**Budget Council Agenda**

**Tuesday, August 23, 2016**

**3:30 – 4:30 p.m.**

***President’s Conference Room***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Terry Leist | Jeff Conger | William Cronk |
| Chris Fastnow | Chris Kearns | Robert Mokwa |
| Renee Reijo Pera | Nicol Rae | Doralyn Rossmann |
| Jerry Sheehan | Mandy St. Aubyn | *Also in attendance:**Kathy Attebury, Heidi Gagnon, MacKenzie Seeley, Carina Beck, Karlene Hoo, Melis Edwards* |

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Minutes

 The minutes from the June 28, 2016 meeting were approved.

1. Information/Announcements/Updates

FY 18 Budget Calendar

The draft calendar was distributed to the Council. Kathy Attebury explained that the process/schedule might need to change slightly as this is the first year of this new schedule.

Institutional Costs

This item was tabled until next meeting.

1. Current Business

**FY12 SIP Update**

A presentation was given by Carina Beck on the funding received for the Allen Yarnell Center for Student Success.

In the fall of 2015, the funding approved was $441,017 ($200,000 base + $241,017 recurring funding one-time-only). The Council asked Carina to report on the use of the funds and the success of the program. The funds helped with the startup of the Center for Student Success and the implementation of a sustainable model focused on the next generation.

The funding was invested in developing student success programs at MSU, i.e. success advising appointments, early alert appointments and customer support (time management, learn how to learn).

The need for tutoring is very high and the cost is increasing. The Center for Student Success will continue to work towards closing that gap. They are seeing buy-in across campus and increased numbers of opportunities for them to engage.

Student success is woven into the fabric of MSU. Higher education is changing and this opens up new and valuable opportunities for educating our students. If the funding is not continued in the future, cuts would be necessary and relationships across MUS, MSU, and OCHE would be lost.

If the focus is on the “illness model” (what is wrong and needs to be fixed), it is difficult to grow as much. If the focus is on the “wellness model”, there is an opportunity to grow both the bottom and top quantiles. Renee Reijo Pera suggested that there are opportunities available for grants in these areas when the programs are unique and that they should be explored.

There was considerable discussion on the future of the program and it is felt that the program is critical to the success of our student. If we are targeting the sons and daughters of the working class, we need to provide every opportunity we can to help them be successful.

A motion was made to provide base funding in the amount of $241,017 (rather than one time only). The total investment in the program would be $441,017 in base funding. The motion was approved.

**FY13 SIP Update**

A presentation was given by Karlene Hoo and Melis Edwards from the Graduate School

The original SIP proposal request was for annual funding of $60,000 for CollegeNET. The funding awarded was $46,000 in one-time only funding. This money is supported in part with the graduate application fee (cost-offset not cost recovery).

The cost for the program is an annual fee based on the number of applications received, along with travel awards and recruitment. This is an electronic application process; reviews and decisions are done electronically (not in paper form). All the information is now at their fingertips. No materials are mailed and all communication is sent via email.

The Graduate School is very happy with the system and is pleased with the efficiencies that they have gained. The two fields of advantage are:

1. Ease of application from the student’s perspective, facilitates process and status of process
2. Management of a process, both for the Graduate College and the faculty for their review of materials

The Council wondered when we bring Hopson into the system (used in the undergraduate area), what effect will that have with the graduate system? Designating permanent funding without knowing the impacts might be premature. It was felt that the Council should explore this more to make sure that this is the right system to meet our needs.

A motion was made and passed to continue the same level of one-time only (OTO) funding for one more year. It was agreed that the Council would consider this request for permanent funding again in a year. Once the Hopson system is implemented in the undergraduate area, the Council would like to see another update, specifically showing more metrics and efficiencies gained.

The meeting was adjourned.

*The next Budget Council meeting is October 25, 2016.*