MEETING NOTES OF THE
UNIVERSITY FACILITIES PLANNING BOARD
April 26, 2011

Members Present: Susan Agre-Kippenhan - Chair, Walt Banziger - Vice Chair, James Becker, Kurt Blunck, Richie Boyd for Joe Fedock, Jeff Butler, Linda LaCrone for McCoy, Patricia Lane, Robert Lashaway for Leist, Joseph Thiel – ASMSU, Jim Thull, Brenda York


Guests: Victoria Drummond, Candace Mastel, Facilities Planning, Design & Construction; Alice Janke, Grounds - Facilities Services; Julie Kipfer, Communications; Duane Morris, Auxiliary Services

The University Facilities Planning Board met beginning at 3:30 pm to discuss the following:

ITEM No. 1 – Approval of Meeting Notes
Butler moved to approve the meeting notes from March 29, 2011; Thiel seconded the motion. The meeting notes were approved unanimously.

ITEM No. 2 – Executive Committee Report – Presented by Banziger. Two weeks ago, with Agre-Kippenhan’s approval, Banziger, Lashaway, and Butler met with Morris and Stump to discuss the oversight of UFPB over the SUB and other Auxiliary units. Currently, all interior spaces of the residence halls fall under the Director of Auxiliaries responsibilities and don’t need to come to UFPB unless they affect the outside of the building or are major renovations, then they come to UFPB for information or comment, but not necessarily for review and approval. The SUB, Fitness Center, Athletic Facilities, Stadium and the Field House are also managed by Auxiliaries and have special needs that sometimes fall outside of the academic requirements that UFPB oversees. The UFPB Executive Committee discussed and identified these gray areas. In all cases of Residence Halls, SUB, Fitness Center, Stadium and the Field House, anything that affects the exterior appearance of the building and impacts the overall appearance of campus does fall under UFPB jurisdiction to review and make recommendations to the President for approval. It was decided it was in the best interest of UFPB and the Auxiliary Units to relax some of the requirements for the interior spaces, particularly due to the management structure and the day-to-day operations of the facilities. Because the SUB has many outside entities, such as storefronts, it is a student managed building, is a closely managed building by Morris and Damberger in terms of day-to-day operations – putting up signs and managing student events, it is taxing on UFPB as well as management of the SUB to bring all those items to UFPB every time they have an event. Again, the UFPB Executive Committee decided to relax the standards required and allows the SUB management, FPDC and Facilities to do the day-to-day operations and minor and medium sized renovations and they would bring things to UFPB for review and information as needed. The Fitness Center, because it operates similarly to academic buildings, would continue to bring items to UFPB for recommendation and approval for modification. The others, Field House, Shroyer Gym, the Stadium and Athletic Facilities, advertising for athletic events and events within the facilities, food service operations needs fall under a similar operation as the SUB does, would just bring major items to UFPB.

ITEM No. 3 – Consent Agenda – None at this time

ITEM No. 4 – Information – Alumni Legacy Lounge
Banziger presented the information. The Alumni Legacy Lounge was a consent agenda item on the 4/12/11 UFPB Agenda. It is on today’s agenda as an informational item because it was pulled from the consent agenda for presentation. The UFPB Executive Committee didn’t want it to disappear without an explanation, because there were some good comments that came back through emails regarding artwork and how fused glass works that have been shared with Stump, Damberger and Morris. It now awaits approval of the Commemorative Tributes Committee in terms of its naming opportunity after it was approved by the SUB Advisory Board, and will be constructed this summer.

Morris stated the SUB Advisory Board has recently started up again; it is comprised of four or five students of ASMSU Senate who met and approved the item. The students’ main concern is that they didn’t want the function of the room to change. Thiel will present this as an informational item to ASMSU when he has the opportunity.

ITEM No. 5 – Recommendation – Track seating and equipment storage building
Banziger presented the recommendation for approval to proceed with the concept design and construction of the track bleachers and equipment storage project. As part of the Stadium renovation, the storage area was removed. The original
The intent of the storage structure was to be constructed within the Stadium; because of space requirements and access, it was deemed better to make the track storage an independent building of the Stadium and closer to the track. There is already another project that is working to create approximately 1,200 bleacher seats and a Scoring Booth to be located at the finish line. The two projects were combined into one and will be managed in a very similar process to the Stadium process of going to the BOR for permission to lease the sight, the Foundation would then take over management of the project; Martel Construction has agreed to take on the construction portion of the project under the same terms and conditions that the Stadium is being constructed, which is basically zero fee, zero markup. Lowell Springer has donated the design services at a minimal fee.

The bleacher plan provides ADA ramp up to seating and a 400 square foot press box. The storage facility will be built with pre-laminated constructed panels that are well insulated and energy efficient. The structure will have a concrete foundation and it will include two garage doors, an observation window and one access door. It will have a metal skin that will match the current Stadium in color and appearance. The construction start date will be in June and completion date is set for November 2011. The structure will have electricity, water and a telephone line.

York moved to approve the request as proposed; Boyd seconded the motion. The vote:
   No: 0
   Abstain: 1 - Everts
   Yes: 17 – including the proxy votes of Bristor, Hansen, Mooney and Stump

**ITEM No. 6 – Recommendation – Roberts Hall Landscaping**
Mastel presented the request for approval of the redo or facelift for the landscaping around Roberts Hall. Those items that will be maintained are the existing yews at the front of the building and the existing lilac on the northwest corner, as will the Japanese Tree Lilac’s on the west entry of the building. The idea is to complement the symmetry of the building and the formal entrance while keeping the two large trees and the bike pads approximately where they are now located. There will be three newer beds created where the aspens and maples have become overgrown. Also, a stone walkway will be installed on the east side of building where currently a dirt pathway exists. The cost is approximately $20,000, funded by major maintenance.

Lashaway moved for approval with the contingency it has Dean Marley’s approval; if he has concerns, it will be brought back to UFPB; Lane seconded the motion. It was approved unanimously including the proxy votes of Bristor, Everts, Hansen, Mooney and Stump.

**ITEM No. 7 – Information – S. 11th Avenue light pole banners**
Mastel explained that the banners are being hung on the light poles on the west side of S 11th Avenue. The banners will be installed permanently using brackets similar to those along Centennial Mall and measure approximately 18”X60” long and 30”X 60” long. This will help preserve the trees and their growth form on the east side of the street and also help link the west side of the street to core campus. This project, lead by community communicator, Julie Kipfer added that graphics on banners depict more campus icons and activities than Centennial Mall banners.

This meeting was adjourned at 4:10 p.m.