1. Continued Review of the Claims, Standards, and Assessment Crosswalk

Began discussing Bill’s revisions of Claim 1:
Bill presented his revisions in a document (See Appendix 1).
Some discussion of state requirements (NCATE driven) conflicting with TEAC expectations i.e Log Hrs.

Decided to review claim wording on all 4 claims and then revise individually.

Claim 2

Claim 3 (David)
Discussed empiricizing the “heart of the leader.”

Claim 4 (Tena):
Removed “culture” aspect – covered in Claim 3; focused on technology slant; discussed what sort of expectations we would have regarding collection/use of data, analyze, and present. Tena thought 1 and 3 were strongest and 2 was weakest. Bill thought a Tech Leadership seminar/course would address 2 and offer a context for doing 1 and 3. Jayne thought Claim title of “Integration for Technology of Continuous Schl Improvement” might work better. Discussed where supervision and professional development (PD) fits – Claim 1 or 4?

Jayne/Tena suggested possibly restructure claims around “exemplary program” where we weave three Rs into:
Claim 1 Admission
Claim 2 Coursework
Claim 3 Internship (field experience)

Coursework might be formative assessments/data points for three Rs; Internship might be the summative assessments/data points for three Rs.

Assignments: Name 3 claims specifically and the specific bullets for each – perhaps some data points also.

2. Development of a Protocol for Data Collection and Analysis

3. Preliminary identification of trends in program data

Meeting adjourned: 3:00 PM
Next Meeting: 10/24/12 10:00 AM