University Graduate Council Minutes

Monday, January 28, 2013 3:00 p.m. 114 Sherrick Hall

- <u>Minutes of November 27, 2012: No discussion, approved.</u>
- Graduate School Dean: What should we look for?
 - Start fairly soon, need to come up with position description
 - Talked to all dept heads for feedback:
 - Extremes: Break up GS or Dean who is a vs. strong advocate for GS
 - o Discussion:
 - Majority supports: want strong advocate so issues not lost and graduate program can remain strong.
 - Strong grad program, be able to facilitate faculty in mentoring of PhD students and graduate of PhD students while maintaining integrity of system.
 - Someone that understood different kinds of programs-professional vs. research programs
 - Growth area in professional program over last 3-4 years
 - Organization and administration available as a graduate school bookresource
 - Someone who is able to maintain flexibility for programs (professional vs. research science; exceptions to maintain student focus)
 - Search Committee Members:
 - Research council member
 - UGC member
 - Dept head from professional program, EDUC/BUS/ARCH, hard science, social science, MSSE
 - Student
 - o Starting search ASAP
- <u>DegreeWorks</u>
 - Currently-degree requirements
 - Implementation-looks for student to be tied to advisor to access
 - ~10% students don't have advisor on admin paperwork
 - New policy: upon admission student must have advisor assigned
 - Start using right away
 - Students can still change advisors
 - Working with Admissions to implement procedure and not process app until advisor assigned
 - Suggestion:
 - If dept doesn't have advisor listed-default advisor
 - Fall 2013-Faculty Access Spring 2014-Student Access
 - Will write up policy, then come in and ask for a vote by next mtg
- Grad Reps
 - Needed for comps, dissertation, defense
 - Expected to sign off that exam was completed

- Not needed for proposal
- Policy read
- Email policy out to remind when grad rep is needed
- Late fee for Application for Advanced Degree
 - Chronic issue
 - Want to help students prepare for professional future
 - Not allowing Grad School to do due diligence
 - Want to incentivize students to turn in on time
 - Univ. Idaho-charge late fee
 - Discussion: Present not as "penalty" but as administrative necessity to provide proper student support. "Business realism"
 - o Discussion ensued
 - Need to go to Board of Regents to get approval
 - If no approval, go back next year
 - Proposal for late fee needs to be before UGC before end of January
 - Range of \$20-50 → \$30 fee or \$40 or \$50
 - Late fee for "express audit", "late penalty"
 - **Motion** to call it a "late audit fee" of \$30
 - Seconded
 - One opposed
 - Discussion: What to call on student accounts vs. explicit language in emails to students. "Penalty" **In addition to audit.**
 - Issues:
 - Banner-"late audit fee"
 - Emails-Explicit that there is a penalty involved

Motion Passed

• Motion to adjourn. Passed 4:15pm.