Call Meeting to Order at 6:00 pm

Roll Call

Review Previous Minutes
  o November 14th, 2013

Marshall: Move to approve.

Seconded by Mains.

Minutes approved.

Public Comment

Stump: Spoke last week about Fieldhouse renovation project. Here to see if you have any questions. Know a resolution is forthcoming.

Cowles: This was approving request from Mr. Stump and Mr. Leist regarding use of $1 million of student building fees for renovation to Fieldhouse.

Rowe: Thanks for coming back and answering questions. My question: when do you project process will be complete?

Stump: Want to be ready for next basketball season. Working through our schedules. Flooring the biggest piece. Working through schedule for sports camps, want to start right after graduation in May.

Nesbitt: Thanks for coming. Wondering if it will interfere with any upcoming major events?

Stump: No.

Bajaria: Senior associate of MSU LI. Want to introduce myself formally to senate. Know some of you, lots of new faces. Any questions, ideas, suggestions: hunt me down, feel free to come by. Shout out to Green: liaison; helped us with Board of Regents, Leadership Montana tomorrow. All programs so far have been really successful. All I have.

Rowe: What do we have to look forward to next semester?

Bajaria: Can’t tell you, confidential at this point. Planning maybe national level workshop, in talks with a couple leaders in community to come speak. More individual information, comments, come speak with us. Don’t want to disclose publicly.

Oak: Extending public comment for 5 minutes. Any attempt to start debate will be shut down by me.
Marley: Good evening. VPSS. Pleasure to be here in front of you this evening. Happy to address one issue. Mention also. Joined by my colleague Dennis Defa, Director HR. Hopefully answer some questions as well. Some specific questions. Clarify for record. Lots of confusion of memo, floating around more than a year in different forms. Behind that, another issue. First issue: directive from HR, backing of general council of institution, address personnel issue. Employees of university who work for you. Noncompliance issue related to who that individual reports to. No other lagging issue there, a matter of all employees a part of ASMSU report to Phyllis Bock, Chief of Staff. Supervises a number of employees. Question, who does she report to? Grey area for number of years. Practice has been ASMSU president supervises. ASMSU president is not university employee. Bock reports to me, no other hidden agenda there. A matter of bylaw, she has to report to a university employee. MOU created by my predecessor, I’ve refined it. It’s for your benefit to clarify that these employees are for your benefit, part of their annual reviews, have input to that. That’s the intent of that memo. Two items I wanted to clarify. How does this change our classified staff? Chief of staff now report to me. No other changes anticipated, I’m proposing none. No one else effected. What are changes this institutes?

Dale: Move to extend by ten minutes.

Seconded by Kuntz.

Marley: Thank you. Changes? Compliant with law, best practices, best policy in employment. Both for MSU, ASMSU. Help assure high quality performance. No rights being taken away from you. Here to serve you. Matter of personnel, they have a reporting line. Where is this directive coming from? Directive came from HR with full endorsement of university general council. Current version offered by me. Intent of assuring you have input into process of how the employees working on your behalf are evaluated. Want to hear if employees serving you well or not. Is this a good idea? I believe it is. Time has come, need to be fully compliant, having your involvement in evaluation of staff is, in my opinion, a great thing. MOU is not required. I can go about my business and evaluate my employees in my division. By allowing you and ensuring you a process to give input, I think it’s a good thing. Only ask that you help me find the process to do that. If they’re not the right words in MOU, we can fix it. Worked with Murdock extensively to help ensure that is the intent. Protect employees you work with and university.

Marshall: Ask for the convenience of body. What is easiest way to get feedback to you?

Marley: Great question. We’ll invent together. Memo intended to outline general process. In past, president of ASMSU served as conduit working with my office. I foresee we can have a process by which elected officials, students at large can have input into performance evaluation. Lot of data theoretically created, not sure how should be done yet. Work on it together. Why we need agreement to figure that out.

Green: Understanding: ongoing problem for quite some time now. Every time brought up, new VP of student success. Every time shut down. Now that there is some HR policy or state law that comes into effect, has past two, three decades of admin failed to find that law and follow that?
Marley: Has been an ongoing issue. We’ve known there was a compliance issue for a number of years. Since about mid 90s maybe. Law, interpretation changes every year. Now we realize we really need to address it. Predecessor chose not to enforce this and was happy with ASMSU president doing that. Is it a good practice, I would say no. Today, good practice to have that level of supervision. Never tried to make negative comments about what was done in the past, not aware of laws being broken specifically. Liability for noncompliance in today’s environment, we need it to be there.

Green: As far as classified employees, paid by MUS but our student fees pay for them. Any problem with us handling them internally? If ASMSU paying for employees and programs, what’s problem with us creating internal way of handling this issue?

Marley: Not given constitutional authority to do it. You’ve taxed yourselves to hire employees. University does that on your behalf. We’re the hiring agent. That takes on all liabilities associated with that, would need more fees, larger staff to be your own company, if you will. Functionally, you’re a department of MSU. As employees of MSU, have to follow all state authorities. In Board of Regents policy. Follow all laws of state.

Kirby: Move to extend indefinitely.

Seconded by Erwin.

Green: A lot of the backlash at this, associated with us losing our autonomy. Talked to President Murdock about this some. If going to be so vague (I realize we’re still working on it), what kind of guidelines can we set forth? I think you have the best of intentions with this. Not trying to shut us down or anything. What kind of guidelines could be made to stop future shutting down of events or admin coming down on this body?

Marley: Understand that concern. Come to understand this issue from both sides. This takes no real autonomy away from you. You’re not completely autonomous right now. Granted authority to do it by Board of Regents. This is one of four VPs in institution. This was set up to work with you, things you need, everything career services, registrar, financial aid, etc. How do we keep Darth Vader from coming in? I can’t see anyone in this position negatively influencing this body. Come back to MOU. Help me set up something, establish those guidelines, enter space we can do that. Look at it this way. Help establish boundary, work with staff as required to do by law, make sure supporting you. I can’t conceive of admin (includes me) trying to force some will upon you through the staff. I can understand how you could see it that way.

Green: Thanks for coming in, look forward to working with you.

Cristando: When this MOU was brought up, why wasn’t senate included in original drafting?

Marley: Going on for at least a year, different ASMSU president, senate. Also a personnel issue, those are treated very confidentially. In grey area because talking about supervision, why that’s not super well discussed. I have been working diligently to come up with language to ensure things weren’t talked about. Maybe should have talked in this body earlier. Here now... work forward.
Cristando: You said you’re not expecting other changes. How can you assure nothing else will be changed? Other admin able to tell us what we can/cannot do inside ASMSU?

Marley: Recommend we not make up any demons here. Doesn’t seem productive to have our admin force hands of staff. I’m here to serve the students and their best interests. There will be limits. Have to follow state law in terms of all kinds of business practices, does affect this unit. Conceivable moment of time, body may want to do something that hopefully the staff will say not allowed because of state law. Beyond those, this institution has a history, given lots of freedom to choose to spend money in certain ways. Don’t see tradition changing. Work with staff. Know you have lots of big initiatives. Excited as long as they’re legal, all I care about.

Cristando: Original draft by Rimpau?

Murdock: Original draft by myself in conjunction with past VPSS Rimpau. Sent to him, we worked with Phyllis from there, get us to draft we have today. Definitely a collaboration.

Cowles: Debate contention of MOU past week within this organization. Biggest concern of overarching impacts, intentions. Lengthy hybrid of statement/question. My interpretation is this will provide greater degree of transparency, input on students, effectiveness of classified staff and their performance. No other impacts to the functions and daily operations of MSU and its programs, PDs, overall autonomy. ASMSU staff and PDs protected by various state laws, nature of the organization (high turnover) MOU simply provides avenue for oversight, ensure classified employees in compliance with state laws, perform jobs to best of abilities in terms of the student body. Is that accurate?

Marley: Much of it. One adjustment I’d offer. One correction: more for convenience. By law, a student nonemployee cannot supervise a state employee. Not in a personnel format. Need to access a supervisor in chain of command.

Cowles: And you would be that supervisor?

Marley: Yes.

Rowe: Thanks for coming to talk to us, explaining, and your transparency. Timeframe of implementation. How do you see it playing out as we continue?

Marley: Directive and understanding with staff: as of now, I am now Phyllis’ supervisor. She’ll still supervise other staff. Effective already. MOU to clarify how your involvement comes into that process of evaluation, encourage it to happen ASAP. Lots of voices want to be heard. I’m anxious to move forward. Understand dialogue, recommend you act as soon as possible.

Oak: Stop questions now.

Rowe: There was a motion to extend conversation indefinitely.

Oak: Correct! I just went mad with power!
Cristando: Procedures of memo being passed?

Marley: Isn’t precise process. Practice up to this point: staff worked with ASMSU president. Anticipated work with ASMSU to gather info, talk about how data may come. I’m open to any other ideas that may be effective. Want to make this as reasonably efficient as possible. Work with exec regularly. Happy to work with subcommittee, whatever. You’re pretty creative with coming up with ideas.

Murdock: Reiterate. Senators talk to me. Discussed fact senate presidents, spot to be signatory. Senate president would not sign this without authority of student body.

Cristando: Blank if senate president didn’t sign it?

Murdock: MOU void, not memo directive from HR. In place whether or not senate agrees with it.

Oak: Remind senators: maintain sense of decorum, professionalism, maturity. Did notice some side conversations. It’s a public meeting, don’t pass notes.

- Presentations
  - Student Health Building Presentation
    Megan Kuntz – Co-Chair, Student Health Advisory Committee
    Email: megan.kuntz7@gmail.com

Cowles: Motion to fix time to adjourn to 8:45pm in light of ASMSU event at 9pm.


Marshall: Really good idea, we can do it. Don’t talk to hear yourself talk, we’ll be good.

Rowe: Move to table motion until end of presentation.

Seconded by Mains.

Motion passes.

Pursell: This is my fourth year on SHAC.

Kuntz: Other co chair, second year. Our organization liaison between student body, student health service, work with Jim Mitchell. Lots of other SHAC members. Do surveys, get student feedback, collaborate with health promotion, bring students more health information, services they would like.

Pursell: Current issues we’d like to share to keep in mind. First: space. Caused problems. Overall, lack of space for exam rooms, to add more staff. Student health building suited to serve 6000 students. Our population is 15,000 and growing. Really packed, not fully capable of serving all students. Space we do have is laid out in a way not conducive to producing efficient care. The way it is set up, make things slow down, difficult to be efficient with healthcare. Building deficiencies. Built in 1950s. Lots of updates could be used. Building at its maximum capacity. Lots of updates to maximize space, but we’re maxed out. We
can’t hire anyone else to serve the larger population. Problem: lack of windows, natural light, HVAC control. Makes building uncomfortable. Important when you receive healthcare. Upstairs where counseling, health promotions, no air conditioning. It’s not conducive to healthy environment for counseling. Student health clinic, health promotions nutrition services, counseling services. Host of consequences. Privacy. One of single biggest issues in providing health care. Space issue, everything is closer. Can’t have a properly private setting. Have to explain what’s wrong. Waiting room breathing down their neck, no privacy to say what’s wrong. Same with pharmacy. Such a fundamental issue to healthcare. Unacceptable. Not being able to make timely appointments. Might be backed up 2 or 3 weeks. Have to go somewhere else! Always a long wait for acute care. Need to go in, could wait 2 or 3 hours, not work with student schedule. Close quarters. Space issue. Everything jam packed, can get people sick. Go to get vaccine, may leave with the flu. Not enough space. Cannot hire more staff, clinicians. Already doubled up with office space and exam rooms. One of biggest problems, students having to seek healthcare elsewhere. We pay pretty hefty student health fee, should have access to those services. Urgent care, pay again, not able to be seen at student health.

Kuntz: All know space an issue. Not something we can just put off to the side. Something students and parents expect. Important for student success, retention, graduation. Can’t get help, may not graduate. Get flu, not seen for a few weeks, huge issues. Availability a huge issue: hospital not equipped serve extra 15,000. Volunteer in ER, can’t handle extra students. Already maxed out there.

Pursell: Really important. Not just a luxury program. This is critical to the health of the students, health is critical to their success, why we’re all here. We can’t reiterate enough this is essential. We have a problem that needs to be addressed. At rate university growing, cannot service all students. Health is such a need.

Kuntz: Survey this spring. 73% of students use student health at least once a year. Utilized almost entire student population.

Pursell: Vast majority of our campus.

Kuntz: Other 27%: some reasons: can’t get in, privacy issue, can’t wait that long.

Pursell: Not only reasons they don’t use student health. Don’t get sick, primary care provider in Bozeman. But these factors should not exist in the first place. Should be available to everyone because every student pays for it.

Rowe: Motion to extend time by five minutes.

Seconded by Green.

Motion passes.

Pursell: Wrap up with some student comments. Came out of survey last spring 2012. Not just our voices, straight out of open ended comment surveys we put out. You have those to references in your packets. “Making an appointment at counseling center took a while, eventually made contact off campus.”
“Waited a long time to pay, annoying. So many people waiting, not a place to sit.” “Health care great, facilities not.” “A maze.” “Third world look to facilities.” “Too small, too crowded, poorly designed, poor sickness control, other people, lack of privacy throughout entire building.” “Have to wait forever even if I have an appointment.” “Two hours to be seen for an appointment.”

Green: Thanks for coming. Let body know their whole survey, graphs, statistics, pretty thick. Have a copy I will leave out on table in the office.

Cristando: Thanks. Proposing we build a new building or add on and renovate current one?

Pursell: Would have to be assessed, get to that point. Expanding may not be an option, building already outdated. Future looks like, haven’t gotten to that point. Trying to get word out, defining issues, cross next line of what we’ll do, not there yet.

Kuntz: At some point, will need a new one.

Pursell: What we have now: something needs to change!

Rowe: How did you implement and conduct the survey? Target demographic, sample size, etc.?

Pursell: In digital version. Demographics by gender, age, class. You can look at that. sent it out through survey monkey to entire student list serve to get as many opinions.

Rowe: With implementation of affordable care act, university health care affected in any way?

Pursell: Doesn’t affect our facilities or delivery, just affects insurance plans. Analyzing if we need to update that, available to students? Separate entity from us.

Kuntz: Student health fee separate from student health insurance. We all pay student health fee.

Rowe: Who would you recommend as a resource?

Kuntz: Jim Mitchell.

Green: Aubrey Wall, working on with Board of Regents.

Nesbitt: Facility capable of handling 6000. How is that number evaluated?

Kuntz: Size of student body when built, built to accommodate that.

Pursell: Renovated in 70s, modified to accommodate 11,000. Still maxed out, student population still growing, no further option to expand with building we have now.

Marshall: Thanks for coming. Would you be open to including other entities inside of this?

Kuntz: Here last week with INSGHT, part of student health service, definitely be looking at working with them if need new space, can be combined.
Pursell: Next step further down the road. Everything evaluated, will need to eventually result in new building.

Marshall: Love how you knew where I was going with that. What else needs to be evaluated to move forward?

Pursell: Projections of what student body is projected to grow. Feasibly, if we will build a new building, where could it be? Option to be centrally located? Easy to find, walking distance. Way off campus, eliminate students it could potentially serve. Where could it go? What would be good location? How many students will need to serve? Things like that.

Marshall: Move to remove from table senator Cowles’ motion.

Erwin seconded.

Vote: 18/0/0.

Oak: Thank you for appealing to my ignorance.

Rowe: Clarify. Talking about it before we vote. Opposition to setting time limit. I do want to get out of here, don’t think there should be a time limit. Last meeting before next semester. Things unfinished, not accomplished until mid January. Would be tragic to postpone that. Let’s hustle through meeting, not waste more time on this.

Kuntz: Reiterate that. Voted here to represent student body, get things done. Awesome event, signed up, you took this as an opportunity to miss things. Great things we need to vote on, get through.

Cowles: Certainly appreciate these two senators’ most noble intentions. Motion can be redacted at any point that we wish to should we see fit. Set 8:45 as a goal. As evidenced by this motion. Encourage to vote in favor, go back on it in future should we see fit.

Cristando: Move to previous question.

Seconded by Mains.

Vote: 18/0/0.

Vote on motion: 3/12/0. Abstentions: Barry, Vanderlinde.

Oak: Are we done with basic math skills now?

Dale: We’re getting rude.

Rowe: Point of order for clarification of rules.

- Unfinished Business
  - Resolution 2013-R-22 “Support for the College of Engineering Student Council”
Oak: Ended at line 30, amended: originally a.

Erwin: Move to distribute and amend resolution, latest copy.

Seconded Mains.

Cowles: Changes. Satisfy amendments made last meeting in our discussion. As exciting new aspect of amended version, only one page. Yay. Also far fewer specifics as was voiced as a concern last week.

Marshall: If we table something until next meeting, does it need to be removed from the table?

Rowe: Two ways. When tabling issue, time constraint. This meeting, automatically have to be removed from table. Other: take from table whenever.

Schaff: Other issue. On agenda. Also grandfathered into discussion.

Dunn: Need to move to approve the actual document and then move to make the amendment. Accept or deny from there.

Schaff: Move to approve.


Vote: 17/0/0.

Marshall: Now in discussion, move to amend document to newest version. Seconded by Erwin.

Vote: 17/0/0.

Vote. 17/0/0. Resolution passes.

- New Business
  - Resolutions
    - 2013-R-23 ACT Review Board and Petition Policy

Oak: Personal plea from senator.

Mains: Move to suspend the rules of second reading and move this into second reading so we can move to approve now. Time issue. Get board done before end of semester, 700 students it will affect next spring.


Rowe: Open to direct response. Do we still need to go through first reading?

Marshall: Yes.

Cristando: Don’t think this is the best way to do this, suspend rules. What’s the urgency of this?
Mains: One: you had this on Tuesday. Two: another email to explain this. Three: in office every day this week, talking to everyone about it. Important: going from 22 sections serving 500 students to 27 sections serving 720 students. Want this to happen before the spring. Review of petition board to help drop and fail rates of this program. Right now, policy is 5 absences. No room for medical issues, family emergencies, anything. Most regular professors accept doctors note. Petition they wrote, review board to go through petition system. ASMSU made this happen three years ago, supposed to be originally in this program, wasn’t, going back and reinstating this, was supposed to be from get go.

Erwin: As Senator Mains stated. Already supposed to be in there. Can I move to previous question?

Seconded by Mains.

Vote: 15/0/0. Abstentions: Cowles, Dale.

Vote: 15/1/0. Abstentions: Cristando, Rowe.

First reading of 2013-R-23.

Marshal: Move to approve.

Seconded by Mains.

Marshall: As note, reviewed by Writing Center for grammatical, constitutional audit committee.

Cowles: Apparently writing center doesn’t know apostrophe rules. Not my question. Creating boards, unnecessary, excessive. Shared by admin, students alike. Have you looked at idea of having new policy similar to attendance policy of other courses at MSU? Up to discretion of instructors, supervisors. 5 absences should cover most health and other time concerns.

Mains: That’s what this is going for. Attendance policy is this way right now. Started off of U of M’s activity program. Review board only meet if petition brought to them, to help control new students, more success rate than this semester.

Cowles: Take’s lots of student’s and faculty’s time. Why can’t just make resolution about attendance policy?

Mains: We’re hoping that will keep those who don’t want to work out v. people who have real reasons for missing class. If you were at home because someone died, have a valid excuse for not being there.

Cowles: How is that different from discretion of professor for doctors notes?

Mains: Now, have to follow. Currently, miss 5 for any reason, cannot accept those.

Rowe: Echo Sentiments of writing center/move to amend. Within second whereas. Change emergencies. In addition, add line items to the side. Numbers, as is our normal format.

Seconded by Mains.
Nesbitt: If you can see more spelling or grammar errors, prudent to add them now?

Nesbitt: move to amend amendment. 5th whereas. Ensure rather than insure.


Marshall: Going to apologize. Took them to writing center, think I scared poor girl. Sorry about that.

Voting amendment to amend: 17/0/0.

Vote on amendment: 17/0/0.

Main motion!

Dale: Who would sit on review board?

Mains: TBD in next week's meeting.

Dale: If board determined next meeting, next semester, why are we pursuing through this meeting?

Mains: Meet with ACT director and her boss, not senate.

Kuntz: Review board. How will confidentiality be handled?

Mains: In what way?

Kuntz: Medical reason, personal reason student won't want known.

Mains: Haven't talked about. Medical note can be written without saying why. Will bring up in meeting.

Cristando: Move to previous question.

Seconded by Mains.

Vote: 16/1/0.

Motion passes.

Cowles: Motions for previous question, someone not have chance to speak, automatically go?

Oak: Yes.

Rowe: Move to previous question. Took vote to bring back to main motion. Discussion three times?

Marshall: Previous question, directly to vote on main motion.

Kuntz: I don’t have R24, R25, or R27 in my packet.

Hodun: I didn’t print off request. I apologize. I screwed up.
Green: One updated, old draft. One without numbers correct one.

Marshall: Printing out new sheets, recess 5 minutes, all have copy.

Seconded by Green.

Mains: Amend to move to reports.

Oak: Motion to move reading of several resolutions that haven’t been read yet.

Mains: Amend motion: move Fieldhouse resolution up.

Vote: 11/0/0. Abstentions: Schaff, Kirby, Kuntz, Dale.

Dunn: Voted on an amendment, still main motion on the floor. Mains’ motion was to amend Rowe’s motion. Voted on amendment.

Rowe’s motion: bump support of student fees Fieldhouse up in reading list.

Vote: 15/0/0.

- 2013-R-27 Support of Student Fees for Fieldhouse Renovations
  Bill
- 2013-R-24 Affirmation of Support – INSIGHT
- 2013-R-25 Adoption of Senate Office Hours Policy
- 2013-R-26 M.O.U Awareness Policy

Kirby: Move to suspend rules, will effect next month and a half.

Seconded by Birky.

Marshall: Reiterate MOU still a living document. Not anywhere near being finished, still looking for senate input. Rules don’t need to be suspended in my feeling, not in a rush to get this done. Don’t think we need to accept this right now.

Rowe: Echo Marshall’s sentiments. Not a time sensitive matter. Resolution isn’t properly formatted, needs some updates. Advocate body vote no, allow process to happen naturally.

Green: Seconded that with Rowe as a sponsor. Don’t think we need to suspend all rules. Reasons we read them twice. Only reason: Mains’ and one regarding outdoor rec. Hannah knows activity classes well, outdoor rec already talking about this, discounted prices, we’re educated about that.

Kirby: Move to withdraw previous motion.

- 2013-B-01 Code of Conduct Bill
Erwin: Point of info, VP Smith may help. Do we know how many University of Montana students it takes to screw in light bulb?

Smith: It’s a junior course.

Oak: Vote necessary ¾ majority.

Marshall: Coming at you. Ignore lines on side. Main changes made: nowhere is there code of conduct mentioned. As student leaders, believe held to higher standards. With that, move to suspend rules so we can vote on this now.

Cristando: Rules in place for reason, shouldn’t suspend rules for every document.

Vanderlinde: Echo that.

Murdock: Touch on this. I think it’s really important that we are upholding ourselves and all of our student representatives to student code of conduct. Don’t think it matters when it gets voted on. Understand why rules in place, don’t see much impact if voted on this meeting or next, really important as we’re thinking about how we carry ourselves as representatives of student body, considered constantly role models. Hold ourselves to higher standards, at least as a motion, this would behoove our body to think about voting on today. Not that much to ask.

Cowles: Might argue the higher standard this amendment to bylaws supposedly enacts is hardly a higher standard. Every student as MSU expected to uphold code of conduct for students. Ask: any implications pertaining to ASMSU officer candidates?

Marshall: There are implied consequences of code of conduct violation. Not specified. Implied we all abide, I do feel it’s important to stress that, here to uphold this.

Cowles: More concerned with implications to candidates for officer positions.

Rowe: Zero implications on candidates. Only adds line 6, we uphold and strive to abide by student code of conduct.

Marshall: Also want to point out Dean of Student Matt Caires chilling there. He wanted to see this. Want to see this voted on today, Caires trying to enforce this in other bodies, great to get ball rolling, set precedent.

Caires: My experience: what does it mean to be student senators, executive? Not just good enough to be in good standing. Love to eventually see GPA debate, 2.0 or something a bit more? Also like to see any university suspends students for academic and behavioral concerns. We have standards. Love to see student government see that as well. How many violations? Can you have a misdemeanor and serve this senate? Can’t be a felon and be in USA senate love to have this body have this debate. It’s the first step. Next debate is much more interesting, what standard are we setting?

Dale: Was suspending the rules ever formally moved?
Rowe: Yes.

Dale: Keep discussion to suspending rules. Move to previous question.

Seconded by Mains.

15/3/0. Previous question.

Vote to suspend rules: 6/11/0. Rowe abstains. Motion fails.

Funding Request

- Finance Board – Outdoor Rec

Marshall: This is a request from Ryan Diehl. Looking to seriously expand program. We approved it Monday night, everyone voted in favor. Attached list of exactly what he intends to buy, number, etc. if you look under snow and camping, corresponding price sheet. #### (10,050 is correct number). Lots of discussion brought up. Finance board voted unanimously. Ryan was here. To answer questions. Another commitment.

Oak: Why important suspend this?

Marshall: Preseason discounts. Prices based on discounts, wait until next semester, pay increased price. For Market price.

Cowles: I would yet again like to move to suspend rules pertaining to voting on this motion as reiterated by email. Amount we will see it decrease in terms of the amount of beer he will buy, purchasing power, 6-8% decrease. Come again in spring. Unanimously voted finance board, greatly benefits all MSU students. Initiative the outdoor rec program is in dire need of.

Seconded by Cristando.

Dunn: Ryan had come to me about a month and a half ago with outlines, skeleton of request. Something we’ve been working on a lot, Veteran’s Day cut out finance board meeting. Urge you to go through suspension of rules. Would’ve gone through two week process if not for Veteran’s Day. Fault that we didn’t communicate that. Cancelled, not rescheduled. He was thinking would happen. It was not a matter of him not being prepared or giving you enough time. Tried to be here multiple times so you could ask questions. Worthy cause, full support of this. Take into account he asks for more money, don’t get everything he asked for if you deny request.

Cristando: Suspension of rules a little different. We’re all really well informed. Suspension of rules in this sense applicable.

Vote on suspension: 17/0/0.

Rules successfully suspended.

Marshall: Move to approve.
Seconded by Rowe.

Vote: 18/0/0.

Appointments

- Club Sports Steering Committee

Oak: 2 minute discussion why you feel qualified, want it, ballot vote.


Nesbitt: I’d like to nominate myself.

Rowe: Nominate Green. Accept.


Barry: Nominate Rowe. Decline.

Cowles: Nominate Cowles.

Cristando: I’d like to be on steering committee. Liaison RSF. Working directly with RSF as indoor sports facility would be entity of RSF. Sponsor of original resolution. Member of rugby team. That’s what makes me interested, strive to do great thing. Advocating for this, going to club sports meetings, RSF advisory board meetings, get students, band, dance clubs, etc. on board affected by SOB. One thing ask as a sponsor. If need be, I will decline position if there are two members who are both in club sports. Want one who is involved in club sports, one not. Students and clubs need to be represented. Plan to be on committee, team player, work with everyone, go forth look at testing, see if students really want this. How badly, see how they will pay for it. Board of Regents, get funding from state, student fee. Thank you.

Nesbitt: I would like to say I’m more in favor of having someone with RSF committee on this body. Also on it. Good way to juxtapose a bias as Cristando said. RSF, familiar with meetings, ongoing dialogue, do better job with someone that isn’t so we will come to unbiased decision together. Uncharted waters with this, no building that serves this purpose to my knowledge. Someone on RSF committee help that situation. Thank you.

Green: I led charge on SOB posse. Huge need for student organizations that don’t just include rec sports. Need someone to represent other organizations, what’ I’d like to do. Lots of networking connections with alumni foundation, over 50 emails on email list that doesn’t include student organization or senate list serve. Contacts working on SOB barn. Support of possible new building. Tom Stump also has a huge impact, talked last week renovations to Fieldhouse, work with them on this. Great that we have people from rec sports, also good to have two sides of opinions. Serve as everyone who attends dances, Spirit of West, more. Thanks!
Monteith: I’ve been sitting on meetings with Steve Erickson, what he wants to see in building, etc. Sat in on RSF meeting yesterday. Erickson great ideas. Working with club sports. Idea of what they want. My involvement so far. As senator Cristando said. Two get appointed involved in club sports, happily withdraw.

Cowles: Feel awkward nominating myself, certainly plenty of qualified folks out there. Milk this outside experience for as long as I can. Club sports and university of Vermont. Send what tremendous club space can look like. Equally vibrant intramurals. Quite a bit more funding, know struggles, having that experience is invaluable. Good rapport with administrators, Maureen and Jeff Hix. Some experience with capital campaigns, analysis review buildings. Did that in high school. Love to serve on board if you all see fit. No matter which way you vote, bunch of folks advocate for program, can’t go wrong. Thank you.

Oak: Pick two names. Appoint two people.

Rogala: Does dump truck still exist?

Dunn: Eliminated unanimously.

Rogala: The inside joke is thus. Votes can be contentious. Dump truck vote. Votes in hand over left shoulder. Don’t break elbows, wrists.

Rowe: Dump truck voting is fun.

Dale: Will these senators be appointed by simple majority?

Oak: Yes, unless a tie.

Green: We talked about: process as far as appointing at large?

Rowe: Role of ASMSU president, appoint those. We can make recommendations to her, I’m sure she’d be open to them.

Vote: Cristando 9 Nesbitt 7 Green 7 Monteith 4 Cowles 8.

Oak: Cristando and Cowles both appointed.

- Admin Reports
  - President – Lindsay Murdock

Cristando: Move to allow Murdock 5 minutes.

Mains seconded.

Marshall: Disagree. MAS, Board of Regents here. Lots to share with us!

Murdock: I’m not coming to keep you here longer, my job, your job, our jobs to keep each other informed. Promise not here to keep you here forever. In midst of MAS, Board of Regents. Student regent
in back, ASMSU senator back in the day, walked the walk, great resources. Today and last night, long
discussion about health insurance. What that looks like. Just an information item today. Additional
questions. Green, Kirby there, Smith, myself. Rogala also good person to ask about that. Questions
about it today. Keep abreast of. First Not in Our State meeting last night, update to Board of Regents
tomorrow. Please stop by, meeting conclude tomorrow at noon. Go to Blackstone opening, volunteers
to hand out shirts tomorrow at 11:30. If you raised hand, send you email tonight. Meet where opening
happening. Next to union market. Exec evals. Hard to believe midway through the year. Eval of execs.
Opportunity to let loose, let us know how we’re doing. Send out hopefully tomorrow. Please give
feedback, areas for rating and comment. Don’t just say Lindsay sucks, tell us what we can do to be
better! We want constructive feedback, please provide that. All anonymous. Cat/Griz going, please be
on best behavior. Free parking! One of things good neighbor committee, free parking on campus during
break. Here is a flyer about it. Free pizza if you do that. Some extras in there. If you have additional
feedback on registration, meeting dead week. Provide feedback. Come stop by my office if you have
feedback. We also have an ADA advisory board meeting this week. One thing talking about, how we
continue to get info about accessibility to student leaders. Green Sky issue, SOB posse, how we outreach
about that to students. Why important for student groups to be aware of that. Group has to move event
if one person in wheelchair. Need to be aware of, up to us to get info out to them. More ideas, come
talk to me. Talk about ways to get ADA information out. Lot of discussion, contention, everything this
week. I just want to clarify, reiterate. I am here we’re all here elected to represent the students. I take
that very seriously, always open to feedback, love talking about ideas issues concerns clarifications.
Came to me this week, thank you for doing your job! It really is our job to be as informed as possible on
everything on agenda before you come into room. Did get three texts tonight from students here who
expressed disappointment in how carrying ourselves as a body. Any feedback from constituents, we
need to take that seriously. Not impressive to them in meeting, that’s all they see, not good. Whether or
not it’s accurate, need to remember, public meetings, not behaving in a way that makes our students
proud. It’s on them to tell other students, hold us accountable. Not to be power crazy. So you know
what people are saying about us. Credibility as organization, senators. One of hardest working senators
I’ve ever worked with. Look forward to working with you next semester.

Kuntz: Appropriate to read text messages paraphrased in email so we know what to work on?

Murdock: Yes. Mainly; something Dunn also touched on. More contention than limits on own negative
limitations, no discussion on $80,000. More apt to be frustrated about something holding us to student
code of conduct than student fees. I agree valid, done research, to average student, don’t understand
that. We need to have meaningful dialogue.

Rogala: I hated the dump truck vote. FYI. Few presidents, VPs upset. From Darby, MT. Graduated here
philosophy, econ, English. Law student at UM. How many law students take to screw in light bulb? We
don’t, pay engineers to do it. Substantive evaluation of executive branch. Don’t take for granted. ASUM
senate president is VP of executive. Can create chilling effect on power, evolvement of senate. Imagine
Lukas being senate president. Severe imbalance of powers. Brilliant, ASMSU, equal branches, each can
serve powerfully, work independently, checks and balances, incredible privilege. Lindsay talking about
public right to know. Seen its diligence, you will see the nasty texts. Regents work is really exciting. Questions for me right now?

Rowe: What are you working on currently as student regent?

Rogala: Realistically, two issues being addressed substantive. One program prioritization. Lots of universities doing well. U of M, Miles City, not doing well. Based on supply and demand. Lost 500 students, soul searching, get 500 more, what do to meet student needs. Change how we fund education: performance based funding discussion. Align more closely how we allocate dollars to outcome of education. Pay based on enrollment, not necessarily student interest at heart.

Rowe: As former senator, ho would you rate performance?

Rogala: I’m blown away by amount of diversity on board right now. Something to be proud of. After sitting in on ASUM last two months, amount of engagement you have, understanding parlipro, value that. Willing to discuss issues. That imbalance of power chilling effect on some senators, your system works, don’t know process well enough to engage that. Outside of general competency. Obviously my senate best ever been. Can’t speak to that. Impressed from back here.

Rowe: Merit metrics. Grading scale?

Rogala: For that tie, give you a ten. Ten on suspenders.

Cowles: With the lovely affordable care act passing this year, here briefly for discussion in MAS meeting yesterday. General consensus of regents regarding future of student policy insurance?

Rogala: ACA deemed unconstitutional. 2013 legislature MT voted to not expand Medicaid to individuals who really need those services in light of what ACA provides. Interesting situation. Student who doesn’t have money to pay for insurance. Individual mandate Jan 1st. won’t have opportunity with welfare, to have access to health insurance. For students, difficult place. Don’t think university in business of insurance. Don’t think should have to take out more than average person to pay for insurance. Big issue.

Cowles: Big conundrum. Thank you.

Nesbitt: Briefly touch on look forward to tomorrow in the meeting.

Rogala: Come opening hour or closing hour.

Dunn: Regent Rogala. Great point today in today’s meeting. Talking budgets. Power to change those. Watching from the president’s office, go Zac! “give power to other people, why not to students?” fees reviewed biannually. We just passed a referendum to put a fee creation on ballot for outdoor rec program. $8/year. Fee creation. Some synthesizing to do. It’s going to have to wait until next year, fees reviewed. What can this body do to have conversation, move it forward? Been going on for a few years now.
Rogala: Keep doing what you’re doing. Still doing great things regardless of what I say about that body. Doing some work on meaningful projects. Yearly budget approval for extra fees. Tension there: UM tried to bring 60 dollar fee raise without going to student body, reasonable concern about students being allowed to raise fees. No where near that situation. More leverage on the board to address it. At UM, try to do same thing, fund pre-infant day care center. Keep doing what you’re doing.

Cristando: Like to yield floor back to me. Take my floor back. Due to time.

Cowles: Seeing as you all took your time to adjourn, I have no qualms. MSU expanded rapidly in recent years. Issue of adjunct professors and level of faculty compensation, backlash of quality of academic staff, faculty. Impacted by lots of adjuncts, outpacing its resources in terms of personnel. Have you been looking in to that issue at all?

Rowe: Yield floor.

Rogala: Quality, affordability, access, systemic problems. K12. Free quality accessible education. Higher ed. No constitutional mandate. Issues that fall by wayside. Quality of adjunct faculty is an issue the board considers daily. Cool things on that. Hoping to move forward with some cool projects in the next few months, maybe years.

Cowles: Let’s hope those end up playing out well for this campus.

- **Vice-President – Lukas Smith**

Smith: Bonfire tonight, go! Laura worked really hard on that. huge! Worried about lights melting. PR scrapers. Thanks for handing those out! Keep doing that, positive responses. Giving tree, ASMSU took up. Bring gifts to toddlers at day care. Tailgate for Cat Griz, show up, new things, really fun! That’s it. Quote is a fun fact. Learned this today. Did you know that if you drive through Missoula slow enough, you can get a degree from the U of M?

- **Business Manager – Erica Dunn**

Dunn: End of semester! See you all at holiday party. Not a lot for you. End of semester. So close to budgeting season not even funny! PDs pumped, come back in January. Y’all know the process, drilled into your heads for the last few months. Excited to be super efficient about this. Speaking of budgets. Disclaimer. I’m super in favor of request you just passed, vital to this campus. Facts and figures: spent 13.5% of current reserve. That’s a lot. You could have funded every single one of our student programs. 8 presidents at one time, 2 OMs, 1.5 Colleen’s, 3 Office coordinators (Linda), and almost 45 front desk staff. That’s a lot of people. That was just 474.8 students’ entire ASMSU fee. Spent a little under 500 peoples’ money. In support of this. However, take those numbers, think, did you go talk to 500 students about this? Talk to students about how much this was going to be? On top of that, did you talk about that where 500 students could read about you doing it? I say talk in office, work outside meeting. However, doesn’t mean that you don’t say anything in this meeting. Would have loved to see some of those great comments in the minutes. $8/semester, and you spent $80,000. Why? No resource to do that. Any questions on budgeting, talk to Brandi or I.
Senate President – Eric Oak

Oak: Bios up on website now! Not get back to me with info, I wrote constructive things about your past, what your future goals are, please check that out. Like to change them, send bios to me! Holiday party is happening in two weeks in lieu of meeting, excited to see all of you there. Marooned here for Thanksgiving, throwing a thing at my house. Stop by. Our last meeting of this semester: in my limited experience, I have never seen a body as active or proactive or intellectual as this. Everyone here has a project, has made steps toward bettering student life. Proud of everyone in this room. Helped me remember why student government is truly an awesome thing.

Senate Vice-President – Jen Marshall

Marshall: Thanks to those who came to Exit. Fantastic. He’s a really great guy. Super cool ceramics show in Exit next. Holiday party is awesome! Should have receive e-vites. Potluck style, signup sheet on the office. If you don’t sign up to bring things, we won’t have any. White elephant gift exchange. Classified staff there, several adults. Make it appropriate. Shoutout to MAS, Board of Regents meetings! Great to see you there. Love Kirby’s quote “Jen, I understand why parlipro is awesome” last night. Not a direct quote. Now appreciates that because he saw how interesting things can be when you don’t have that in place. While annoying, it is a good thing, I promise you. Quick note. Bill to not suspend rules on. Love to get feedback! Let me know how you’re feeling on this, want to see this happen.

Senate Reports

Rowe: Be sure and check out cool Ellucian app, finished implementation through ITC. Beta test 2 months ago, Streamline live tracking, really cool. Ellucian Go. In addition to that, business cards are moving forward. Got your contact info without your consent. You’re welcome. ITC meeting. Oversee a few projects. Increase operational efficiency, all data online. Student customer relationship management. Casey is working to fix live streamline bus tracking, down for a month. Within PR, marketing campaign for new app.

Mains: PR wants me to thank Polukoff, Kirby for ice scrapers. Tailgate. Cat Griz, guys give up an hour for me please. Eight or four people, depends. Passing around. Rowe already signed up. Get that filled out. Thanks for understanding, help with resolution. Means a lot to me personally, this program. Thank you, thanks for researching and reading my emails. Did not do so, please do so next time.

Cowles: Thanks for support of R22, well on its way to being established next semester. Engineering student ambassadors last week. Soliciting apps in next weeks, begin council in first part of 2014 spring semester. Tailgate. Willing to offer my barbecue, something other than nacho cheese without chips. Slight jeopardy of no longer. Our best interest of outreach presence, put best foot forward for most important football game of season this weekend. Thoughts about printing costs. R26 printed twice. Several other issues. Either permit electronics or make sure we’re not given multiple hard copies.

Hodun: That’s my bad.

Cowles: Step it up next week, regardless.
Caires: Two compliments, two points of style. Don’t think I’ve seen a better dressed senate. Not sure why, comes off incredibly professional. Incredibly engaged. Seen many in and out of office. Get to know other offices in SUB. Many things important to students happen in this building. Points of style: resolutions: want to encourage. Criticize the university, admin. Before you introduce legislation, go see them! Respectful. Help make resolutions stronger if you share ahead of time. To me, students always have autonomy. Make sure you write those without backslapping folks. Last point: reminder. Big weekend. Have fun, you’re visible. Screw up, end up with PD, reflect you and ASMSU as well. No different than student athletes, etc. You carry representation. Don’t expect perfection.

Cowles: Resolutions inconsequential. Survey. Extra 2 pages would have done us fine on healthy survey.

Green: SOB barn email. Love feedback, help with anything? Don’t mean to call anyone out. Lots of discussion about outdoor rec today, not about resolution, lack thereof. Did homework, Ryan prepared. Glad we voted it through. Kirby, myself, Dove, talked to him for an hour. Stuff he wasn’t able to present. Mentioned we were the first ones to go over there. Know all crunched for time. Next time. $80,000 huge lump sum, maybe do more homework. Student health. Want to work on getting word out. Ideas, please come to me. MOU. Email last week. I stand by pretty much everything I said. Murdock is a fine president. Don’t mean to bring any of her work into question. More knowledgeable about organization than most of us. Important that we look at this closer, how it will affect organization or maybe it won’t at all. All have open discussion.

Birky: Student political action. Contacted Dani Clark. Possibly lobbying for increase in faculty salary. Let me know if you have any other ideas. Also connected FPB as ad-hoc, excited to be on board.

Kirby: Met with Blake Bjornson, LEED certified. Big primary meeting in there. Worked out student elective credits with engineering, architecture. Figured out how to allocate points, questions about that, approach me, email Blake.

Kuntz: NIOH meeting. Mentioned reps from Bozeman High. Bozeman community involved. Help with those, email me or Jen.

Marshall: Pull a Michael. One of commitments we made, all have educational session. Keep ears open for that. Not during senate meeting. Start asking for availability, that’s what that is for.

Kuntz: Very informal. Want to be involved, resources in community, please contact us.

Polukoff: Bike task force. Myself, EJ Hook, Candace, survey to reach out to students about what you’d like to see through a bike program. Safety, education, bikes themselves, parking issues, etc. Any suggestions for questions within next few months, love to hear them, appreciate feedback.

Cristando: Rec Sports Advisory. LEED. Grad student concerned with new residence hall, want to make sure LEED certified. Get in contact about that.

Cowles: State law that any building constructed on academic institution property has to be, so it will be.
• Senate Announcements

Mains: Pre law club had cool event. All about illegal things and how to get out of illegal things, interesting things you should all know. Expect you all to be at next one. Have a great weekend and Thanksgiving.

Dale: Keep it classy, we’re professional, keep that in mind. I know we’d all rather be somewhere else. Keep that in mind. You have a severe asthmatic on board, rough time. Ignoring you, I’m trying not to pass out.

Oak: I’ll be sure to learn first aid over Christmas break.

Kuntz: Email about students involved in advisory boards and students on them. Bozeman beautification interview December 2.

Murdock: Update. Whole reason recruiting students because of local government liaison, Aubrey. Student funds to get better student representation not only on campus but Bozeman community at large.

Kuntz: Applied. Really happy to see MSU student involved. Done.

Cristando: I went to Board of Regents today. Miles City Community College senators, all personal soft shell jackets. Awesome idea. ASMSU senate on it, everything, represent ASMSU better, let them know who you are.

Murdock: Come up every year, individuals get not appropriate use of student funds. If you wanna do it, pick it up, run with it.

Oak: We should be known as that senate.

Cowles: Move to adjourn.

Seconded by Rowe.

• Meeting Adjourned at 9:11pm.