

Peer Review Feedback Form

EE 505 Paper

Reviewer: _____

Grade: _____/100

Author: _____

Instructions

Good writing comes from revision. One of the most valuable skills you can have as an engineer, either in industry or in academia, is the ability to critically review your own writing as well as the writing of others.

You will be working in a peer review group of three people. Each person in the group will review the papers of the other two people in the group, so you will need two copies of your paper and two copies of this “Peer Review Feedback Form.” Review the papers of your peers, completing this form for each paper (including your name and the author’s name at the top). When you submit your final paper, also submit the two “Peer Review Feedback Forms” from your peers.

Questions

1. Abstract: Is all of the necessary information there? As a reader, do you know enough about the paper to decide whether or not it is relevant to your interests? Is it clear and easy to read? Suggestions?
2. Introduction: Does the introduction give not only the topic, but the purpose of the paper? Does it introduce the scope of the review? Does it introduce the major sections of the paper? Does it entice the reader to read the paper? Suggestions?
3. Summary of the technology: Is it a substantive review that includes viable sources? Is it organized clearly and logically so that the reader gets a clear picture of what's happening in this area? Are there sources of information that are missing? Any suggestions?
4. Analysis and discussion: Is it an in-depth analysis with a critical look at the field as well as a thoughtful projection of the future prospects? Are stated opinions supported with evidence? Is there a proper closing to the paper? Any suggestions?

5. References cited: Are all of the references there? Is the format consistent from one reference to the next? Is the format a standard citation format, for both print and electronic sources?

6. Figures (if applicable): Are figures integrated with the text (rather than included at the end)? Are they numbered, introduced, interpreted and referenced? Are they readable? Suggestions?

7. Writing: Are there issues with grammar, word choice, punctuation, or mechanics that detract from the professional quality of the paper? What are they? (Make comments on the paper here.)

8. Style and tone: Does the paper have a professional style and tone (not too casual) without being dry and stilted? Is it interesting to read? Suggestions?