MEETING NOTES OF THE
UNIVERSITY FACILITIES PLANNING BOARD
February 25, 2014

Members Present: Walt Banziger - Vice Chair, Jeff Butler, Michael Everts, Greg Gilpin, Carsten Kirby, Ritchie Boyd for Martha Potvin, Fatih Rifki, Brenda York, Jim Thull, Chris Saunders,

Proxy: Jeff Butler for Tom Stump, Walt Banziger for Bob Lashaway

Members Absent: Nancy Cornwell - Chair, Allyson Brekke, Glenn Duff, Jim Luebbers for Robert Marley, Julie Tatarka, Tom Stump, Victoria Drummond, Terry Leist, Bob Lashaway, Mandy Hansen, Anne Camper, Chris Fastnow

The University Facilities Planning Board met beginning at 3:30 pm to discuss the following:

ITEM No. 1 – Approval of Meeting Notes
Carsten moved to approve the meeting notes from February 11, 2014. Jeff seconded the motion. The meeting notes were approved unanimously.

ITEM No. 2 – Executive Committee Report
There was no action from the Executive Committee to report.

ITEM No. 3 – Consent Agenda – No items

ITEM No. 4 – RECOMMENDATION – Miller Dining Hall Exterior Finishes Pallette
Andy Allen presented the exterior finishes proposed for the new Miller Dining Hall upgrades. There are upgrades to entrances on all sides of the building, north, west, east and south and upgraded loading docks. Andy brought examples of the proposed finishes for the exterior of the Miller Dining Hall, and looked to gain approval.

Allen discussed the design team is trying to match the existing red brick with the option that is available to them now. There will be a grey brick with a combed face texture used to transition the new red brick into the building. There will also be stamped steel sheeting that will hang over the vestibules at each entrance to the dining hall. This stamped steel sheeting will provide shade in the vestibules. The metal components will be painted, so that there will be no raw steel showing.

The whole building will be re-sofeted and re-fasciaed. All the windows and doors will be replaced in the Miller Dining Hall as an effort to make the building more energy efficient. Metal colors were presented that are closely related to the colors used in the North Hedges upgrades. Banziger questioned if there would be any concerns with pigeons roosting in the vestibules once the steel sheeting was in place, and Butler commented that any exterior project should consider the possibility of pigeon roosting and how that can be mitigated. Allen noted that the exterior of the dining hall would essentially be the same with the addition of grey brick and a rain shield in place of the current aggregate panels. There was discussion as to whether the red brick being used on the outside of Miller would match the neighboring Roskie Hall. Allen explained that it would not match exactly, however the grey brick would be used in between new red brick and existing brick to try and blend the colors together without being noticeably different.

Butler moved to approve the recommendation as presented. Boyd seconded. The motion passed unanimously. The vote:

Yes: 10
No: 0

ITEM No. 5 – RECOMMENDATION - SUB Ballroom Storage Addition
Bill Mackin presented an overview of the Strand Union Building Ballroom Storage Addition project and some of the challenges that the design team have faced. There have been several options the design team considered for a storage addition. First consideration was to use the existing store room, which is technically two stories, to gain the needed storage area. A structural engineer was brought in to look at the store room and found that the foundation was not adequate to hold the additional load that would be placed on it. Another concern the structural engineer had was that a lift would be needed in the store room, which would actually decrease the square footage of storage space. To increase the capacity of the foundation and...
install a lift was found to be impractical and too expensive. Option B was to place an addition on the north side of the SUB in the alley way which after some investigation was found to be a heavily used service drive and high traffic area therefore, the option was found unsuitable. Option C (the final option) was to consider the expansion of the SUB (approximately 2700 square feet of storage) and where a logical location for a new storage room. The proposed location for the storage addition is on the corner of the east side of the SUB. Mackin noted there would be some disruption to the existing landscape that would include a tree, but Hook thought the damage could be mitigated by adding additional trees in the area.

The best option would not require a lift, would connect to existing floors near the ballroom, and would be partially above ground and part of it below grade. This option would allow for the existing freight elevator to move things from the upper level to the lower level. Thull questioned if this was the cheapest of the options and Mackin confirmed that this was the cheapest solution in the long run. Thull also questioned if there was a commitment to replace any removed trees, and Mackin confirmed that any trees or external lighting removed would be replaced.

There was discussion of what the exterior of the addition would look like, and whether it would match the current features of the SUB. Mackin confirmed the addition would follow the same exterior design as the SUB. There will be no new windows added in the addition. The addition will meet all fire codes. Butler questioned if the foundations of the new addition would be built with the possibility of added a third floor to the addition later on if needed and the consultant confirmed that although he could not guarantee that the footings of the new addition would meet building codes for the distant future, that the footings of the new addition would be built so the third floor could be an option now.

Following the meeting, Facilities Planning, Design & Construction read the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) comment that Option B did not negatively impact the historic section of the SUB.

Butler moved to approve Option B as presented. Kirby seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

The vote:
   Yes: 10
   No: 0

**ITEM No. 6 – INFORMATIONAL – Classroom Design Guidelines & MSU Design Guidelines Review**

Banziger reminded everyone that the classroom design guideline comments need to be turned into Randy Stephens. Kirby pointed out that it would be helpful to have standards as far as screen placement in lecture halls. Stephens noted that these guidelines are more for future changes of rooms, rather than fix existing problems or renovating space. Randy pointed out that it would be good to look at these guidelines with this fact in mind when thinking about renovations. Rifki thought that these guidelines struck a good balance of having guides from designers and the ability to adapt the guidelines to a rooms needs. Gilpin shared that the AV equipment lacked standards that often the AV equipment is not suitable for professor needs and thought some guidelines would be helpful for that. Banziger shared that they were going to finish the guidelines soon and they include the TEAL classrooms.

**Announcements**

Banziger announced that the Classroom Committee has agreed to expand its membership to include 1 faculty member from each college. There was a notice to faculty senate for appointments from additional colleges sent out. This way every college is represented.

This meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.
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