

#### Defining Domains of Coaching Knowledge Using a Modified Delphi Process

#### John Sutton, RMC Research Corporation

# American Evaluation AssociationSan Antonio, TXNovember 13, 2010

**Research Partners** 





Funding By The National Science Foundation Discovery Research K-12 Program (DR K-12), Award No. 0918326

# Contributors and Other Personnel



#### **Montana State University**

- David Yopp, PI
- Beth Burroughs, Co-PI
- Jennifer Luebeck



#### **RMC** Research

- John Sutton, Co-PI
- Clare Heidema
- Arlene Mitchell
- Mark Greenwood
  Lyn Swackhamer
- James Burroughs, Project Director

Funded under <u>NSF Award No. 0918326. Any opinions expressed herein</u> are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Science Foundation.



## Paper Presentation Outline

- Examining Mathematics Coaching (EMC) Project Description
- Modified Delphi Process
  - Participants
  - Questions Used by Phase
  - Rating System
- Definitions of Coaching Knowledge



# **Mathematics Coaching**

Mathematics classroom coaching is gaining popularity as a school-based effort to increase teacher effectiveness and student achievement.



## Mathematics Coaching Defined

"A mathematics coach is an on-site professional developer who enhances teacher quality through collaboration focusing on research-based, reformbased, and standards-based instructional strategies and mathematics content that includes the why, what, and how of teaching mathematics."



## **Knowledge Domains**



# Why Study Coaching?

- There is limited understanding of coaching effectiveness, especially in mathematics.
- Moreover, no studies have demonstrated what types and depths of knowledge effective coaches hold.
- At the same time, implementing coaching involves considerable cost and logistical effort for schools and districts.



# Delphi Study

- Research method that uses a panel of experts to bring a field to consensus around a particular topic and enhance decision making.
- Used both in the corporate world and in education.
- It is acknowledged that "there are multiple variations of the Delphi Method" (Chamberlin, 2008, p. 3)



# Delphi Study

- Non-geographically constrained, collaborative, structured learning exercise
- Allows access to all data generated with the opportunity to review, react, and refine while collaboratively engaged in the process
- Encourage experts to share viewpoints



- Tailored to meet the project's research objective of identifying as many domains of coaching knowledge as emerged from the data
- EMC researchers provided panelists data that was filtered through a process of qualitative analysis conducted by researchers
- Used technology to bring together a panel of experts in a three-phase dialogue over time



- Three phase process:
  - □ *Phase I*: Identification Identify knowledge areas;
  - Phase II: Elaboration– Define knowledge areas; and
  - Phase III: Validation Validate the knowledge areas and definitions



#### Participants

- EMC researchers identified 10 experts in the field of mathematics coaching
- Experts were invited to name two others who possessed the expertise to participate on the panel
- □ The final panel consisted of 12 participants.
  - six are authors or co-authors of coaching or mathematics coaching books;
  - four are directors of grant-funded professional development projects on mathematics coaching;
  - one is a mathematics coaching practitioner; and
  - one studies coaching as a researcher in mathematics education.

#### Process

- engaged panel members in three phases over 18 days (October 1–18, 2009)
- All of the panelist interactions were blind (3-digit identifier)
- Panelists did not interact directly with each other
- EMC researchers did not know the authorship of panelist contributions
- All contributions were text-based, online submissions



- Phase I: Identification Identify knowledge areas (N=12)
  - think only about components of coaching knowledge, separate from teaching knowledge, that a coach needs in order to be effective
  - identify and provide words or phrases that convey or represent your understanding of components of coaching knowledge.



- Phase II: Elaboration define knowledge areas (N=9)
  - Research team used qualitative methods to list, cluster, analyze and organize responses, resulting in the identification of seven specific knowledge areas
  - Define each of the seven knowledge areas
  - Limit each definition to 100 words or less



- Phase III: Validation Validate the knowledge areas and definitions (N=10)
  - Review the definitions for each of the seven knowledge areas
  - Choose the degree with which you agree or disagree with four statements about the definition by using a five-point scale
  - Following each definition are four optional questions related to the definition



# Phase III: Validation – Validate the knowledge areas and definitions (N=10) Rating Scale





- Phase III: Validation scaled questions
   This is an accurate definition for coaching knowledge of ...
  - □ This definition captures my thinking related to coaching knowledge of ....
  - □ This definition enhances my thinking related to coaching knowledge of ...
  - □ This definition can help inform my work.



- Phase III: Validation Open questions
- What words, phrases or key features for the definition (if any) ...
  - do you feel are missing and need to be considered for inclusion in the final definition?
  - do you feel are particularly unclear and need to be restated to minimize confusion or misunderstanding?



- Phase III: Validation Open questions What (if anything) do you feel could or should be removed from the definition? What other comments or suggestions do you have to enhance the overall quality
  - and utility of the definition?



- Eight domains of coaching knowledge were identified by EMC researchers in Phase I
- Domain and definition of knowledge of communication was consistently and sufficiently identified and defined by panelists in phase one.



## **Coaching Knowledge**



- From Phase III, there was a high level of agreement and high level of consensus among panelists for scaled response questions.
- EMC researchers conducted a review of the additional comments from open-ended questions



- Based on additional comments, and EMC specific needs, EMC Researchers revised the definitions in the seven domain areas
- Reflected the EMC researchers' knowledge while considering the panelists' comments



- Eliminated laundry lists, eliminated the ambiguity in wording between skills, practices, and beliefs, and framed the definitions in terms of knowledge
- Some ideas were moved from one domain to another
- Filtered based on what a coach needs beyond what a teacher needs



## Use of Definitions

- Guide instrument development
- Encourage other projects and institutions to use these definitions provided as a starting point
- Feel empowered to modify any of the definitions to fit specific project context and needs





#### **Contact Information:**

John Sutton, sutton@rmcdenver.com Phone: (800) 922-3636 Web: www.math.montana.edu/~emc/