Departmental Base Budget Overview												
Department	Legislative	Audit Costs	5			Executive	Institutiona	I Cost Cent	ers			
Index	401004					Program	06					
Base Budget	S:										10-Year %	
1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change	
40,413	51,292	0	30,032	30,032	62,412	62,412	43,361	43,361	56,407	43,361	7.29%	

This is a fixed cost of the institution that is set by the State Legislative Audit Office, and mandated by the Legislature. This represents approximately 50% of the audit fees we will be invoiced, by the State, for auditing our accounts and operations. The remaining costs of the State audit are paid with other funds, such as those from our Auxiliary operations.

Department Index	Institution 4A1006	al Mem	bership	8				Executive Program						
Base Budgets												Г	10-Year %	
1997	1998	199	9	2000	2001	20	02	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change	
25,500	39,083	39	9,083	39,083	39,08	83 3	39,083	39,083	39,083	39,083	44,253	48,988	92.11	
Name	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	-			
											Full Name			
NWASC	5,800	5,800	7,250	8,700	9,135	10,449	10,658	10,871	11,306	11,871	Commission of	lan		
ACE CHEA	5,894	5,894	6,192	6,192	6,378	6,761	7,129	7,325	7,325	7,545	American Cou			
JHEA	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	300	300	300	400	400	455	Council for Hig Accreditation	ner Education		
					7 5 0 0	7 5 2 0		7 5 0 0	0 0 0	0 500	Montana Cam	nus Compact		
VCC	7,508	7,508	7,508	7,538	7,538	7,538	7,538	7,538	8,500	8,500	Montana Cam	ous compact		
										est.				
	7,508	7,508 1,200	7,508 1,200	7,538	7,538	1,200	7,538	1,200	1,200		Western Inters		ion	
WICHE	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	est. 1,200	Western Inters for Higher Ed	tate Commiss	-	
WICHE										est. 1,200 11,750	Western Inters for Higher Ed Natl Assoc Sta	tate Commiss te Univ & Lan		
WICHE	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	est. 1,200 11,750 est.	Western Inters for Higher Ed Natl Assoc Sta grant Colleges	tate Commiss te Univ & Lan		
WICHE	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	est. 1,200 11,750	Western Inters for Higher Ed Natl Assoc Sta grant Colleges NASULGC-Inte	tate Commiss te Univ & Lan	-	
NICHE	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	est. 1,200 11,750 est.	Western Inters for Higher Ed Natl Assoc Sta grant Colleges NASULGC-Inte Agriculture Co	tate Commiss te Univ & Lan	-	
MCC WICHE NASULGC ACC	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	est. 1,200 11,750 est.	Western Inters for Higher Ed Natl Assoc Sta grant Colleges NASULGC-Inte	tate Commiss te Univ & Land ernational ordinating	d-	

* A base budget adjustment in this amount has been approved.

Departmental Base Budget Overview												
Department	Service Cos	sts				Executive	Institutional	Cost Cente	ers			
Index	4A6110					Program	06					
Base Budgets	:										10-Year %	
1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change	
150,000	145,000	161,000	233,600	265,960	315,163	361,975	361,975	361,975	398,899	398,899	165.93%	

The funds in this budget pay the merchant fees for credit card payments made to the Controller's Office for student tuition and fees. This payment option is becoming more popular each year. When tuition and housing charges rise, merchant fees increase accordingly, as they are charged based on a percent of sales.

Web payment, which will be available in 2006, will likely result in an increase in the service costs due to an increase in the number of credit card payments.

	Departmental Base Budget Overview											
Departm	nent	Benefit Poo	ols				Executive	Institutiona	I Cost Cente	ers		
Index		401301 - 40	1307				Program	01 - 07				
Base Bud	lgets:											10-Year %
1997	,	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change
8,854,	,219	10,290,828	10,897,748	11,567,254	12,634,385	13,471,503	15,326,454	16,431,596	17,480,368	18,640,848	19,915,706	124.93%

This series of accounts records all statutory benefit costs associated with the salaries of all positions in our General Operating budgets. Also included are retirement costs and sick leave termination payouts.

Departmental Base Budget Overview												
Department	Department Student Services Overhead Cost Distribution Executive Institutional Cost Centers											
Index	401015					Program	05					
Base Budgets	:										10-Year %	
1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change	
(100,000)	(100,000)	(243,852)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(100,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	(160,000)	60.00%	

Each year the University implements a process to distribute overhead costs to entities that receive centralized services from University General Operating departments. Overhead cost allocations are an accepted cost accounting practice which records where costs should appropriately reside, and which reflects more accurately each entity's true cost of doing business. The State of Montana maintains a similar practice to distribute proportionate shares of its centralized overhead costs to each State agency.

Over 20 different centralized services are provided by General Operating departments of the University for four other MSU and State agencies, as well as five major non-appropriated entities on the campus. The State expects us to distribute the costs of our central services to all non-educational entities, so that neither the State educational appropriation, nor our tuition revenue, is used to pay for central services that are being provided to others.

All overhead costs distributions are calculated on specific services and levels of use, and the resulting fund transfers are used only for the purpose of reducing the overall cost of those service departments within the MSU budget. The Student Services Overhead Costs Distribution Index records the fund transfers for services provided to Residence Life & University Food Services, by the Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs.

In FY99, Student Services budgeted beyond their total allocation. A base reduction of \$143,852 was processed once Student Services departments had their budgets adjusted.

			D	epartme	ntal Base	Budget	Overview	/			
Department	Institutiona	l Support O	verhead Co	st Distribut	ion	Executive	Institutional	Cost Cente	rs		
Index	401016					Program	06				
Base Budgets											10-Year %
1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change
(1,428,225)	(1,360,239)	(1,929,828)	(1,897,396)	(1,948,565)	(2,039,457)	(2,154,470)	(2,511,712)	(2,561,819)	(2,561,819)	(2,617,270)	83.25%

Each year the University implements a process to distribute overhead costs to entities that receive centralized services from University General Operating departments. Overhead cost allocations are an accepted cost accounting practice which records where costs should appropriately reside, and which reflects more accurately each entity's true cost of doing business. The State of Montana maintains a similar practice to distribute proportionate shares of its centralized overhead costs to each State agency.

Over 20 different centralized services are provided by General Operating departments of the University for four other MSU and State agencies, as well as five major non-appropriated entities on the campus. The State expects us to distribute the costs of our central services to all non-educational entities, so that neither the State educational appropriation, nor our tuition revenue, is used to pay for central services that are being provided to others.

All overhead costs distributions are calculated on specific services and levels of use, and the resulting fund transfers are used only for the purpose of reducing the overall cost of those service departments within the MSU budget.

The Administrative Overhead Costs Distribution Index records the fund transfers for centralized services such as the Controller's Office, Personnel & Payroll Services, President's Office, Affirmative Action Office, University Police, and Campus Mail. This is also the Index in which we post all collections from the University's four percent Administrative Fee on expenditure activity in designated indexes.

*NOTE

The significant budget increase from FY03 to FY04 is largely due to the increased insurance costs to the University. FY04 insurance costs increased by approximately 250%. Also, VP Research is beginning to contribute dollars toward overhead costs. They contributed an additional \$200,000 to overhead costs in FY04.

ſ				D	epartme	ntal Base	e Budget	Overview	1			
	Department	Physical Pla	ant Overhea	ad Cost Dist	ribution		Executive	Institutional	Cost Cente	ers		
	Index	4A7200					Program	07				
E	ase Budgets											10-Year %
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Change
	(937,919)	(1,185,947)	(1,179,910)	(1,255,549)	(1,316,584)	(1,417,975)	(1,581,184)	(2,063,598)	(2,470,333)	(2,874,806)	(2,776,986)	196.08%

Each year the University implements a process to distribute overhead costs to entities that receive centralized services from University General Operating departments. Overhead cost allocations are an accepted cost accounting practice which records where costs should appropriately reside, and which reflects more accurately each entity's true cost of doing business. The State of Montana maintains a similar practice to distribute proportionate shares of its centralized overhead costs to each State agency.

Over 20 different centralized services are provided by General Operating departments of the University for four other MSU and State agencies, as well as five major non-appropriated entities on the campus. The State expects us to distribute the costs of our central services to all non-educational entities, so that neither the State educational appropriation, nor our tuition revenue, is used to pay for central services that are being provided to others.

All overhead costs distributions are calculated on specific services and levels of use, and the resulting fund transfers are used only for the purpose of reducing the overall cost of those service departments within the MSU budget.

The Physical Plant Overhead Costs Distribution Index records the fund transfers for centralized services such as Custodial Services, Landscape & Grounds, Trash Collection, and Building Maintenance. This is also the index in which we post all collections made by Facilities Services for the reimbursement of extra services provided to customers, upon request.

*NOTE

This budget also includes OFS Reimbursements (MDOT rent, AgBio's share of general operating funded O&M, OFS Non-State Funded Project Fees, and OFS Services that are provided in addition to the level of services assessed through the Overhead Cost Distribution process). The significant budget increase from FY03 to FY06 is largely due to the increased insurance costs to the University. Insurance costs have increased by approximately 400% (\$1 million). Also, VP Research is beginning to contribute dollars toward overhead costs. They contributed an additional \$225,000 to the Physical Plant overhead costs in FY06.

Department Feed Waivers Descutive Institutional Cost Centers Under 401030 - 401066 Except 401048 Program 08 Base Budgets: 0 0 0 0 2,774,678 2,971,060 3,184,858 3,346,743 3,601,243 4,093,705 5,3876,158 7,332,730 8,364,866 10,640,141 283,476 This is not a cost in the sense of a reduction of revenue – but actually a record of waived tuition revenue. Management oversight of this budget is the responsibility of the Fee Waiver Committee, which is chaired by the Director of Financial Aid. The dollar amount of this budget increases each year, at the rate that tuition is increased. This budget includes Fee Waivers for the following categories: In-State Mandatory (Indian Students, Veterans, War Orphans, Senior Citizens, Community College, High School Honor Students, and National Merit Students) In-State Discretionary (University Honors, Music, Presidential, Rodeo, Undergrad Scholars, Advanced Honors, and International Education) Faculty Staff Athletics GTAs PhDs 				D	epartme	ntal Base	e Budget	: Overview	/			
Base Budgets: 10-Year % 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 Change 2,774,678 2,971,060 3,184,858 3,346,743 3,601,243 4,093,705 5,035,380 5,876,158 7,332,730 8,364,866 10,640,141 283,47% This is not a cost in the sense of a reduction of revenue – but actually a record of waived tuition revenue. Management oversight of this budget is the responsibility of the Fee Waiver Committee, which is chaired by the Director of Financial Aid. The dollar amount of this budget increases each year, at the rate that tuition is increased. This budget includes Fee Waivers for the following categories: • In-State Mandatory (Indian Students, Veterans, War Orphans, Senior Citizens, Community College, High School Honor Students, and National Merit Students) In-State Discretionary (University Honors, Music, Presidential, Rodeo, Undergrad Scholars, Advanced Honors, and International Education) • Faculty Staff Athletics GTAs • PhDs The increase from FY04 to FY05 is to accommodate the addition of non-resident achievement discounts and the new employee dependent fee	Department	Fee Waivers	s				Executive	Institutional	Cost Cente	rs		
1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 Change 2,774,678 2,971,060 3,184,858 3,346,743 3,601,243 4,093,705 5,035,380 5,876,158 7,332,730 8,364,866 10,640,141 283,47% This is not a cost in the sense of a reduction of revenue – but actually a record of waived tuition revenue. Management oversight of this budget is the responsibility of the Fee Waiver Committee, which is chaired by the Director of Financial Aid. The dollar amount of this budget increases each year, at the rate that tuition is increased. This budget includes Fee Waivers for the following categories: In-State Mandatory (Indian Students, Veterans, War Orphans, Senior Citizens, Community College, High School Honor Students, and National Merit Students) In-State Discretionary (University Honors, Music, Presidential, Rodeo, Undergrad Scholars, Advanced Honors, and International Education) Faculty Staff Athletics GTAs PhDs	Index	401030 - 40	1066 Excep	ot 401048			Program	08				
2,774,678 2,971,060 3,184,858 3,346,743 3,601,243 4,093,705 5,035,380 5,876,158 7,332,730 8,364,866 10,640,141 283,47% This is not a cost in the sense of a reduction of revenue – but actually a record of waived tuition revenue. Management oversight of this budget is the responsibility of the Fee Waiver Committee, which is chaired by the Director of Financial Aid. The dollar amount of this budget increases each year, at the rate that tuition is increased. This budget includes Fee Waivers for the following categories: In-State Mandatory (Indian Students, Veterans, War Orphans, Senior Citizens, Community College, High School Honor Students, and National Merit Students) In-State Discretionary (University Honors, Music, Presidential, Rodeo, Undergrad Scholars, Advanced Honors, and International Education) Faculty Staff Athletics GTAs PhDs PhDs												
 This is not a cost in the sense of a reduction of revenue – but actually a record of waived tuition revenue. Management oversight of this budget is the responsibility of the Fee Waiver Committee, which is chaired by the Director of Financial Aid. The dollar amount of this budget increases each year, at the rate that tuition is increased. This budget includes Fee Waivers for the following categories: In-State Mandatory (Indian Students, Veterans, War Orphans, Senior Citizens, Community College, High School Honor Students, and National Merit Students) In-State Discretionary (University Honors, Music, Presidential, Rodeo, Undergrad Scholars, Advanced Honors, and International Education) Faculty Staff Athletics GTAs PhDs The increase from FY04 to FY05 is to accommodate the addition of non-resident achievement discounts and the new employee dependent fee 												
	is the response each year, a This budge In-S Nati In-S Edu Fac Staf Athl GTA PhD	onsibility of the at the rate tha t includes Fee state Mandator onal Merit Stu state Discretion cation) ulty if etics As	e Fee Waive t tuition is in Waivers for y (Indian St idents) nary (Univer	er Committee horeased. r the followin tudents, Vete rsity Honors,	, which is ch g categories erans, War C Music, Pres	naired by the s: Drphans, Ser sidential, Roc	Director of	Financial Aid.	The dollar a College, Hig Advanced H	amount of th h School Ho Ionors, and	nis budget in	ts, and