Kirby: Move to Approve.

Mains: Seconded.

Monteith: Move to amend minutes, remove statements that were not included, speaking so fast could not hear her. “Hello. My name is Abbey and I’m a student in the college of letters and science. I’m here tonight to ask for your support of Resolution 2014-R-04, which regards ASMSU’s support of the City of Bozeman Adopting an LGBTQ Inclusive Nondiscrimination Ordinance. First and foremost, let’s start with facts. There are no laws that unilaterally protect Montanans from discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity and expression. Some of you may remember seven of the eight student governments coming together last year in support of augmenting the Montana University System’s Non-Discrimination Policy to include gender identity and sexual orientation. So students, staff, and faculty are currently protected from discrimination. Additionally, city employees of Bozeman and employees of companies that contact with the city are protected. The Fair Housing Act protects people from discrimination based on sexual orientation but explicitly excludes people who identify as transgender. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission’s Title 7 protects people from discrimination in business with 15 employees or more. Unfortunately, nearly ¾ of businesses in Montana have 8 or fewer employees. These people are not protected. Secondly, I’d like to respond to Senator Rowe’s absolutely correct comment that there are no documented cases of discrimination in Bozeman. Unfortunately, this is not for a lack of discrimination but instead because of a lack of avenues to report discrimination. Though we don’t have access to local data, we do have access to national data. Recent surveys show that a staggering 90% of transgender workers experience workplace discrimination and up to 43% of gay workers experience discrimination. The most recent hate crime statistics show that there were 1,572 victims that reported being targeted because of their sexual orientation. It should be noted that much like sexual assault these incidences of discrimination are reported at low rates because of the risk of institutional trauma and discrimination in processing their reports. Now, to speak to the commentary about this law infringing on our religious freedoms. Your right to religious freedom does not entitle you to economically and socially oppress another people because of their sexual orientation, gender identity, or any other characteristics. However, under these municipal ordinances, just like under state law, religious institutions and other nonprofits are exempt from the employment parts of the ordinance. Additionally, private institutions and clubs—those that don’t serve people outside of their
members—are exempt from the public accommodations parts of the ordinance unless they are offering to serve the general public, and not just their members. What all that means, for example, is that a church or private club would not be subject to the law, except in those very narrow instances when it is operating a business open to the public, such as a thrift shop or a bookstore. Furthermore, rights are not finite—by giving rights to another you do not lose rights. Instead, our community can finally begin to hear the empowered, thoughtful voices of our LGBTQ community members. Lastly, I think it important to speak to your roles as community and campus leaders and the power this position affords you. Serving as an elected official is about more than wearing a nice suit and serving on committees, it is about instilling and stewarding virtue in your community. Each of you serves as mentors and culture leaders on campus and your voice speaks volumes. By voting against this resolution, you are voting for discrimination let there be no questions about that. In fact, let me repeat that you are voting in favor of the economic and social oppression of a group of our community members based on an identity characteristic. So tonight you have the opportunity to make a choice and I demand as your constituent that you understand the impact of your voice in making that choice.”

Oak: We have a motion to amend the minutes from a hand written speech.

Kirby: Seconded.

Discussion:

Cowles: Amend two instances where Lana Lake and Caleb Laszloffy spoke. Lana-“There are no instances of discrimination. Where is the evidence that this is a problem? Organizations of faith (churches, Christian schools, para-church ministries, synagogues, mosques) need to be able to follow the tenets of their faith in hiring and firing. Christian business owners should not be forced to participate in activities that go against their faith (i.e. pharmacist unwilling to fill prescription for abortion inducing drugs, etc.) Men being able to use women’s restrooms puts all women and girls at risk from predators taking advantage of this opportunity. Men may not want to expose themselves in front of women using a men’s bathroom. Businesses should not be subject to the expense of additional bathrooms and/or losing bathrooms by going to single person use. Also if a person is assaulted in a multi-person bathroom, the business could be sued. Outsiders are coming into Bozeman pushing the issue—Missoula is bringing people in by the busload. This is driven by state-wide and national groups using Bozeman as a pawn in their chess game. They will do their damage and then move on leaving us to deal with the fall-out. A crime committed against a homosexual is already a crime. There is no need for this ordinance. This is taking a certain subset of society and giving them additional protection while infringing on the rights of the religious community and private businesses. The gay community is using these NDOs to unfairly target religious organizations and businesses. NDOs have had negative consequences in other municipalities: In Colorado, a baker was put out fo business for declining to bake a cake for a gay wedding; in New Mexico, a photographer was sued for
declining to take photos at a gay wedding, even though there were many other comparable photographers in the area; in Seattle, a CRU campus ministry was shut down when a staff member said that they would not hire a gay staff member.” Caleb-Threat: freedom to own property and the right to private enterprise. There exist inalienable rights and vested rights. Citizens have the right to rank vested rights as they see fit. Studies of the genome, epigenetic factors, the hypothalamus, hormone variance in neonates, and twin studies have shone no conclusive evidence for physiologically determined sexual orientation. James Madison said that ‘government is instituted to protect property of every sort… this being the end of government.’ John Locke, the 17th century philosopher, insisted that people’s property is a projection of themselves. They must have the right to create the business that they want to own. The government is not to dictate what a private business should look like.” In the interest of reflecting minutes word to word, we have to include the other side for their benefit as well.

Rowe: Seconded.

Discussion:

Dale: Minutes are for what happened and what was said and if something was not said it should not be included.

12-3-0

Amendment of amendment passes.

Back into discussion on amendment.

End of discussion.

11-5-0

Minutes stand as amended.

13-3-0

Minutes pass.

Move into Public Comment.

Opposition:

Lana Lake: I’m from college of letters and science, show all who stand against this please stand, begin by saying intent is not to show hate or rejection in fact it is the opposite, I have gay friends, I also have friends on opposite side, I feel no joy for speaking against this, this possesses risks for students on campus, open safety risk for women. Consider situation in Colorado where boy entered girls bathrooms and made sex comments, parents were stunned that a transgender’s rights trumped their daughter’s privacy rights. Schools threatened to kick students off athletic teams if they continued to voice concerns. Look around at the amount of people here
to voice opposition, in only two days there has been hundreds of students signed against, there have been some comments that students have supported a similar document on campus so why not off campus? Unfair point, only some senators reached out and no students were spoken to, a senate majority vote does not reflect student opinion, I am not voting against discrimination, but there are other reasons that this should be voted against, while it may have good intentions, it is not a problem able to be addressed by this resolution; it also opposes huge risks to privacy, safety, and religious rights of others within our community, thank you for attention and consideration in this matter.

For:

Alex Patterson: College of econ and business, regardless of you affiliation intentions you are hurting and advocating for the discrimination of the LGBTQ population, as to what you said, last year all senators voted except 2, and to remind you if you didn’t vote you abstained. If you are here to vote for the drafting of this please stand up or raise your hand, let that stand for itself, as a representative of QSA and a constituent I am urging you to vote the proposed resolution. Bozeman nondiscrimination ordinance will complete long over do action adding sexual orientation and gender identity to the city of nondiscrimination policy. Our university system has already passed comparable language, tonight is your chance to affirm that. To the claim that this will further harm or alienate the LGBTQ population, you are wrong, discriminating against this population will further alienate us, this will tell protected students that our body is bigoted and hateful, this is despicable, a trans-woman should have access to a woman’s bathroom it is not a threat to safety, as a part of not in our house task force which you are all a part of you have committed to ending rape culture not further perpetuating. To the claim that this is not your jurisdiction because the student body is inseparable from the Bozeman community, as I step off campus my worth as a human being should not drop. To the claim that this is not necessary, growing up as a second class citizen is not necessary, having public policy qualify you as lesser isn’t necessary, hating yourself because of the person you love is not necessary. 99 businesses, 25 face leaders, and countless people in community have supported this resolution, now it is your turn to make a difference. I hope none of you have to stand in front of peers and beg to be treated equally because this is hard, from one person to another you are hurting me and this resilient community, but we will not stop things are changing and if you are not with us you will be left behind in history with your hatred, this isn’t about hatred this isn’t about bigotry, this isn’t about personal views, this is about equality love and respect, thank you to allies and other LGBTQ people in this room, thank you for being a decent person, now as you are going to vote are you going to fight for hatred, bigotry and inequality, or are you going to stand with me on the side of equality. I think the answer is clear.

Against:

Kendall Miller: In college of business, concerned about resolution, in no way do I deem to be against this because of hate, I have two gay family members, this issue is
not about equality and love but wanting to give special treatment to small group that causes more harm than good. Some have argued that this has religious exemption, and if this is based off the three others in the state then religious organizations and religious freedom would not be exempted. For example a ministry in Missoula called teen challenge is face based solution and life controlling drug alcohol problems, in order to become productive members in society, because of this ordinance in Missoula the ministry is getting discriminated against when applying for grants in Missoula. They have been denied grants they used to receive because they did not agree to the LGBTQ lifestyle. Let it be noted that this ministry brings in people who define themselves as in this community. They are getting discriminated against due to different beliefs, contradictory if I must say. I state I am not in favor or in adding an exemption in religious, does not address the issue of religious business owners or safety issues, and because it causes more harm than good. Just yesterday, governor of Arizona, vetoed bill that would allow religious beliefs as a defense to denying service to gays and others. This governor realized that it would cause more harm, we do not need a bill to create extra protection for discrimination that I have yet to see in Bozeman, and there is no need for a bill to give equality, both cause more problems than helps, this is not common sense policy. Our first and fourteenth amendment rights already provide us and protect us of our religious rights, don’t endorse a potential ordinance that causes much harm, this ordinance is a problem looking out for a solution. This body does not have jurisdiction over the city, let us as citizens make decision by approaching city commissioners.

For:

Hannah Wallard: Alumni of MSU, here to talk about bathroom panic, a lot of concerns about safety in bathrooms, I can provide some insight. I worked in violence prevention for five years. Bathroom panic is the idea that transgender or cross dressers will go into bathrooms and sexually assault people. While I am happy that community is concerned about sexual assault, but these concerns are not based in reality. We know that transgender people are more likely to be assaulted or raped than non, this is critical consideration when talking about public safety. There seems to be some sort of mishmashing of transgender individuals with rapist. The vast majority of rapists, we know they are more likely to be heterosexual. Given that, we know that transgenders are not rapists I strongly urge community to understand that when talking about public safety, rapists don’t rape in public generally, they do it in private where they won’t be intervened, with that I hope that we can move on to the topic of hearing from people that have faced it and are unable to report it because there are no resources for it.

Against:

Michael Bent: Engineering, no hate for LGBT community, I feel where you are at where you have an issue of not feeling like you have been justly treated, I think this needs to be handled with. The issue I have with this, this NDO allows heterosexual the ability through gender fluidity to take advantage of going into other bathrooms
and doing something that they shouldn’t be doing. I don’t want to have to worry about my daughters being taken advantage of or my sons doing it to others, opens a door that should not be opened, father is a small business owner, should have some right on how he markets himself to the public, NDO changes that in ways it shouldn’t, if there are issues it should show, market should effect that itself and deal with those issues, encourage you not to vote for this, not from hate but from business and safety aspect it would be the wise thing to do.

Annie Venturs: Sustainable food degree, not because this is my own or because to show harm that can be associated with this, its hers. I will read in her words, as she wanted to be told. Sonia Benton student of MSU I am telling this story through. Nondiscrimination ordinance is important to make an inclusive community, NDO is not to take rights away but to give more rights to those not protected, sexuality and gender identity should not bind them from any opportunity these heternormitive people enjoy, some in bozo community will infringe upon rights as business owners. As a business owner the gender and sexuality of them should not affect their ability, want to make a better Bozeman, there have been many occasions where we have felt unsafe in our community. Every year procrastinator theatre for halloween, we dress up for the characters, one character is a transvestite as we walk across campus, we are the bud of insults and taunts, because we were walking with a guy that cross dressed for Halloween, what would happen if I held my girlfriend’s hand as I walked, or if my guy friends that dressed up what would happen, encouraging unequal rights encourages treating people as though they are less than human, I understand that to some I am living my life in sin, however it is my own life and I deserve my own rights personal beliefs may not be shared, each persons life is their own but I don’t want to live in fear of being the person I am.

Helen Smith: College of let and science, concerned emphasize because a persons beliefs, live out beliefs as what they perceive to be true, this for myself and others, this would infringe on our ability about what we believe, coming from spirit of love but a concern for me.

Lexi Cambige: Junior in letters and science, NIOH task force, behalf of chairman, not in our house brings broad scope of student leaders together to raise awareness about interspersonal violence to prevent violence. We are completely inclusive organization that realizes that the students of MSU are at their best when everyone has a seat at the table. Discrimination is defined as the unjust or prejudicial treatment of an unduvudual based on their race, gender, age, or sexuality, it does not belong in university, community, or lives, members of the organization seek a culture change not only intolerant of sexual assault and rape but other harmful things in our society like discrimination. At the task force we say these do not have a place, not in our house. Sexual assault not in our house. Domestic violence not in our house. Victim blaming and other destructive language not in our house. Discrimination not in our house.

Travis Kim: Agriculture, emphasize that I am not here motivated by hatred but only love and consideration, don’t want to see discrimination against any community on
campus, this seems like a great idea but there are dangers. It seems like both sides need a means of protection. NDO is not the thing. Infringe on more 1st amendment rights than it would instill them. Gallatin valley is filled with businesses and religious owners; they do not discriminate against employees or customers. Providing goods and service for gay wedding, or providing a restroom facility for transgender or reinforce to hire employee that is not best choice, providing general goods and services should not be a problem but when they are forced it goes against what they are intended to do, I love the Gallatin valley, this could hurt a lot of innocent people, please vote against this.

Lukas Smith: Thank you, clear up a few points, first off regarding bathrooms, men will not be able to enter, transwomen are not men, vice versa, if a gay person can prove that they were fired or not hired they can sue, employers can ensure to not be fined by not asking employees about sex lives. I challenge anyone in this room to explain why it is okay to make it illegal to fire a Christian but not to protect someone who identifies to the LGBT community from the same sort of discrimination. Public accommodations absolutely include private businesses.

Arnie Clinesawyer: Business, in rebuttal to Lukas. Thank you; coming from my backgrounds I understand discrimination, in Hoolerite community, in black. How we look. I want to ask when it comes to Christianity why are we for against discrimination against race but skeptical when it comes to sexuality, when it comes to race a race is sacred. My race is sacred. Against issue of homo is because sexuality is sacred. You cannot violate it, how can you treat one as sacred and not the other. But you attach a person when it comes to transgender. I can no longer justify of it in someone else life, go beyond my marriage boundary, a temptation stalks you everyday, no, but it is not the love that you have for the other but the way it reacts, the false hinds that stolen water is sweeter, it leaves you empty. Disposition that goes against board for all sexuality, it was his plan not our plan, it is not good for man to be alone when man was alone god was with him, made it possible for him to meet this, it is a complimentary design by god. I oppose this, we brought up the Colorado boy, looking at a guy likr Kevin Briggs and say that transgender are only ones going in, what stops a pedophile to walk in and hurt someone, you are discriminating against what I just brought up, teen challenge, based on everything I have said, its not discrimination against the sexuality it is attaching a person to sexuality. I ask tonight that you do not vote for this based on what a university stands for to this public as far as a threat.

Callen Much: So my name is kalen malch, alum, of letters and science, arts and architecture, standing here trying to show this other side where as Alex here was he was emotional, I am trying to not be hateful in any way, I am trying to speak as a person from my own heart, no lofty rhetoric, I lived in the same house as a homo man, we slept in same room, no discrimination that I feel in this issue, in my travels I studied Arabic, traveled in northern Africa had opportunity to go and learn about Muslim culture, mosque asked me to remove my shoes, woman asked to wear scarves. I have Mormon friends, I went to wedding not allowed into service because
not a Mormon. Under this our community outside of church, very instrumental in life and college campus, I am part of those, chi alpha, I previously was a broken person, it rebuilt me. In that, I feel that when you force an organization to hire someone who is transgender that goes against core beliefs you are discriminated against. I did not tell the Mormons or Muslims that I should be excluded I respected beliefs and cultures, separation of church and state is not about removing ten commandments, it is to me not allowing government to dictate how we should worship, exercise faith, I believe under this ordinance that the counsel would be telling us how to go about practicing religion, I ask that you do not support.

Caleb Laszloffy: Engineering, becoming clear that student body is divided, that will not be able to represent us, as demonstrated, city wide drafting would be disservice, not truly serve student body, we as residents can voice our opinion to the city. Let us not as students but as people to come to the city, when we step off campus let us be our own, you did your part for us on campus, let me let Kia let Kendall let Jess let us go in front of the city commission, don’t want to be representative of which we do not agree.

Jess: Engineering, “the question these cases present is not whether we should let society continue to discriminate against LGBT it is whether we should grant institutions and individuals without religious objections social mandates against discrimination.” It all goes back to core principals, what are we trying to achieve, deputy legal director words, will we sanction discrimination. Many cases involve religious business same sex practitioners, gay and lesbian relationship not turned away because of sex orientation but because they did not want it in personal... same sex celebrations, African Americans to use restrooms, we are blessed to live in a community, I want people to have equal rights respect, opportunity, the debate is whether you can mandate respect with an ordinance, there is misused, or with catholic charity opening, is it okay to procure people to do stud in the way that you do it. Good intentions will be pleated good intentions there are men that mean to govern well but mean to govern, you are getting a lot of pressure, but if you vote no you disagree with student body being represented...

Janessa: education and hhd, I deeply respect and love LGBT and value as equals my aunt is lesbian, comments out of love, I want to clear up misconception, campus wide NDO has a religious exemption clause, along with those of the LGBT community. Along with passed in Missoula and Helena do not have religious clause. Proposed was said to not hurt religious community, but it will. That has dire consequences, for the religious affiliated organizations, seen in other states, I don’t want to see people hurt in community. I want to see Bozeman grow and place of freedom. Where everyone can live in harmony with out fear. I oppose resolution 2014-R-04.

Christine: letters and science, thank you for being attentive and voice, love bozeman dearly and want to feel safety, I felt his would bring protection among the LGBT and other people. Love cannot be legislated, mutual respect, this comes through getting to know others as people or draw lines in sand, LGBTQ would not take advantage of
this but there are people that would. This NDO has the potential to open the door, use bathrooms or locker rooms to harass people, we cannot advocate to once without hurting another, we do not have to settle for divisions, centered on love, ask to vote no on this.

John Walker: Here to speak on behalf of alpha gamma rho, AGR is currently renovating the house. This ordinates would affect us directly because we open our doors to the public, require businesses, churches, and organizations, to allow people to use bathrooms, would hurt our renovation in having to build transgender restrooms, there has been no documentation of denial of housing or employment based on sexual orientation. There is not a common consensuses at MSU, ASMSU should be reserved for tangible documents or intangible ideas, we ask to vote no and leave it up to city commission to make educated decisions, we believe it creates more harm than good, thank you and vote no to this resolution.

Rose Hodun: Member of letters and science, I work for office of admissions and advact, to honestly and positively rep MSU, asked many times, is for people who are LGBTQIA, is this campus safe for me? I was here when we passed NDO for here on campus, students are members of campus and community, they are not protected. If someone had ideas I think protection is ultimate solution. I cannot tell someone that an employer cannot discriminate him or her. The bathroom argument has been keep coming up, if someone id going to go into a bathroom and rape someone laws wont stop them, there are not police at the doors of every bathroom, if they are abusing them or making comments, they will get charged, this is not an argument against this. I love Bozeman and this community, regardless of when they got here, everyone deserves fair treatment, 50 years ago, there is no difference.

Sean: Mitchell: engineering, no discrimination to anyone, that sign cracks me up, I prefer Bozeman over billings. I am asking for you to vote no it will create more than prevent discrimination, as Lana came up and said we have a stream of paper with 200 students signatures for political organizations, we have students going against this.

William Dove: Billy Dove, let and science, individuals of highest character, urge to vote in favor this will be city of Bozeman decision, it just says we support them and that we are against discrimination, my sister will be bobcat next year and she is a lesbian, and she is a person that deserves equality, everyone in this town will be afforded the same opportunities, this will be decision that you will look aback on and say you were on the right side, consequences of defeating this will have greater affects, this will say that this senate is comfortable to sit back and allow business owner to discriminate. You promised to be voce of constituents time for equality. Abstaining is saying no and saying you don’t care, saying you can treat others as less, you are telling them that ASMSU is not willing to stand up for them. We need you to speak up do decent thing and vote yes.

Ross Hartman: I ended up being last, you are not sure that I am pro or against. This is very tense issue. The very moment that someone says which side they’re on,
people start to pull apart and rise up that person. I would guess that maybe 2% of people could be swayed on this issue. Such a polarizing issue, long ago made decision, please listen to me, because maybe I will say something that is intelligent. It may change the way you think. First of all, In Bozeman there is no ghetto that we are placing LGBT community, unlike our friend that spoke before me, you cannot discriminate based on renting based on federal laws, or on having children. Already federal laws in place. Next bathroom issue, Lukas has said that if you are a transgender man and however do you propose that someone carry around an ID card, going back to the Nazi star to say that you are this or you are this. You walk in and see someone dressed in something odd to dress in, this is not speculation, this is a story, a woman walked I and saw someone who looked like a man, and she complained, and then they said that that transgender could be in there. There is no way of verifying who is in this or not. Voyeurism risk. Shouldn't be shocked by genitalia, hard enough to take a piss with other guys standing around. Given all this and all logical fallacies, all emotional charge, I’m this and I’m that, lets sit back and calmly think with our reason about this issue, I would like to say that since there is no evidence of discrimination happening or being condoned, this community would rise up and be against them. West borrow Baptist church showed up, we sent them packing, I have had run ins with the, and they quickly decided I was going to hell, I thought evolution happened and they disagreed. West borrow, notorious, we turned our door away, whether they are a bigot, these sorts of bigotries should be denounced by community not legislated against community. This will divide community even more than it will even help the community, you are for or you are against rather than just allowing people to love and respect each other. Thank you.

Mains: Motion if anyone else has anything else to say, to spend as long as they want speaking

Kirby: Seconded

Mains: I am doing this because I think we are here for them and it is important

Green: I would like to amend that if someone has already spoken cannot again

Rowe: seconded

Discussion.

Back into discussion.

Cowles: who has not spoke that wishes to speak, raise your hand, move to end public comment within half an hour

Seconded by green

Mains: these are our constituents, this is our job to listen to them, we should listen to everyone

Rowe: I am willing to listen to everyone who is willing to speak
Green: our own city commission limits time for public comment because it is a job to be done and efficiently

Vote form indefinitely to 30 min cap

8-8-0

Oak: I vote in favor of putting a limit on this in favor of what green said

14-0-1 Dale Abstains until 7:47 pm

Continue public comment

Amy Kesler: letters and science, I was surprised last week that this was tabled because MSU already proposed to protect students, equality is vital to a functioning community, what message does it send to the LGBT community that Missoula, butte and Helena have passed one, it is time for Bozeman to move forward, basic human right, with forward MT, we have a petition with over 2000 signatures

Cameron Carlson: education, vote for resolution if this body votes against, that says that 15000 do not support equality, I don’t car what religion you follow but religious freedom is not a right for prejudice. You cannot take rights away from people. Don’t live in fear if people have equality, I have to live in fear if I can get fired. Equality Is not hurting your right to believe in religion, this ordinance has not even been drafted yet so you do not know that it won’t include religious freedom or bathrooms, what if I opened a business that only hired homosexuals, through legislated efforts, impeding economic progress for, hiring being some sort of guise of religious freedom. Why not out in one more bathroom, to have security. It is getting hard to listen to bigots say that they are the victims.

Patrick Hessman: a&a almost each argument has begun with I don't hate gay people but then there was some acknowledgment that they are affiliated with someone gay, to me that comes off to me looking that person you love in the eye and saying that I support peoples right to hate you, people against that ordinance are advocating the same thing that west borrow Baptist is doing, to oppose ordinance is to agree with them, you agree people to condemn these people, I am asking this body to vote for the resolution and religious freedom cannot be justified nowadays to discriminate someone for race, it is the same for sexual orientation, if you argue against that I point you to the animal kingdom where there has been 1500 cases of homo animals, you are arguing against something that people cannot help.

Cody Howell: let and science, thank all for you for giving time, start off that this seems so silly, that a time America turned its eye against discrimination, we read history books with disgrace and feel horrible about crimes, when I have voted to elect you I expect you to stand up for our students, you are ground breakers, you have the right to say no to injustice and dyes to equality, for my peers and future MSU students, what degree of security you can provide, difference you can make, if you are searching for a call to action from constituents, here we are. We already
figured this out and that this is not welcome here or anywhere, protect peers and the ones that put you here. There are classes that offer education, to educate about transgenders, we want every one educated in everything, take advantage of education.

Cowles: point on information, we are allowing the city the draft not the pass of anything

I have heard a lot of acclaims of discrimination, read the walls of the bathrooms, I apologize if I am not fluid in my arguments, I am privileged that I do not come across as gay in my appearance, it is obvious for other people they do not have it so easy. 3-4 months ago for my friend dresses femininely, a car came up and yelled slurs at him while he was jogging in pink, they continued coming up to him giving hateful speech, how terrified he was that the car would actually hit him. I have never had that. He did not feel comfortable going to bozo police about this. He did not want to come forward for disbelief or ignored. Frustrate me beyond beliefs, there is discrimination here, imagine if somehow you could proclaim the fact your Christian, carrying around a bible and an theist comes by and starts to slur you, and keeps taunting you, simply because of beliefs, imagine how that would feel and tell me there is no discrimination here. I implore you to vote to continue to give support for the drafting.

Mitchell: recognize Riley

Josh: EHHD lived in Bozeman for 8 years, this support would show direct support that MSU is in favor and that the body is in favor where even in this room there is a very divided stance on this, by MSU supporting this it would show inaccurate support for the body, misrepresent the other half of the body

Teshle Feder: let and science, ASMSU employee, I have a few things, Cowles made a point about the simple draft, it is important because it opens a door to that nondiscrimination ordinance, as it may currently stand, it is important to know we are not voting on something in existence, it is just support, to incorporate both sides into a city wide ordinance, us all keen in mind that the minority is not always protected, but they need support the most.

Dustin: agriculture, I disagree with issue of homosexuality, I do not think about it wondering if people are gay, we live in liberal community, I do not think it is a thing people think about, I think that supporting a draft could increase discrimination.

Brittney Gibbs: everyone says that Bozeman is a great place, I agree with that it is a nice place, but this support of a draft would only help that. there is a possibility that it will happen, you are not allowed to discriminate because of these ordinates in place, people think we should bring equality and now it helps people, this says that those few people that would discriminate, would not be able to do that
Caitlyn Cravitts: Alumni voting on draft it is kind of like signing a blank check, if something would come up, we don’t know what this is going to say, it may not be agreed with, not written yet.

Johnathon Hable: first year here, implore you guys to consider vote against this like Cowles said, this is just a support for a draft, the decision comes down to city commissioners. Whether we back this or doesn’t it is all irrelevant it matters if commissioners do, go to city commissioners as a student body instead of coming here and trying to create a division among friends.

Samantha Claycoin: let and science, I came here because there is a helpful vibe in this community, hearing that there is a denial for that help in this community, when our openness and helpfulness is one of the best things Bozeman has, I know that it is only a draft but considering growth of community due to incoming number due to college, I hope that you will approve this resolution.

Melissa Eater: business, this is a draft you are 16 senators representing 15000 students, this is not a good representation, I think the students should rep themselves

Morgan Esberg: a&a, here because I’m sick of hearing we should go to the city or represent as group, we should say as a group that we agree with basic civil rights, this draft is a strong symbol of that

Cassidy Medicinehorse: process of writing last year of doctoral dissertation, having to do with what we are doing here, I am sitting having my dinner and should I speak or not, how can I express myself quickly to them. I in 20 years will probably be dead, I’m old, I’ll have my doctorate and them I’m history, you will be ladies and gentlemen in early 40s with spouses or children, some might go here and if you do not support this regardless of what goes on downtown your kids will have every rights to look and call you a coward. I cam look at you all I was there when king marched, I remember these items, you have never laid it out and stood up and fought for things. Don’t be cowards, you have a chance to stand up, and these are you holding your heads low, you have the chance to be on the right side of history, don’t screw it up. Your kids will question your decision. So that is all I would strongly encourage you to support this you are not writing a blank check, Missoula, Helena, butte, they’ve all gone what do you think we are going to do? It is just beyond me. All your saying is that you of all your body is yes we will not discriminate, the news has said Arizona recent vote, and government did not allow people to discriminate. Kudos lady, way to go, you stood up. And you’ll take heat but pats on the back. I hope that you vote in favor of this. Send positive message to city counsel, your involvement to say we as young people don’t buy into your bigoted biased bullshit, we want nondiscrimination, and the old way is done. Thanks.

Dale: move to extend public comment minimum of 20 min
Mains: seconded

Irwin: does a minimum of 20 minutes mean that even if no one speaks

Rowe: amend to say a maximum of 20 minutes

Dale: seconded

Green: say we have had hour and half of public comment, had a week to contact and discuss this. I think it is time to move into unfinished business

16-0-0

Motion is amended.

Dale: Remind members of body that now constituents are reaching out to us, this is our job, but we signed onto this

Green: point out amount of people that left when we extended public comment; time to move into discussion about it

Cowles: call for previous question

Cristando: Seconded

13-3-0

Motion passes

11-5-0

Public comment commence until 8:11 pm

Ed Johnson: business, when I look around and see people wearing white shirts and rainbow color I see students who are paying giving money into this body to handle issues, as a student, seeing amount of student representing different beliefs, like to make sure that this student assembly body knows that this is divisive whether you vote yes or no, the other side is just going to start throwing rocks at you, I do not think that this is an issue that ASMSU senate should be voting on that is not uniting for the student body. I would like to end by quoting Macklemore, “love is patient and love is kind” also in bible.

Matthew Hew: Alumni, say that LGBT community part of upper and middle class they have the right they are fighting for. I do not agree to discrimination against people but I do disagree with being coerced to oarticipate in things I don't believe in such as saying I agree with homosexual. There is a law in California and New Jersey, removed right for a minor to seek counsel to not be homosexual even when he wants it. In Washington 90-4 vote, finally bill in Arizona proves that NDO creates hostility; large population scared to be forced to do something that they don't want to, crates hostility.
Bethany: let and science, Cassidy said appreciated it, I always treat you with love and respect, and this is an issue of love. Discrimination happens, and this will not fix it, as a Christian I have been discriminated against and my native friend has been discriminated against. A love that is forced is not love at all. Love comes form the heart, I love all of you, and I don’t know you. I respect you all and that you have gone through. I don’t want to be forced to say that I love and respect someone, it should be genuine, forcing love would cause this division, these people in white are called to be loved then call them out on it, our job as a Christians, we want to love you because that is what we are called to do. A beautiful and complex life cannot be put in a bathroom or a box and forcing it will make it worse putting two sides against each other.

Tyler: a&a, thank you for this, it means a lot, like to star out I am a Christian, I’m sorry you’ve been discriminated, I’m sorry that you have to go through that, I grew up in MT and don’t know what it feels like, I understand you want to speak your voice, us Christians in general, I’ve discriminated, I just wanted to say I’m sorry you’ve been discriminated against, this would just put a boundary line between us. People are people. I just hope that someday we would respect each other for what we believe love on people and hope that you guys vote no on this, raise another razor wire walls; sorry for everyone that has gone through discrimination.

Kia Abbey: let and science, I really appreciate there are people in the room that are sorry, but that doesn’t but it, we need laws that protect people against discrimination; it would be great to think love is all we need. There are still people in community and on campus that think it is ok to tell somebody that it is okay that they cannot work or be in a coffee place or cannot rent an apartment, that is discrimination and that is not ok, I did not spend 24hrs in the sub to not see this body stand for the drafting of virtue of equality. This body is the right body to represent us students, your voice stands for 15000 students because you were voted and elected, go to the city commission body, and you rep students on or off campus, if I was assaulted off of campus, I hope tat you would speak for me. I would love to talk to any of you about that, that is an extension to anyone in this room. Be representatives.

Public comment is closed.

Move into unfinished business.

Erwin: an idea, recess for five minutes

Cowles: seconded

Discussion

Vote on 5-minute recess 10-4-0

Reconvene at 8:12 pm
Move into unfinished business

Mains: move to approve

Kirby: need to remove the resolution from the table first

Schaff: I move to take off the table resolution

Kirby: seconded

14-2-0

2014-r-04 taken off table

Dale: Move to table 2014-r-04 indefinitely

Rowe: Seconded.

6-10-0

Motion fails.

Kirby: move to approve

Mains: seconded

Discussion:

Dale: Saying that a no vote to this does not vote yes to discrimination, this resolution states a drafting by city counsel, people brought up this is not the right body I was asked to rep my constituents, I bring those issues to the table, frankly it is a dead horse we have been listening to. This has been the most divided that the senate has been. No one is going to win out of this. Someone will walk out with hurt feelings, taking a step back from the progressivism we are trying to attain here. I have had some undoubtedly be in favor, but it is hard to ignore the number of voices that have come to me. We are here for the constituents, we signed onto something much larger than ourselves.

Mains: CJ and I drafted this up it was tabled, in the office we have been hearing, to table indefinitely, that is a way to et out of this, that is utter horrible way to handle this, they deserve an answer that is not the answer. When I wrote this I did not think this would be controversial, of this is a legal human basic right, someone help these people being ignored. Also I want to bring up that people are saying this has never been reported in Bozeman, how many people do you know that would be discriminated and report it and not be embarrassed? People don’t know where to go or what resources to use, there have probably been people that have and did not know where to go. Remind this body we are a nonpartisan group, we are supporting the draft of this, the draft, it can be changed. We can write a resolution that we do not agree with what they wrote but we cannot do that until the draft has been supported. This is helping forward our city forward to make these decisions, and we
need to listen to them and have these conversations. Also there has been arguments about discrimination, it happens everywhere, you can be white or black or homosexual and be discriminated against. This happens all the time. This is not just for the LGBT community this is for everyone. I have forwarded everyone on the senate emails I have received, most of them have been in support, some have been against, hard decision, obviously I have helped write this, and I support his.

Monteith: In our constitution states that ASMSU will support MSU and surrounding communities, ethics are the rules of conduct that govern how society differentiates between right and wrong good and bad. In government the role is significant, in having trust and fairness in all government action. MSU already has a policy that prohibits the discrimination so why are we not instituting this for them when they step off of campus; this is a draft and is necessary. In MT constitution in section 3 it says all persons are born free including the right to a clean and helpful environment and basic necessities, in things such as jobs and housing. In section 4 neither the state nor persons shall discriminate against in their political rights, or religious ideas, this would not discriminate against religious entities. Senate bill 815 of 2013 that passed through US senate on 11/7/13 covered entities employers employment labor organization or joint committees from engaging in employment discrimination sexual orientation of gender identity. I think 14th amendment equal protections clause, equal application of laws, representing the minority that might not have the loudest voice but still need to be herd. I talked to constituents, and I have heard disheartening stories. A constituent was going through a great interview but once asked about benefits for a partner, was not responded to or given a chance. Nondiscrimination policy, to include gender identity and sex orientation, not supporting the draft our student body is almost half the community in Bozeman. It is critical we support our constituents and demand consistency in their protection.

Erwin: address comments as far as whether or not we are the right body, there are only 16 of us here, we were elected and despite the low voter turn out and you don’t think then why are we here every week. I understand the opposition, personal beliefs aside, listening to constituents, is part of this position. We are supporting the creation of a draft, with the turnout we have seen from both sides I think that both parties could address any concerns that may come up

Blosson: opinions I have heard form several constituents, they have been aggressively for this idea, for equal rights for all people, found overwhelming support form many people. I would love to see social change without political involvement, but we need to step in and try to create a change hopefully in the future that will create a social change but right now that is not the case, that is disheartening. If this resolution even goes to one person that won’t be afraid, then I would say it is a success, I hope it affects more but ill take what I can get. Recognize pres Murdock

Murdock: turn to resolution; support for Bozeman commission creating a draft for the nondiscrimination ordinance that draft, our support is saying that we want discussion to further continue on a city level. (Read resolution). This is not a blank
check, this is support so we can continue on a city level. We are the right body, those of you who do not think so, that means we shouldn’t be... we are 38% of this community, I pay rent and taxes and buy food and vote, I have a voice in this city and my voice matter and behalf of the students matters, also there has been talk about bathroom rapists, stranger rapes are less than 10% of rapes, it is happening very rarely in the year. Acquaintance rape is what we need to worry about. The religious exemption in campus NDO does not exist. Addressing this idea as too polarizing, then if we cannot legislate on something that is divisive, then we should just not have government anywhere. If you think that is making a decision on this then you are buying into the wrong part of government my friends.

Blossom: People should not live in fear of mentioning their personal lives, even by accident that should never be a thing. People should not always be talking about personal lives but if it comes up you should never have to lie about yourself

Schaff: allow me to speak plainly pardon my ignorance on any facts, first off I would like to say that while I am in here I am a part of multiple affiliations on campus, as well as off campus, but right now I have on my chest that says ASMSU senator, I am an extension of student body turning to express you the best I can, when I hear arguments in support or rejection, it is a war field in my brain trying to figure out what is right and wrong, we are on a diff scale than everyone else. This is a draft it is a draft and this is the first drop in the bucket, whether we vote yes or no it wont stop a single constituent from going to the city level. The draft of a LGBT nondiscrimination ordinance, we had a similar issue that was controversial about the smoking law ban, let us say that the city wanted to make a draft of a city wide ban of smoking that would say that people had to go out of city to have a smoke. It is a poor example; I just want you to think about that. An ordinance like anything else is a set standard of rules, we have a texting ordinance I can say I don't follow that everyday of my life, I want to thank you for voting me for you and for against you it is a tough matter and I appreciate you all for both sides whether it was passionate or info or just hi I’m here, thank you very much.

Rowe: This body is all part of not in our house task force it specifically say the not in our house task force will intervene when they see a situation that can lead to sexual violence, there are sex offenders that could potentially lead to take advantage of this ordinance. There has a been a petition of over 200 students signatures that says we should not support this, unless you are at large, then that is more than the people that voted you in, I emailed Jeff Kraus. I emailed him the resolution and he responded the commission has placed this ordinance as a taking point over the next 2 years as this is important and your constituents should come forward on the city level, whether we say yes says we are sending it again. I want you to jeep in mind the division in the room. Discussion on civil rights, as an African American I take that very personally, we fought for that. There is strife/struggle in the LGBTQIA community, but these are two different arguments and that offends me because you are acting as if that doesn’t happen anymore, I’ve been called a nigger or the whitest black person they have ever met. That will not stop discrimination, my last point, Dr.
Sarah, “what we are being offered is the poverty of a dichotomous choice,” choosing between yes and no isn't what's going on right now. It's between choosing yes I am for this ordinance, or no I am against this. Or no this isn't an issue I feel I can best represent my constituents. This isn't an issue I feel is our right to govern but for at the city commission meeting in the city, place those ideas in your opinion I will be voting no on this resolution.

Cowles: Clarification, among my constituents and countless emails that I have received there is misunderstanding of the issue of the resolution. Many think we are voting on this, which is not the case. One of my favorite quotes talking about legislature “You can't legislate morality you can't legislate kindness and no law is going to further somebody's sense of perceived safety.” Insults, mistreatment, and other forms of subjugation aren't remotely impacted by this resolution or potential ordinances. Rather than continuing a discussion, Christian values you have got to think of this from a business perspective, it is in the best interest of any small business owner to hire the best possible candidate for employment regardless their race, familiar status, LGBTQ, identification or otherwise. Furthermore it is not in their best interest to refuse patronage. In my observations I think it would only further the dichotomy on this issue, many of them would like to preserve their rights within rather than advocating to open a door that would further the division. This has been held up in numerous supreme courts even at federal level, not only from the college for engineering is a lack of consensus and an appropriate approach to this issue would take place within the Bozeman community by way of petitioning and going to the city commission meetings pertain to this resolution, imply that one advocate for discrimination, takes away rights from the LGBTQIA community. This proposed NDO that city commission is considering, states that we do support going through, to include... does not include any religious protection. This NDO will not protect against hateful language. Vote against is prudence, morality, riotousness, we are here as CJ mentioned, implored to support students in MSU and surrounding communities, furthermore I received equal numbers in favor and opposed on the issue. By evidence by conversation with constituents, there is not clear consensus among student body, there were three students, two opposed and one for, at the city commission, why aren't you down there where it actually counts, where you will actually be heard. All aside, whether it pertains or otherwise, carefully, true level of knowledge of constituents, received more opposed than in favor and I will act accordingly.

Cristando: Yesterday meetings, brought up by Mel Stocks, in meeting with faculty, why are we just segregating LGBTQ community, why not involve others in it. With us pushing this forward we are pressuring to make an uneducated decision, with these two years they will be more educated based off of Missoula and other ones out there, I urge you to vote no.

Green: Explaining my thoughts are scattered, how I am going to vote. Address Murdock, she spoke on bathroom rapes, that only ten percent are stranger rapes, to say we should discount it because only ten percent happens that way is wrong in my
opinion, still protect minority. Voting for would protect a minority on campus.
Second, I have been huge part of not in our house and surprised that they actually
took a side on this where they are made up of many different groups, this fight in my
opinion has a lot to do with the culture of our society, similar to what not in our
house is trying to do. Best ways about going about this, to change a culture, this is a
2 year talking point, this constituency will change, this will be brought back to this
body when they get deeper in. mention that, my vote yes or no does not necessarily
mean I support every argument on that side, some valuable some ridiculous, took all
into account. Also say that, I don’t believe anyone should be discriminated against,
whether by sex, race, but I believe an employer should be able to hire somebody
based on what they believe is the best way to protect themselves and that business.
We have a dress code in this body and I also just clarify that this is very polarized,
we do have student body voice, however when it comes to city wide, everyone
should take this to city commission. If we vote for or against the drafting, I ask all of
you if you are going to city commission, is it was opposite viewpoint of what you
feel, would that be fair? I like to add in each individual of the commission in respect
to them, add a copy of tonight’s meeting minutes to everyone that this is sent to so
they can see. Move to amend a copy of this resolution and copies of meeting minutes
from Senate meeting from Feb 27th 2014, Jeff Kraus, Bozeman mayor; Carson Taylor
deputy mayor; Chris Mel, city commissioner; Cynthia Andress, city commissioner;
Iho Pomery, city commissioner; add a space between the and Bozeman on line 24.

Rowe: seconded.

Discussion

Vote on amendment 16-0-0

Amendment passes

Mitchell: Also in same boat, one at large senator to represent 15000 of you, heard
equal on both sides. Religious clause is not in our NDO for campus or in Missoula,
that does not mean it won’t be in Bozeman, yield to Dunn

Dunn: really quick, comments of not in our house task force, just had conversation
with people in that, they weren’t sure about if they even really took a vote, and AGR
did you get the opinion of all of your brothers before coming? Not everything they
are pointing out is laid in fact, representatives, have you talked to all 15000
students? No. On bathroom rapes, to green about Murdock, the idea that we cannot
discount that because it is not the minority, that is what we are talking about. That
government for as long as it has existed has never been about echoing of majority,
about protecting the minority. For those of you voting no, if you can stand up and
look them in the eye and tell them I do not support the conversation considering
your rights, I would like to see it. I challenge any body to do it.

Mitchell: I do have to vote yes or no tonight, I am trying my best to represent all of
you and I am sorry to those who I anger.
Oak: second instance of swearing, I realize they have power of emphasis, refrain from using them

Kirby: yield to Murdock

Murdock: There was some discussion for 200 signatures against, there were 2000 for, like to point out the fact that we represent these students and are members of the community 2000 other people would like to see us continue that conversation for a draft

Kirby: private business, both parents, that limits restricted businesses do not have right to discriminate based on anything, they are a service, this prevents any group from being a hate group, further discriminating on a race or a gender, we have this constitution to protect us all. It is big that we are drafting this, by us passing this, we are saying we can go to the city commission, propose that we put a religious exemption in the NDO but only for religious organizations not because private businesses want to discriminate. Last year we put $8000 in student liberal action, Aubri, she is the reason we sat down with city commission this fall, we have the power to lobby at the city commission, and voice that we want to help them come to a compromise to keep all safe

Birky: my government teacher had a poster that said what’s popular is not always right and what is right is not always popular, look to your conscious before you vote.

Mains: urge you to vote in favor of this, reason I am voting in favor, I am a republican and a Christian, everyone deserves human rights, like Dunn said this is supporting communication around this going into a draft and I do not think there is any reason it should not be discussed on a city level.

Rowe: maybe I overstepped, I support dialogue for everyone, you cannot legislate morality or love, whether we say yes or no the city commission is already scheduled to discuss this topic. This resolution is only showing there is much division and strife, now it comes off weak if we support rights and support for everyone, this draft is already going to happen. The LGBTQIA and any one who stands being that, but if that is your flag why wouldn’t you want to see that on this document, I move to previous question.

Mains: seconded.

13-3-0

Direct vote on resolution 2014-r-04

9-7-0

Resolution passes.

Oak: two minute recess to allow outflow of public commenters
Green: call for a clarification of vote

Kirby: seconded

Rowe: Move that we put the nondiscrimination into Lindsay Murdock's admin reports

Cowles: give him a call ask to come next week

Dunn: reason to look at these this week, going to advisory counsel next week, wants input

Cowles: could it be by email

Murdock: reason to bring in front of everybody is because: 2 things, policy and procedures of nondiscrimination, update for you guys, changes are not huge talks about reports and how we report them, few MSU affiliates in this procedure policy, I will email out to everybody. Consistent with each other, federal mandates, give it a review if it is something you are interested in let me know. Both not in our house and nondiscrimination say that this is something we have made a priority, full support of these, vote in support of these, ensure all campuses are in compliance, so you can review it ands know what we are voting in support of. Out to everybody by Monday by 12 pm.

Cowles: Move to postpone previous question indefinitely.

Motion fails.

Rowe: Withdraw my motion

Move into new business:

First reading of 2014-R-05 Support for a Mobile Anti-Drunk Driving Application.

First reading of 2014-R-06 Support for the application of VPSS/ASMSU classified staff MOU.

Cristando: why ending this to pres of ASUM

Dunn: ASUM had a restructuring like this years back, so to keep people in the look for understanding

Kirby: how is relationship with Asa?

Lindsey: I backed out of the bet because I got harassed by a UM guy who said some sexually derogatory things as I walked out of the game

Move into Admin Reports.

Murdock: tonight was really contentious and I am proud of all of us encouraging them to come out tonight, that is the best turnout to look at policy. The fact that
many came in has never happened before and taking time to listen to them. And for
taking a stand and taking a vote. I’m happy we moved away from ballet vote, we
followed through on accountability. Thank you for standing on behalf of them and
encouraging constituents to do the same, I have never felt so proud to be a part of
this body. No matter the outcome feel proud of yourselves. Next week there is a
meeting talking about not in our state fee, resolution next Thursday, saying if there
is a fee that students bring forth and we vote on it board of regents should still look
at it. All other student body governments have passed a similar one already. Get an
advisory board to allow students of MASS that work with him closely and inform
students about what they are doing and where they are moving the university
system in. will also bring resolution to you guys for that. Library space report, if
you’re interested I will forward that on to you. Board of regents next week up in
great falls you can look online to see what the board will be talking about. Feel free
to watch that and hold regents accountable. Out of office for tomorrow all day. You
will be first body to know and I will make sure to get that info along. As now
partners of VPSS, get best person in place. Talk about common hour exam and will
be voting on that next week, LI meetings are still happening and a referendum that
will be coming two weeks from now for outdoor rec fee. No tin our house meeting
this week talk about commitments, one thing helping to plan is their retreat for next
year. Hannah is also rep for AMSUS on there. Policy is a natural place for us to be
looking into and making a change.

Mains: if we want to sponsor an event, that counts as our commitment as well if you
see an event we can partner with other organizations, bigger turnout. How did LI
meeting go?

Murdock: she was interested in having us here brought in faculty member, the
discussion went well there was some confusion as to why we weren’t just giving
Carmen funds to go. The discussion was not very productive, more input on MOU
and draft that and include that input. Move forward in same trajectory. Down the
line bringing transformational hire, for leadership institute. Still some concern
about smaller details. Transition period to finalize next time.

Mains: does she feel like she is being kicked out?

Murdock: people are still having a difficult time, she can’t separate herself as a
person and her as a director. We are funding the leadership institute and program
and potential of it, not the touchy feely people we want to have in there. We need a
funding model that will work first. Then continue to fill in supporting ones after.

Green: when are exec recs supposed to be done?

Murdock: they are up

Green: will finance board be able to see them?

Murdock: Make sure you are talking to finance board members as they become
more familiar with exec recs. This is the budget we made under the circumstances of
the outdoor rec fee not being passed. Did not cut a lot of programming, last year to this year we only had to cut funding from three programs and the rest got more funding. Voting is the 4th and the 5th, if you are rerunning best of luck, confusion whereas admin team can support candidates, and we can support them openly and totally. Be campaigning well, seen a lot of your stuff, if you are not, make sure you vote and get other people to vote, think about transition that someone else will take over. Do not put future senators in seats unprepared, work with new incoming people. It is our duty to the students and strong organization.

Smith: procrastinator brought in 11000 in revenue where last year was 7000. Directors are growing programs. Streamline numbers up by 15% for January, late night numbers up by 15%. Local government, Aubri is having open forum on equal PAY ON march 26th. Think about getting constituents to come out. Spirit is looking for homecoming elections. T-shirt exchange is happening, spring event, super cool design. When she asks for help say yes.

Mains: She won't be asking for help this year, directors are helping this year.

Smith: PR elections are happening, Janet could use help, anything you could do is appreciated. Outdoor rec vote, this is a lot of money, 16$. Working on transitioning with program directors. English beat on Tuesday 350 people show up.

Dunn: Facebook message from constituent, say thank you to us for giving him ability to feel support in this room. Whether you voted yes or no, you affected at least one positively. Budget is out there and is at zero, lets keep it there. Come talk to us before the April presentation. It is in the master budget without new fee file, it is in an email. Budgets, I have been having monthly meetings with program directors, they are not happy with how you interact with them as liaisons, some don’t even know who the liaisons are. It is not okay that we are not supporting them as we move into budgeting. If you can't give them the time, it says a lot about how we execute our roles here. Talk in the office, feel offended by harsh conversations in the office, about questioning whether they are doing their job. Keep in mind we are not the only ones working on this, they spend a lot of time on these and turned around and made successful. Spring inauguration night, this spring we will have a reception and some food and beverages, hopefully it is a cool kick-start of the new year, Eric will be working on orientation for you guys. New senators encouraged to sit in the back and watch how this plays out and how the Roberts rules work. In the next month, be an upstanding citizens you are, so we reflect well on the new senators. You want them to get excited about it. Election seats we have 3 out of 4 of the potential seats filled. On that note, job postings are out. Encourage people to apply for program directors. I apologize for my language, I have been on deans list every year here.

Oak: regards to NDO, with amendment, send out new resolution to those necessary, once we pass minutes next Thursday. I sent out email for calls for interest in how we budget and an impromptu workshop for budgeting. Elections, Janet has asked for volunteers to help with setting up. I began one on one meetings and those have been
going really well. Biggest piece of feedback was about behaviors and attitudes of this body. Kudos to everybody here tonight, and everyone was civil and respectful, open to ideas, thank you. When you went to your meeting, Charles French made a comment on a presenter that most of you find offensive, I would like to say this is a body that truly exhibits better qualities of democracy. Senator approach me about conduct in the office, they had been called a coward for an idea they brought up for this NDO, we are here for the discourse of information, we should afford everybody else on this board the respect they deserve, extend the respect into the office as well as meeting. Admin, only caught tail end of that last meeting, Erica and I decided to split up, I take the party and she has inauguration. VPSS O MU yesterday went well, looking forward to moving with this, look it over and talk to us. 

Green: Carsen Taylor was in here the entire conversation of the NDO, he was stoked they are getting the minutes, he listened to all concerns voiced tonight. They are looking into all issues as they should. LI task force meeting this week, we focused on what students could expect out of an increased FDE in an LI. Spent 30 minutes brainstorming, take that list to Deval and Ian, people more involved in the organization. Hopefully will finalize findings in the next week, VPSS exec counsel this week, talked to Jim Mitchell, the resolution passed earlier about CPS and student health, got ball rolling will come back with findings. Possibility of student success for fall student activity calendar including our events and us. It is already in the today calendar, but this can be just for the fall, for incoming freshman, its just an idea. Told them we wan student representation on that. Mentioned career fair that it went pretty well, they wanted to let everyone know there are a lot of students complained that there are not professions for every major, their concern is that there is but people need to show up so companies continue to go, complain if there are not. Encourage people to attend the career fair. Great job tonight. 

Senate reports. 

Cowles: Parking and transportation advisory committee met for the first time in a long time, selling parking passes for November-march for the first time to encourage bike use, they sold about 100 of those passes. Club sports steering committee met again Wednesday, all student organizations about space needs. Campus entertainment had a sell out 20% student tickets. It was a good profitable event. City commission meeting on Monday, no other senator there, recruited several folks from both sides that came to speak tonight. Encourage now that we are advocating for this issue, get out and get behind your stance in attending those meetings 

Rowe: Any questions about DD buddy app let me know everything else is emailed 

Dale: finding board is out of money, we gave it all away 700$ left in rolling and 2500 in match funding, excellent because given away over 40000 to clubs that are using it and need it. Support them.
Mitchell: last Friday had outdoor rec board meeting, still looking for students to fill 4 spots, new ice skates, made a flyer in all buildings, to get students to know about vote. To get people out and voting for new classes. Still working on Linfield study rooms to get them to stay open later.

Mains: tonight, thank you for doing research and asking good questions, its not easy. We had one speaker, Hannah Wollard, she is not a student she is an alumni and works to prevent sexual assault. She would love to come in and give some facts even if it is a short time. Also if you are looking to see what you said in meeting, Kia she quoted us on Facebook every few seconds, it is all over social media, super transparency.

Murdock: that is awesome that is the most engagement we have ever had, welcome to being a representative, that is import, holding us accountable is important. We cannot be mad about it

Mains: its not just Kia, from opposing sides they posted things too.

Cristando: sports and fitness have a new app, to know what is going on

Kirby: streamline, putting out for a GPS tracking system, approved on Tuesday, we are working on fixing the tracking of streamline, we added storage for sub

Nguyen: goals of body, and what he saw this body doing, Erwin bought pizza with the 50$ he got, focusing on designs, next meeting for future goals of...

Senate Announcements

Oak: I have been working on Robert’s rules so do not roll your eyes when reinforce those

Mains: pre law club we had events this week, way too many people show up to the jail center, gained new members, renting bus to go to pre law fair. Dean Cyrus’s came to talk about higher education and the law, how closely drinking and the law are in college

Dale: tonight speaks value about what we are and who we are, this is civic engagement, remember that. Took a chance to take Erica’s little dare, that if we voted no to look someone in the eye, I took the opportunity to do that I know if I am representing my constituents that I should be able to go home and sleep at night. 30000 pounds of protein got donated to the food bank, a years worth of protein, all from a club wining a contest. I would like to hear about the stuff everyone is doing

Mitchell: ... hired a new judging coach, faculty member teaching intro and science class.. past weekend equestrian team car got in a wreck, one died, Caroline Thompson, other three in hospital, keep in thoughts

Schaff: good job tonight, love you all, move to adjourn
Mains: seconded

Meeting is adjourned at 11:39 pm.