UNIVERSITY PLANNING BUDGET AND ANALYSIS COMMITTEE (UPBAC)  
March 25, 2003

PARTICIPANTS

Members present:
David Dooley       Greg Weisenstein       Rich Howard       Tom McCoy
Craig Roloff      Jerry Bancroft         Bruce McLeod       Sue Leigland
Lea Acord         Bruce Morton           Allen Yarnell       Sharron Quisenberry
Warren Jones      Jim Mitchell           Trevor Blyth        Marilyn Wessel
Diane Wyn         Jim McMillan

Members absent:
Robert Marley       Richard Semenik       David Gibson

Others present:
Joseph Fedock      Clyde Carroll          Kathy Attebury      Ben Sharp
Jim Rimpau         Chris Fastnow          Mark Sheehan        Shelley McKamey
Vic Cundy          Tom Gibson

FY04 Budget Discussion

- Proposed self-insured worker’s compensation plan
  Regents to vote on this recommendation at April 2, 2003 meeting
  If not approved, significant increase in costs for worker’s compensation

- Cost of education (COE) model
  Campuses with non-resident students generate more revenue than other campuses
  Regents will consider adjustments to the COE model at the April 2 meeting
  Presidents to develop plan to assist smaller campuses
  Possible reallocation of MSU-Bozeman budget to assist other MSU campuses

- Regents to approve tuition and fees at May meeting
  Current COE model could allow reallocation of program/course fees to other campuses

ITAC Report

- Review of ITAC report on IT budget amendments
  Failure to fund analysis
  Reduction in services from ITC
  Most of these services are considered essential

OLP Report

- Review of pledged revenue and debt service summary - OLP projections
  OLP funds used primarily for debt service
  Excess OLP funds may now be used for projects other than capital improvements
  R&R accounts grow about $200,000/year
  First obligation is to maintenance of facilities
Budget Amendment Discussion

- Budget Amendments
  - Some level of budget amendments will be considered for funding
  - Ranking of budget amendments may be re-visited if necessary
- Suggestions for how to proceed with budget amendments
  - Fund total cost of top ranked items (many cannot be partially funded)
  - Separate for individual consideration
    - Pay increase for faculty and professionals
    - Salary floor and promotions
  - Develop strategy to support selected budget amendments
  - Possible reductions in other areas
- Each executive will develop implementation plan for budget amendments pertinent to area
  - Utilize ranking system developed by UPBAC
  - Global amendments will be considered separately
  - Work down to $1.5 million
- How to deal with possible reductions
  - Previously used percentage formula to determine each executive’s share of reduction
  - Decisions remain with appropriate executive
  - Discussion of possible dramatic or subtle impacts
    - Possible enrollment cap