**Deans’ Council**

**Agenda: Tuesday, December 8, 2015**

**2:00 – 4:00 pm President’s Conference Room, Montana Hall**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Voting Members | | | | | |
| x | Martha A. Potvin |  | Charles Boyer – COA | x | Helen Melland – CON |
| x | Kenning Arlitsch – Library | x | Brett Gunnink – COE | x | Randy Babbitt – Faculty Senate |
| x | Kregg Aytes – JJCBE | x | Robert Hietala – GC | x | Nicol Rae- CLS |
| x | Matthew Caires – VPSS Designee | x | Alison Harmon - EHHD |  | Bill Shields – COAA |
|  | Renee Reijo Pera – VPR | x | Karlene Hoo – Graduate School | x | Ilse-Mari Lee – Honors College |
|  | Other Members | | | | |
| x | Ronald Larsen – Associate Provost | x | Martin Teintze – WWAMI |  | Shelly McKamey - MOR |
| x | David Di Maria – Associate Provost | x | David Singel – Associate Provost |  | Kim Obbink – Extended University |
|  | Other Participants in Attendance | | | | |
| X | Chris Fastnow | x | John Paxton | x | Megan Bergstedt |
| X | Deb Barkley |  |  |  |  |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. **Call to order: 2:02 pm**
2. **Approval of Minutes from November 10, 2015: Approved**
3. **Topics for Discussion:**
   1. Agriculture Relations Name Change: The title change appears to reflect degree focus accurately. Alison Harmon moved for approval, Ilse-Mari Lee seconded, approved.
   2. HHD MEd Counseling Name Change: The change clarifies the title allowing students to identify what they have learned on the transcripts. Ilse-Mari Lee moved to approved, Kregg Aytes, seconded, approved.
   3. Entrepreneurship Certificate: Concern was raised that the program may not generate new students/revenue. Discussion continued that the program could bring new students who may be looking at a major outside of Business and may find this certificate an advantage to add. Bob Hietala moved for approval, Nicol Rae seconded, approved.
   4. Bachelor of Fine Arts in Integrated Lens-Based Media: Concern was expressed regarding declining enrollment in other programs in the School and College. The program would be an alternative to current students rather than generate additional enrollment. This would be a third program added to the Department. Kregg Aytes moved for approval, Brett Gunnink seconded, approved.
   5. Computer Network Technology: The program would be the first of three programs that would be packaged as a Certificate from Gallatin College. Brett Gunnink moved for approval, Nicol Rae seconded, approved.
   6. Re-Title Computer Science Department as School of Computing: Renaming to the School of Computing would lend a broader appeal to future new programs such as BA in Computer Science and Data Science. National trends in Computer Science programs are moving towards the School model. Kregg Aytes moved for approval Brett Gunnink, seconded, 1 abstention, approved.
   7. Faculty Modified Duties Policy: Kenning Arlitsch moved for approval with the below edits, Ilse-Mari Lee seconded, approved.
      1. Section 2b: The relationship of adult child when caring for an elderly parent was not defined. It will be added by JAGS to section 2b.
      2. Section 4a: “three months prior” or as practical.
      3. Section 3a: Add “release from teaching for one semester once per triggering event.”
   8. Extending Tenure Clock Policy: Kregg Aytes moved to approve with the below edits, Alison Harmon seconded, approved.
      1. Section 2: tenure review period to include credited service.
      2. Section 3: “retention and/or tenure”
      3. Section 5: Delete last sentence from “such to service”. Last sentence delete “listed in section 2.”
4. **Information/Announcements:** 
   1. Deans’ Review: Randy Babbitt, Faculty Senate Chair: Last year a new way of reviews was proposed. Reviews had dropped to 12% participation in recent years. The President and Provost have received reviews under the new process that included feedback to Faculty Senate resulting in 50% participation. Faculty Senate suggested that the individual being reviewed would discuss with faculty senate leadership and their superiors and would return to their faculty to address evaluation. Faculty Senate would like a more formative review structure. Questions raised included:
      1. Who reviews Grad School Dean?
      2. How do you provide feedback from small number of senators?
      3. What is reviewed, who develops the questions?
      4. What is the role of senators/faculty if they do not agree with the expectations of the superior and if they are not addressed?
      5. What follow up is expected from those being reviewed?
5. **Additional Topics:** 
   1. Changes to the Board of Regents’ Academic Proposal Process was discussed.

**Please Note: Next Meeting February 9, 2016, President’s Conference Room**