like, you have to be able to budget. I recognize Dunn.

Dunn: Clear something Oak, the motion that we are talking about is an amendment, so is there an amendment?

Oak: Amendment was passed. Talking about motion that Cowles put forward: should we ask a person a question after we already asked them questions.

Dunn: I wanted to make it clear that we were talking about a motion.

Mains: Move to previous question.

Rowe: Seconded.

15-3-0

Voting on to ask additional question.

10-7-0
Motion passes.

Cowles: Given what you have seen tonight and your knowledge of ASMSU thus far what do you have to bring to the table that would benefit this body and be an effective senator?

Lacey: I volunteer with two institutions, and I am a mentor in one of those so that would help with leadership.

Oak: Expediency in what we are about to discuss.

Dale: I have concerns with overall professionalism of the candidate. We are at the point where we have been fine with our two EHHD seats, if we don’t think she is right we should not let her in. This will change dynamic and this is serious stuff. I recognize Smith.

Smith: Show respect to her, she is a student that wants to get involved, she is a constituent and could represent a whole another body of people we don’t know about.

Dale: Think about it really hard, and yes treat her as a person.

Oak: I forgot to address to her that there was dress code.

Mains: I personally am concerned with professionalism and how questions were answered, we are going into most important part of our jobs, and it is hard to have people get involved and form an opinion and make that happen. To vote I have not gone through this, if you don’t want to vote for them what do you write on ballot?

Dunn: It would be left blank.

Nesbitt: In an interview if you are charged with compelling... in the event that someone does not express himself or herself of being worthy of being appointed, this would be a reflection of their performance. I would like to yield to Riley, to speak on qualifications of position.

Riley: She is a sophomore, that was kind of rough and for EHHD it is not much more than what you have to do. There is no specific job to do really, my vote that that was tough.

Green: Janet do you know if lacey planned on running this spring?

Janet: Yes.

Green: She could possibly have longer than this year, her dress code knowledge was not there, we need to take into account that we need someone to represent her college and we don’t know if we are going to get another application.

Rowe: I’d be more than happy to help her learn, she wishes to be a part of this body, even if the interview was rough people get better. I vote for her, she has potential.
Oak: Election director needs to be recognized.

Cowles: Yield to Janet.

Janet: I know her application, EHHD are people who care about other people, she is a mentor of big brother big sister and thrive, with her previous positions she works with other students, however I feel like it would be good to find out how much time she has to commit to this.

Cowles: I think she has potential, if we solicit more applications it will just take longer, and later applicants would have an even shorter time to learn. That offensiveness was not cool and it was embarrassing. I yield to Murdock.

Murdock: Let’s move along cause we have more to deal with.

Monteith: She is a student voice and deserves this and she can learn.

Mitchell: I came in a few weeks ago, and I can help her learn. To find out a week ago and agree to get involved is a big thing and step to take. This says a lot about her willingness to learn and be a part of this body.

Mains: She could watch budgeting process and learn for next year, if she wins it doesn’t necessarily matter right now.

Miller: We do have an open position and she represents half of EHHD.

Kirby: Move to previous question.

Rowe: Seconded.

17-0-0

Motion passes.

Direct vote.

13-4

Lacey Chapman is sworn into office.

Oak: I apologize for the amount of time that it took, and for the giggle that we may have had.

No New Business.

Move into admin reports.

Murdock: Our lack of understanding with Robert’s rules is bad, so pick it up. Thank you to all of you, who voted in the last election; be careful about campaigning in the office. We put out calls for apps for program directors, if you know anyone that would be good for the position, recruit and talk to students and put them in contact
with current directors. We have a knot in state call tomorrow, summit planning, not in our house senate, board of regents. We want a huge MSU contingency. We put forth a lot of hard work forth and all training. There is a new exhibit at Museum of the Rockies, we gave some funding to planetarium, so when they do a new show go because we get a discount for helping fund. Day of Student Recognition is coming up, nominate each other, you are all doing incredible things, there are hundreds that could be nominated. Next Thursday and Friday, I will be out of the office both days, I will be at senate meeting though.

Smith: We finished up our primary elections with 6.9%, there are students voting, we need students voting, less than a thousand voted.

Janet: I could use help tabling; I have been neglecting school too much for this.

Smith: It would be great if we could get twice as many votes, any input for next year transitioning for directors that would be awesome, finish year strong, I’ll have numbers for you next week hopefully.

Dunn: Point of order, point of information is not being rude, just refrain from drama. In effort to be transparent, we did finish our exec recommendations, I posted those to opal drive around 3, email from Carmen, she was not happy that she wasn’t consulted with them previous to posting. IF we were to allow groups to give all their presentations it would take too long, be in tune with that conversation about changes made preliminarily to take steps forward to all be on the same page, conversation is continuing on university level, her concern is that there is no claim. We are very on board with upping the director FDE, if you have any stake, be a part of that. There are two very distinct sides to that argument and we need to move together as a team. We all have one week to figure out what we want to do with this. We need students to speak up, for input.

Questions for LI.

Rowe: You said you uploaded at 3 pm Wednesday?

Dunn: I took it down, it is so intertwined that if we change LI budget, it will change other things.

Rowe: Are we going to have access to the proposed LI budget?

Dunn: The big change was that we do want a 1.0 director; we did lower their honorariums 42800. There was a conference that wasn’t in the budget, never got feedback about that conference so we cut it out of the budget. If you have funds coming in so we can budget appropriately. Those were the big changes there, 1500 for travel director and benefits went up and removed assistant. I can put it into an email, and all this is in a folder. IF it is not up, let me know. MOU with university regarding LI and that partnership, we have had feedback about support and partners. We have to prioritize what we want. With the money we can give, that is what we are putting it into.
Rowe: I just want an email, for future purposes.

Mains: Thank you, you are doing a great job with all the money we have to cut.

Cowles: Have you taken action to find out about her being budgeted for numerous things such as conferences and speakers? Have we reconciled what has actually happened this year and where the money has gone?

Dunn: Restricted gift accounts.

Cowles: Have you asked about costs and prices?

Smith: I am working on it with a supervisor, and going through the HR procedures to make sure we are getting the appropriate info.

Dunn: She has been asked and we are waiting to hear back from her. The budget, we have it at zero right now. We are really excited because we didn’t have to cut a lot of programming, we gave them more money in some places, it will break my heart if you go in and rip it apart, come talk to me about it when it gets posted. Friday 28th by 5 pm, then it goes to finance board, then you. Today was Brandi’s last day, that brings in a lot of extra work for everyone, thank Coleen and Linda for taking in extra work, if you are interested in giving them more money come talk to me, we all need to have patience navigating the no Brandi thing. Task force met and talked about advisory counsel, in the task force looking at Organizational charts, and Eric is looking at judicial branch of it. I would like to see constitution and bylaws fixed by the end of the semester. Keep discussion relevant. Confirmation notification is in admin tomorrow, will bring it to you guys next week. I would like to get discussion started here. Audit of business manager position, changed by end of semester, if you have questions, talk to me. Also, Lacey, when I was appointed I was in the hall for three hours. We are being thorough, one or two programs could potentially go over budget. How in the future we compensate for that, and what happens with directors.

Mains: What programs?

Dunn: I will look at it and let you know. I haven’t looked at it in depth yet and will tell liaisons.

Oak: Cowles, we owe you a thank you, you found a van for productions and they purchased it, $13,000 allocated was finally used. Also, elections committee has been filled by Barry and Riley. On the MOU my task has been to look at judicial branch, we have to draft up to put in bylaws. Also I am looking at retreat schedule and how to restructure ... with homecoming and talking about it in exec meeting. MOU haven’t heard feedback from you guys, it hits the floor next week, talk about it before then and examine it. Comment on it. I will resend that tonight. Last week, I am going to be setting up one on one meeting soon. Monday the office looked good and Thursday it sill looked good, thanks for keeping it clean.

Rowe: Thank you for your progress up to this point.
Green: SOB barn, in 2008 same body voted to exchange it for outdoor rec building. Suggestions have impact on students, I am on the search committee for the architect, the swing cats are using the Romney Dance studio center, and their turn out is still over 200. Poly task force, my plan is once it hits this floor it will be a presentational item, and what it means to you guys, and I plan to draft a resolution for that, so we can say how we as a body feel about it. We can vote and formalize it. Advisory counsel are working on a draft of bylaws and the task force LI we decided we need to know what Carmen is going to do with it and at that time. If any of you want to be on that let me know.

Oak: Shared the resolution with Mark Frisbee, he is aware of your concerns he will present again and is still looking for people for his committee.

Senate Reports.

Cowles: The exponent had its quarterly board meeting, discuss how things have been going and some strategic initiatives, we have the MOU is ready to go, there is contention whether we will refer them to ASMSU or MSU exponent. Office of activities and engagement, platform to track student involvement, unify efforts with campus organizations. Secure funding before the end of the year. Talk about how to integrate it. Ryan Diehl about Brandi’s party to bolster his PR efforts pushed forward. It would be great for us to help him to support it to get the word out for voting. College of engineering counsel is having first meeting next week. A lot of resolutions we have been seeing can use some work, encourage you to contact members of con audit committee to help you make them correctly. There are people to help you, when we send ones to the mayor and president of the university; they have to look exactly how we want them to look, properly and eloquently.

Mitchell: Issues in Linfield hall, 2 study labs econ dept. issues with hours, opening and closing randomly. Students want them lasted longer than just 5 pm. Outdoor rec meeting tomorrow more info coming, meeting to talk about new computer stuff from program directors.

Mains: PR when I brought up the senate page, to post announcements and reports, I talked to Andrew and he gave me background. Previous person wanted to consolidate and have one page for all programs except for the exit gallery. He wanted to create page through the current ASMSU page. Start to post agenda to that page to be more transparent. I will be getting it up and running. So please put your reports on there. It will be helpful to us to remember what we talked about.

Birky: Funding board we begin with $47,000 and have $7,000 left, we have $3,500 left in the classroom committee, we will be replacing exploding white boards in teal classrooms when they were moving them they exploded, manufacturer is replacing them. Passed resolution on Cheever renovation starting in March.

Monteith: PR exponent we did not approve procedures manual yet, they have gotten leadership stuff figured out.
Rowe: Outdoor rec, start moving forward with advisory counsel meeting, need a senator to fill my shoes for that cause I have to miss it. Indian counsel, they’re food bizarre booth went well. Their powwow and they go into high school and middle schools and how it relates to them, parliamentary procedure they have not finished. IT counsel, it is a big choice between students and ERP planning, it is a big choice with what we want to see with tracking students’ lives throughout college.

Polukoff: I talked to constituents about the NDO, super valuable thing to do we should be doing it more. Posted it to dorms Facebook page and had at least 40 or 50 views on it. Sustainability counsel, someone form the Yellowstone transportation to give speech about sustainable transportation.

Senate Announcements.

Dale: Collegiate cattle woman, second annual 5k beef themed, that will be April 12th, Tyson food truck is coming on February 26th, we haven’t decided if it will be shared yet. Also, remind everyone that we are here to serve students so when we have 30 people show up to public comment, they should be able to talk about what ever they want to say for as long as they want. We are doing something right, but we need to remember this is real and if we cant handle that, and mind our manners, think about something else to do your service.

Blossom: I am hoping to go to Yellowstone, only Mains has agreed, if any of you want to do that let me know.

Mains: I echo dales’ comments, biggest turn out, one of the more complex things an I thank this body for not running from this issue. I wanted to say I am proud of you for speaking on this on behalf of your constituents. Ordinates for discrimination, at club Yolo 8-12 Saturday to dance down discrimination. Pre law club, next week we have three events, Lori Freeman from UM law school; she recruits and gives scholarship just form talking to her. Get constituents there. If you have ever not been to jail, want to check it out, jail tour is Tuesday at 6:30 pm, 15% cap, after going to Bridger brewery about implementations. Caires is coming to campus to talk to pre law club about higher education and sexual assaults Thursday sub 236 12-1.

Cowles: Announce advice about reaching out, going to an event that is inappropriate is not the pace to engage, 20 in opposition and 10 in favor.

Cowles: Move to adjourn

Miller: Seconded.

Motion fails.

Rowe: Ask Mains to change the name of club to “at MSU” rather than MSU.

Rowe: Move to adjourn.

Cowles: Seconded
Meeting adjourns at 8:45 pm.