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EMC is a 5-year research and development project examining the effects of a coach’s knowledge for coaching on a diverse population of K-8 teachers.
A mathematics coach is an on-site professional developer who enhances teacher quality through collaboration, focusing on research-based, reform-based, and standards-based instructional strategies and mathematics content that include the why, what, and how of teaching mathematics.
Coaching and Mentoring

- Coaching can include the role of mentoring
- Coaching can be implemented at any point in a teacher’s career
- Coaching is
  - Collaborative
  - Grounded in reflection
  - Sustained and intensive
  - Connected to school development plans
  - Directed at engaging teachers in tasks connected to students’ work
Coaching Focus for EMC Project

- The coaching cycle will be completed eight times per year with each of three teachers.

- Four of the eight coaching cycles will focus on Number Sense and Operations.
  This may look different at different grade levels, ranging from arithmetic to fractions and ratios to proportional reasoning.
Coaching Cycle for EMC Project

There are three distinct parts to each coaching cycle designed to examine mathematics instruction.

- Pre-Lesson Conference (~15 minutes)
- Lesson Observation (entire class period)
- Post-Lesson Conference (~30 minutes)
Pre-Lesson Conference

Purpose: to develop a shared view of the upcoming lesson

- Mathematical content and goal
- Instructional tools and strategies
- Potential challenges for students
- Areas of special focus for coach
- Evidence coach should collect
Lesson Observation

The coach’s role is to be a data collector.

- Focus on the issue(s) discussed with the teacher in the pre-lesson conference.
- Document the relevant mathematics content and strategies used to teach it.
- Collect evidence of student learning.
Post-Lesson Conference

Purpose: Debrief and look ahead

- Analyze evidence together to interpret what students know and are able to do as a result of the lesson.

- Reflect on teacher moves that uncovered or advanced students’ mathematical understanding.
## Coaching Model

| Coaching Model | • **Pre-conference** of at least 15 minutes focused on planning for upcoming lesson with emphasis on teacher’s stated goals, objectives, and needs  
|                | • **Observation** or model of a lesson  
|                | • **Post-conference** of at least 30 minutes reflecting on planned teacher actions  
|                | Coaching will focus on aspects of standards-based teaching as defined by NCTM process and content standards, not on generic pedagogy such as classroom management |
| Content Focus  | Number and operation: ratio and proportion |
| Frequency      | Three teachers per coach provide data points for research. Teachers are coached at least eight times per academic year and at least four times within the content focus |
| Quality Assurances | Coach and teacher reflection instruments, coach skill inventory, and teacher needs inventory ensure consistent implementation of coaching across schools  
|                | Self-identified teacher needs are used in planning and goal setting, and progress toward these goals is monitored and reflected on by coaches |
The effectiveness of a mathematics classroom coach is linked to several domains of knowledge. **Coaching knowledge** and **mathematics content knowledge** contribute significantly to a coach’s effectiveness, as measured by positive impact on teacher practice, attitudes, and beliefs.
Knowledge Domains

- Knowledge of Student Learning
- Knowledge of Teacher Learning
- Coaching Knowledge
- Mathematics Content Knowledge
A non-experimental design will answer: To what extent does a coach’s depth of content knowledge in coaching knowledge and mathematics content knowledge correlate to coaching effectiveness?
Research Design

- A non-experimental design will answer: To what extent does a coach’s depth of content knowledge in coaching knowledge and mathematics content knowledge correlate to coaching effectiveness?

- An experimental design randomly assigns coaches to one of two groups to answer: To what extent does professional development targeting these two knowledge domains improve coaching effectiveness? and To what extent are the effects of the targeted professional development explained by increases in knowledge?
EMC Participants: Where They Are

Colorado
- Coaches: 11
- Teachers: 31

Idaho
- Coaches: 15
- Teachers: 44

Montana
- Coaches: 19
- Teachers: 54

N. Dakota
- Coaches: 3
- Teachers: 8

Washington
- Coaches: 2
- Teachers: 4

Nebraska
- Coaches: 2
- Teachers: 6

Wisconsin
- Coaches: 4
- Teachers: 11
Research Design

- Each coach (n=56) is randomly assigned to Group 1 or Group 2
- Group 1 coaches have mathematics content PD (summer 2010), followed two summers later by coaching knowledge PD (summer 2012)
- Group 2 coaches have coaching knowledge PD (summer 2011), followed two summers later by mathematics content PD (summer 2013).
# Crossover Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Provide orientation to EMC coaching model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Mathematics Content Knowledge</td>
<td>Coaching Knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Coaching Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>Coaching Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>Mathematics Content Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Variables and Measures

Coaching Effectiveness
Project Variables and Measures

Coach Variables

Mathematics Content Knowledge

Implementation of Coaching Model

Coaching beliefs, knowledge, skills, and practice

Coaching Effectiveness
Project Variables and Measures

Coach Variables

- Implementation of Coaching Model
- Coaching beliefs, knowledge, skills, and practice

Teacher Variables

- Mathematics Content Knowledge
- Classroom practice
- Teacher anxiety, efficacy, engagement, and preparedness
- Coaching emphasis
- Coaching impact

Coaching Effectiveness
Professional Development Challenge

- Create two distinct one-week professional development courses
- One course should shift participants’ knowledge of *mathematics content*, specifically in the area of number and operation, with a focus on ratio and proportion
- One course should shift participants’ *coaching knowledge*, as described by coaching authors, addressing eight themes identified by coaching experts
Professional Development Design

- 45 hours, 1 week, residential
- Participants are all coaches enrolled in the research project
- Experience in mathematics coaching varies considerably
- Mathematical knowledge varies considerably
Coaching Knowledge PD
## Coaching Knowledge Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Learning</td>
<td>Student Learning &amp; Teacher Practices I</td>
<td>Communication for Coaching</td>
<td>Teacher Practices &amp; Student Learning, II</td>
<td>Logistics of Coaching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Week-long Theme

- Teaching coaches to recognize standards-based mathematics

- Standards-based mathematics develops mathematical processes, mathematical practices, and mathematical strands of proficiency.
Themes: Coaches Know About

- Communication
- Student Learning
- Teacher Practice
- Teacher Learning
- Relationships
- Leadership
- Assessment
- Teacher Development
Teacher Learning and Teacher Development

Teacher Learning

- Engaging teachers in the coaching process
- How teachers in general acquire knowledge of content, pedagogy, and pedagogical content
- How individual teachers best acquire knowledge
- The discrepancy between “vision and practice”

Teacher Development

- Teacher development in content, pedagogy, beliefs, and management
- How to support individual teachers’ development
- Teachers’ motivations and barriers for learning
Use this five minute clip to decide what you could discuss with the coach in a conference, based on what you notice the most. Be prepared to give a rationale.

- Mathematics content?
- Communication?
- General pedagogy?
- Something else?
**Student Learning and Teacher Practice**

### Student Learning
- A coach knows how to support teachers in applying mathematical processes (discourse, exploration, engagement) to classrooms.
- A coach knows how to help teachers manage the learning environment and improve student learning.

### Teacher Practice
- A coach knows how to discern teacher beliefs.
- A coach has a depth and breadth of knowledge of teaching research and teaching actions.
Teacher Practice Activity (Example)

What traits would you desire to see in classroom mathematics teachers?

- Write one trait per Post-It note.
- Cluster traits into categories. Title each category.
- Share clustering/categories with another group.
  - What is similar?
  - What is different?
Assessment and Communication

**Assessment**
- Assess teacher needs and using that assessment to set goals for coaching
- Assess student thinking and using that to set goals for coaching
- Help the teachers know how to use assessment in their classrooms

**Communication**
- Communicate professionally about students, curriculum, and classroom practice.
- Mediate a conversation, by pausing, paraphrasing, probing, inquiring, and asking reflective questions.
- Use nonverbal communication and listen actively
- Communicate in problem-solving conversations
Communication Activity (Example)

- Take a moment to review the pre-conference viewing guide.
- As the video plays, take notes on your observation guide and transcripts.
- Use the transcripts to make notes of specific examples of coaching moves.
Relationships and Leadership

Relationships

- The purpose of the relationship is to support teaching and content
- Communicate in a way that establishes trust, rapport, and credibility
- Establish positive inter-personal environments
- Foster relationships that respect various cultural influences (socio-cultural, school/district, and authority-autonomy)

Leadership

- Be strategic about setting goals and objectives for teachers and students
- Use, evaluate and influence the school’s vision
- Evaluate the utility of educational policies
- How to address challenges
- The coaching process
What is meant by “learning styles?”

What does research say?

- The term “learning styles” refers to the concept that individuals differ in regard to what mode of instruction or study is most effective for them.
- ...There is no adequate evidence base to justify incorporating learning styles assessments into general educational practice.

Providing all students with the opportunity and expectation to understand mathematics using a wide variety of instructional models and representations is standards-based.
Progress towards Research Goals

- Mathematics professional development has been offered once; Coaching PD has been offered twice.
- Each has undergone minor revisions.
- Have coaches in the treatment group gained knowledge?
Early Results:
Mathematics Content

Plot of Means

Time

Estimate

1 2 3 4
No evidence of a treatment by time interaction (p-value=0.40)

Estimated gains were higher in treatment than control group, but not large enough to detect a treatment effect (p-value=0.739)

Evidence of a time effect (p-value=0.026)
Results: Coaching Knowledge

Estimated means of coach CKS conforming score, with 95% confidence intervals, as pre-test, before CKPD for either group, and after CKPD for group 2.
Ongoing Challenges

- Participant attrition – due to natural causes.
- Online component of PD
- No feedback after classroom observations of teachers
Conclusions

- We have a research base for PD for mathematics classroom coaching.
- Mathematics coaches who hold this knowledge may not have the impact desired because of other constraints of coaching.
- Knowledgeable coaches may have positive impact on teaching practice; further PD for coaches and coached teachers may be necessary based on other needs and school contexts.
We Are Grateful for our Participants!
Thank you!

Beth Burroughs
burroughs@math.montana.edu
http://math.montana.edu/~emc
## Mathematics Content Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number Sense</td>
<td>Computation</td>
<td>Fraction Concepts</td>
<td>Fraction Operations</td>
<td>Proportional Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Ratios</td>
<td>and Percents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number Sense

- It is important to select appropriate representations of numbers or numerical problems based on context.

- Factorization, divisibility and divisibility rules are based on mathematical structure.
Number Sense Activity (Example)

Here are several pairs of multiplication calculations.

What pattern do you notice when you find the products?

\[
\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc}
24 & 27 & 35 & 45 & 48 & 36 & 156 & 144 \\
\times 9 & \times 8 & \times 9 & \times 7 & \times 6 & \times 8 & \times 12 & \times 13 \\
\end{array}
\]

Explain why, in each case, the products are the same.

Write another pair of multiplication problems with the same product.
Computation

- The properties of numbers and operations on numbers create structure that underlies computational methods, including algorithms.

- Multiplicative thinking is a skill to develop with all students.

- Models can be used to solve contextual problems, decide what operation is involved, and give meaning to number sentences.
Write a story problem for \( \frac{32}{5} \) so that the question you pose would be answered by each of the following:

- 6 remainder 2
- 6 2/5
- 6.4
- 6 or 7
- 6
- 7
Fraction Concepts

- Unitizing is the basis for fraction understanding.

- There are various models for representing fractions and these complement each other and enrich the meaning of fractions.
Fraction Operations and Ratios

- Models for fractions and their operations reveal structure that underlies computational methods.

- Various mathematical connections link ratios and fractions.
Proportional Reasoning and Percents

- Multiplicative reasoning is a fundamental component of proportional reasoning.

- Proportional situations can be represented by a variety of models, and certain models promote sense-making in solving proportions.