UNIVERSITY PLANNING BUDGET AND ANALYSIS COMMITTEE (UPBAC)
December 15, 2009
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*******************************************************************************

➢ State Budget Update
  • “State Revenue Update and Ramifications for MSU” handout distributed
    o Revenue collection trends have progressively worsened each month of the fiscal year
    o Revenues for FY10 could be $122 million below projections
    o Revenues for FY11 could be $121 million below projections
    o Total shortfall for biennium could be $243 million or 6.7%
    o Based on these projections, MSU could face a state funding deficit in FY12 of $4 to 5 million

➢ Discussion of Investment Proposals
  • UPBAC Working Group continues to work on budget reduction suggestions and hopes to bring report to full committee in late January
  • Would like to reach consensus on allocation of addition revenue and submit recommendation to President this week
  • Funds being considered today represent approximately 25% of total additional revenue
  • Funds are one-time-only and targeted for investments to mitigate future budget cuts
  • 19 proposals forwarded by the Vice Presidents
    o Prioritized at VP level
    o All proposals were viable
    o Four times the number of requests as funds available
  • Working Group has forwarded a recommendation for the committee’s consideration (attached)
    o Criteria considered by Working Group included:
      - Proposals that make or save money
      - No need for financial commitment beyond FY10
• Recommendation represents consensus view, but necessarily unanimous opinion
• Seven proposals recommended for funding clearly met all criteria established by the Working Group
  • Discussion of proposals
    • Accountability for funded proposals?
    • Possibility for additional OTO funding from individual VPs
    • Proposals were for global investments with university-wide impact
    • Questions regarding specific proposals
  • Motion made and seconded to accept recommendation of Working Group as presented
    • Amendment proposed to reduce all proposals by 5% to support additional sabbatical funding
      - Amendment seconded
    • Discussion regarding whether sabbaticals are bargainable under new union organization
      - Legal Counsel confirmed that this was bargainable
    • Friendly amendment was withdrawn
    • Call for vote on original motion
      - Motion passed unanimously

➤ Strategic Planning Committee (SPC) Update
  • SPC will delay any future meetings until new President can provide more direction
  • Overview of Mission Review process given by Provost
  • Question raised regarding distribution of savings realized through efficiency measures (energy savings)