MEETING NOTES OF THE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES PLANNING BOARD
October 20, 2015

Members Present: Kregg Aytes – Chair, Walt Banziger – Co-Chair, Jeff Butler, Brenda York, Chris Fastnow, Neil Jorgensen, Kurt Blunck, Fatih Rifki, Julie Tatarka, Tom Stump, Bob Lashaway, David Singel, Kyle Glose

Proxy: Walt Banziger for Renee Reijo Pera, Allyson Brekke, and Michael Everts

Members Absent: Brett Gunnink, Martha Potvin, Charles Boyer, Jim Thull

Staff & Guests: Sam Des Jardins, Randy Stephens, Darryl Curfman

The University Facilities Planning Board met beginning at 3:30 pm to discuss the following:

ITEM No. 1 – Approval of Meeting Notes
Stump moved to approve the meeting notes from August 11, 2015 and September 22, 2015. Blunck seconded the motion. The meeting notes were approved unanimously.

ITEM No. 2 – Executive Committee Report
There was no action from the Executive Committee to report.

ITEM No. 3 – Consent Agenda – No Items

The agenda was reorganized in the following order:

ITEM No. 5 – INFORMATIONAL - NAIC and Parking Garage Update
Sam Des Jardins gave an update on the NAIC and Parking Garage projects. The construction site has been set up and parking has been reconfigured at the North Gatton lot and the Pay Parking lot. The Parking Garage will be in construction from now through January 2017. Construction on the NAIC will begin in Fall 2016 and open Fall 2018. During the time when both projects are in construction, there will not be parking available in North Gatton lot and the Pay Parking lot. There is parking available in South Gatton lot, Huffman Building, and the east Stadium lot with a personnel gate.

Within the construction site, Martel’s construction trailer is built of four large shipping containers and modified into an office space. The exterior is blue and gold, and they used many materials that were leftover materials. This will provide adequate meeting space during construction.

The design of the Parking Garage is complete, aside from the exterior appearance. This will be developed as the design of the NAIC is developed so that the two fit well together. Currently the plan is for a metal perforated skin on the exterior concrete. There will be more information about this in December. Des Jardins also reviewed the general layout of the site for the Parking Garage and the NAIC. Site improvements will be completed during construction of the NAIC. It was noted that Kagy Boulevard is also going to be expanded which will have some impact on traffic on S. 7th Ave and the Parking Garage project.

ITEM No. 6 – RECOMMENDATION - Freshman Residence Complex Name
Walt Banziger introduced the proposal to name the Freshman Residence Complex “Yellowstone Hall”. This building is currently in construction and scheduled to be completed for Fall 2016. The proposed name has been reviewed by the Residence Hall student government (IRHA), Housing Staff, the Residence Life Advisory Committee, the Building Construction Committee ASMSU, and other invested partners. The name was universally recommended by these committees. The Commemorative Tributes Committee has voted unanimously [5 YES] in favor of approving the proposed name.
Stump added the name “Yellowstone Hall” relates to the proximity of the Freshman Residence Complex to the Headwater Complex, including Gallatin Hall, Madison Hall, and Jefferson Hall. These names, and the proposed name, are based off the river landmarks.

Blunck moved to approve the name as proposed. Glose seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The vote:
Yes: 16
No: 0

**ITEM No. 4 –DISCUSSION - Student Building Fees Fund Work Group**

Banziger introduced a discussion about the Student Building Fees Fund, which UFPB approved on June 16, 2015, and marketing and how projects get funded with this fund. The intention is to create a process that is transparent and equitable for requests.

Aytes brought to attention that the Guidelines for Use of Student Building Fee Funds describes Academic Buildings as “state-funded facilities that house instructional and/or research uses for which operations and maintenance funding is generally provided by the state.” Also, “non-state funded research facilities” are listed as “buildings funded by auxiliary self-supporting revenues or other student fees.” There was additional discussion on how to clarify the guidelines. Singel stated for the record that if there is an idea for a fee for use in academic buildings, it should be applied retro-actively.

Butler moved that to clarify that non-state funded academic buildings, such as the Chemistry & Biochemistry Building, are not excluded from use of the Student Building Fees Fund, the following changes should to the wording should be made:

- In part 1d. remove “state-funded” so the sentence reads: “Academic Buildings” means facilities that house instructional and/or research uses for which operations and maintenance funding is generally provided by the state.
- In part 1e. remove “non-state funded research facilities” so the sentence reads: These student building fees are generally not intended for use on buildings funded by auxiliary self-supporting revenues or other student fees (e.g., auxiliary facilities, sports facilities, parking facilities, etc.).

Stump seconded the motion. The motion passed affirmatively.

The vote:
Yes: 16
No: 0

Banziger proposed establishing a work group to recommend a process for the Student Building Fees Fund to be appropriated. This will help clarify how to get a project on the priority list and how requesters are notified of action. The work group will be made up of Walt Banziger, David Singel, Victoria Drummond, Kyle Glose, Jeff Butler, Brenda York, and Mike Everts.

This meeting was adjourned at 4:10p.m.
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MEETING NOTES OF THE UNIVERSITY FACILITIES PLANNING BOARD
October 26, 2015

Members Present: Kregg Aytes – Chair, Walt Banziger – Co-Chair, Jeff Butler, Brenda York, Chris Fastnow, Neil Jorgensen, Kurt Blunck, Bob Lashaway, Julie Tatarka, Martha Potvin, Jim Thull, Michael Everts, Chris Kearns

Proxy: Duane Morris for Tom Stump

Members Excused: Brett Gunnink, Fatih Rifki, Charles Boyer, David Singel

Staff & Guests: President Cruzado, Victoria Drummond, Tony Campeau, Celia O’Connor, Maureen McCarthy

The University Facilities Planning Board met for a rescheduled meeting in SUB 235 at 2:00pm to discuss the following:

ITEM No. 1 – DISCUSSION – Lincoln Sculpture Gift Proposal and Development of Multiple-Art Gift Plan

Kregg Aytes introduced President Cruzado to clarify and discuss the Lincoln sculpture gift proposal. Cruzado explained that Jim Dolan’s presence at MSU Bozeman is noticeable through the six art pieces by the artist on Campus. She added that when the bust of Beethoven was being reviewed, there were concerns about the long term plan of the institution for variety, but UFPB did recommend proceeding with the sculpture. Similar concerns have arisen with the Lincoln sculpture, however Cruzado believes it is important to accept the sculpture of Abraham Lincoln as a historical figure who signed the Morrill Act establishing land grant institutions. This is an opportunity to celebrate Lincoln’s accomplishment with a monument, possibly complimented with a plaque.

Celia O’Connor, MSU Alumni representative of the Public Art Committee (PAC), explained that she considered locations for the Lincoln sculpture and suggests in the roundabout at S. 11th Ave and W. College St. She also agrees on the importance of MSU as a land grant institution. Lashaway responded that there would be a further study of an appropriate location and UFPB would make a recommendation on this. Victoria Drummond also noted that it has also been recommended that a multiple-art gift plan be developed with Dolan, which was part of PAC’s and UFPB’s recommendation. Martha Potvin commented that MSU should embrace diversity of artists. Chris Fastnow commented that the rubric that the Public Art Committee has developed was helpful in evaluating the Lincoln sculpture in multiple categories, including diversity. Jim Thull agreed, and raised the concern that MSU does not have a budget for purchasing art so we rely on donations.

Drummond explained that several years ago PAC proposed a 1% fee on construction projects go to a fund for public art, similar to the State’s Percent for Art. When this was proposed, there was overwhelming response from several entities that they wanted to be exempt, which left only ASMSU contributing to a public art fund. Cruzado has seen both a small portion of construction projects and a small fee from each student go toward public art in other universities. She suggested conducting a study on the financial impact that a proposal like this could have. Chris Kearns commented that the possibility of student investment in public art is a good opportunity to have a discussion with students about the values that we want to put into public spaces. Walt Banziger suggested that it may be possible to rotate donated art from artists.

Cruzado explained that MSU will be celebrating 125 years in 2018 and planning for a year-long celebration has begun. As part of this, there will be a Memento Committee, which may collect items that represent the spirit of the state of Montana from members of the community. She asked if UFPB would discuss this as a future agenda item to contribute suggestions. Cruzado excused herself from the meeting to allow UFPB to have additional discussion about the Lincoln sculpture and vote as needed.

Banziger moved to bring the Lincoln sculpture back to UFPB for another vote. Lashaway seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The vote:
Yes: 14
No: 0
Kurt Blunck commented that having art displayed on campus may encourage additional donations, and having multiple donations from one artist may not prevent that. Lashaway responded that Dolan is encouraging MSU to discuss how to solicit art, and he promotes to others in the art community to donate to MSU. Lashaway also suggested the possibility of art that is for sale being rotated on display on campus for a contract period. Banziger added that the University is growing to the point that we may need to make a transition to curatorship, which we do not currently have.

Lashaway discussed the Lincoln sculpture and the appearance and quality of the piece. Kearns added that the appearance of the Lincoln sculpture may be part of the individual reaction to the art. He also said that the Lincoln sculpture is a good opportunity to publicly project our values.

Lashaway moved to approve the Lincoln sculpture and propose possible locations for the sculpture; in addition a multiple-art gift plan will be developed with the artist. Banziger seconded the motion. The motion passed affirmatively.

The vote:
Yes: 13
No: 0
Abstain: 1 (Everts)

The next steps are (1) CPDC and Facilities Services to recommend sites to PAC and UFPB, (2) PAC and CPDC to discuss a plan for multiple art gifts with Dolan, (3) CPDC beginning to consider the presentation of the sculpture, possibly a plaque, and (4) pursue the establishment of a fund of public art.

This meeting was adjourned at 2:50 p.m.