

Minutes
CORE 2.0 Committee (C2C)
September 22, 2009
11:00 p.m. in Bradley Conference Room

Chair: Jeff Adams, Assistant Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education

Present: John Borkowski, Kirk Branch, Patricia Catoira, Tim Donahue, Emily Edwards,
Michael Everts, Phil Gaines, Kevin O'Neill, Brett Walker
Diane Heck (Administrative Support)

1. Call to Order
2. Jeff Adams: This year we need to complete the Core re-approval process and take a look at a possible Core 3.0 or 2.1. We need to start now on Core 3.0 if we want to have it ready for the 2014-2016 Catalog.
3. Committee Membership:
 - a. CIS is OK .
 - b. Inquiry – need to replace Doug Bartholomew.
 - c. Research – need new chair or just new member and get a current member to be Chair.
 - d. Diversity is OK.
 - e. Jeff requested ideas on who might replace these two members, especially the Research Chair. Phil Gaines suggested Colin Shaw as a possible replacement for the Research Chair. Please submit any other ideas to Jeff.
 - f. Jeff suggested everyone should be thinking of new members for all the Core Steering Committees. We shouldn't wait until someone resigns but should get new members for new fresh ideas.
4. Steering Committee Status Reports:
 - a. Brett Walker reported on the Diversity Committee. They have reviewed most of the Core re-approvals submitted. Using Opal access to review. All has been done electronically. They found the core proposals were still focused on core criteria. Language courses have integrated cultural experiences into their courses. Hoping to have all reviews done by end of AY09.
 - b. Kevin O'Neill of Inquiry reported that they will get back on track on the reviews. Wanted Diane to send review information and access information to him. Diane agreed to send this to everyone after Accreditation was over.
 - c. Jeff would like to meet face-to-face with each Core steering committee. These meetings will be set up by Diane Heck. Jeff would like these to be done by Christmas.
5. Next steps in Core review: It was suggested that the committee needs to focus on courses that have no steering committee such as Seminars, Math, and Writing. Need an oversight process. Discussed AgEduc seminar and how it got to be core. Per Jeff it was brought in when Core began. Never approved under 2.0. There should be a conversation on how seminars meet core criteria. It is time to send out the next batch of Core letters requesting Core re-approval proposals.
6. Jeff distributed charts on the data from the Senior Exit Survey from Spring 09 which included questions on the value of Core courses. This was discussed and the committee reviewed the trends. Discussion ensued on what may be the cause of some of the results.
7. Meeting Adjourned.