

2014 EPP Annual Report

CAEP ID:	10318	AACTE SID:	3210
Institution:	Montana State University - Bozeman		
EPP:	Teacher Education		

Section 1. AIMS Profile

After reviewing and/or updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS, check the box to indicate that the information available is accurate.

In AIMS, the following information is current and accurate...

	Agree	Disagree
Contact person	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EPP characteristics	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Program listings	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Section 2. Program Completers

How many candidates completed programs that prepared them to work in preschool through grade 12 settings during Academic Year 2012-2013 ?

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

Number of completers in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure

Number of completers in programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.)

Total number of program completers 170

Section 3. Substantive Changes

Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at your educator preparation provider or institution/organization during the 2012-2013 academic year?

3.1 Changes in the published mission or objectives of the institution/organization or the EPP

No Change / Not Applicable

3.2 The addition of programs of study at a degree or credential level different from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.3 The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, in terms of either content or delivery, from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.4 A contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach-out agreements

No Change / Not Applicable

Any change that means the EPP no longer satisfies accreditation standards or requirements:

3.5 Change in regional accreditation status

No Change / Not Applicable

3.6 Change in state program approval

No Change / Not Applicable

Section 4. Display of candidate performance data.

Provide a link that demonstrates candidate performance data are public-friendly and prominently displayed on the school, college, or department of education homepage.

Annual accreditation reports including assessment data tables with mean data for key assessments by academic year and licensure area.:

<http://www.montana.edu/ehhd/educ/accreditation/index.shtml>

Section 5. Candidate and Program Measures

For each required measure of program impact, program outcome, or consumer information, evidence must be provided for programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure. CAEP encourages EPPs to provide information on the optional reporting measures as well.

Required Reporting Measures

- 5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development
- 5.2 Results of completer surveys
- 5.3 Graduation rates
- 5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements

Optional Reporting Measures

- 5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness
- 5.6 Results of employer surveys, and including retention and employment milestones
- 5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared
- 5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information

Yes, a program or programs leading to initial teacher certification is currently being offered.

REQUIRED REPORTING MEASURES

5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development. *Report information on candidate performance during pre-service and completer performance during in-service for programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure.*

Which of the following measures of impact on P-12 student learning is the EPP using and planning to use as evidence?

Assessments	Data are available	Data are not available	
		The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4

5.1.1 Candidate performance during pre-service

Unit and lesson plans	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pre-post tests of student learning	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Videos of candidate instruction	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Candidate reflection	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Surveys of P-12 students on candidate performance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
State-adopted assessment(s) (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
State-designed assessment(s) (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
EPP-designed assessment(s) (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Field Experience Performance Assessment	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.1.2 Completer performance during in-service

Student achievement and/or learning models (e.g., value-added modeling)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
EPP-designed case study	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.2 Results of completer surveys. *Report information on the satisfaction of completers of programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.2.1. If "Disagree", go to 5.3

	Agree	Disagree
Completer survey results are available to the EPP.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.2.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the completer survey(s) available on the preparation of the EPP? (Check all that apply.)

- The completer provides summary ratings of the EPP and its programs.
- The completer provides responses to open-ended questions about the EPP.
- The completer provides a response to questions about their preparation in at least one of the following areas:
 - Content knowledge
 - Instruction and pedagogical content knowledge
 - Teaching diverse P-12 students
 - Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
 - Classroom management
 - Alignment of teaching with state standards
 - Family and community engagement
 - Assessment of P-12 student learning
 - Other (Specify)

Reflective practice

5.2.3 If applicable, after a candidate completes a program, when does the EPP administer its completer surveys? (Check all that apply.)

- At the end of the program
- Between the end of the program and one year after program completion
- Between one and two years after program completion
- Between two and three years after program completion
- Between three and four years after program completion
- More than four years after program completion

5.2.4 Indicate the EPP's access to results of completer surveys and the survey response levels.

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	170	44
Individual program	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Institution or organization	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
State	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Other (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

5.2.5 The EPP can demonstrate that the completer survey is...

	Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about completer satisfaction)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Valid (can make an appropriate inference about completer satisfaction)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical completer responses)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Inclusive of stakeholder interests



A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)



5.2.6 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on completer survey results.



5.3 Graduation rates. *Report information on enrollment and candidate progress in programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure, as of September 1, 2013.*

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

Column 1	Academic year a candidate was first enrolled				
	AY 2012-2013	AY 2011-2012	AY 2010-2011	AY 2009-2010	AY 2008-2009
Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 6
First Time Enrollment. The number of candidates who enrolled for the first time, during a specified academic year.					
Number of candidates who were enrolled for the first time in a program leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure	249	167	195	162	173
Progress in AY 2012-2013. The number of candidates/completers who were recommended for initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2012-2013. List candidates according to the academic year they were first enrolled.					
Number of candidates who were recommended for a initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2012-2013	6	51	60	8	1
<i>Example: If 15 candidates were recommended an initial teacher certification in AY 2012-2013, the numbers across the row should sum to 15 (2+10+0+2+1).</i>	2 <i>Two candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2012-2013) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	10 <i>10 candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2011-2012) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	0 <i>Zero candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2010-2011) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	2 <i>Two candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2009-2010) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	1 <i>One candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2008-2009) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>
Number of candidates/completers who were not recommended for an initial teacher certification or licensure...	243				
Continued in a program	227				

Been counseled out of a program	3
Withdrawn from a program	11

5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements. *Report information on candidate performance on state licensure tests for initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.4.1 Assessment Pass Rates reported to Title II

	Number taking test	Average scaled score* (This value should be between 0-1.)	Number passing test	Pass rate (%)	Statewide average pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2011-2012	94				
All program completers, 2010-2011	142				

5.4.2 The EPP can demonstrate that the licensure or certification test results are...

	Agree	Disagree
Representative (demonstrates typical candidate or completer performance)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Actionable (provides specific guidance for continuous improvement)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.4.3 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on certification test results.

Agree	Disagree
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

OPTIONAL REPORTING MEASURES

5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness. *Report information on the availability of measures of teaching effectiveness during in-service for completers of programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure*

For which of the following measures of teaching effectiveness does the EPP have data or plan to collect data?

Record a response for each assessment (row).

Assessments	Data are available	Data are not available	
		The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4

Completer performance during in-service

Surveys of P-12 students on completer performance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
School district-level teacher evaluation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Employer observations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Employer surveys	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EPP-designed case study	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.6 Results of employer surveys, including retention and employment milestones. *Report information on the availability of employer satisfaction data for completers employed by school districts.*

5.6.1 If "Disagree", go to 5.7

	Agree	Disagree
Employer survey results are available to the EPP.	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.6.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the employer survey(s) available? (Check all that apply.)

- The employer provides overall summary ratings of the completer.
- The employer provides responses to open-ended questions about the completer.
- The employer provides a response to questions about the completer's preparation in at least one of the following areas:
 - Collaboration with school-based colleagues and staff
 - Alignment of teaching with state standards
 - Family and community engagement
 - Content/subject matter
 - Instructional and pedagogical content knowledge
 - Development of a safe learning environment
 - Assessment of P-12 student learning
 - Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
 - Teaching diverse P-12 students
 - Other (Specify)

Reflective Practice

5.6.3 Indicate the access the EPP has to results from employer surveys and their response levels. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Institution or Organization	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
School District	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
State	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Accreditation agency	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Other (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

5.6.4 The EPP can demonstrate that the employer survey is...

	Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about employer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Valid (can make an appropriate inference about employer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical employer responses)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Inclusive of stakeholder interests	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.6.5 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on employer survey results.

5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared. *Report on the availability of employment information for completers of programs leading to an initial teacher licensure or certification, as of September 1, 2013.*

5.7.1 If "Disagree", then go to 5.8

Agree Disagree
The EPP has attempted to collect data on the employment status of completers.

5.7.2 What strategies have the EPP used to collect data? (Check all that apply.)

- Completer survey
- Employer survey
- Institutional or organizational department (e.g., Alumni Office) (specify)
Career Services
- Collaboration with other EPPs
- Collaboration with school districts
- Collaboration with state education departments
- Contracted a consultant or organization
- Other (specify)

5.7.3 What challenges have the EPP encountered when collecting data? (Check all that apply.)

- Low response rates
- Inaccurate reporting of employment status
- Maintaining current candidate records
- Privacy issues
- Insufficient resources
- Other (specify)

5.7.4 If "Disagree", then go to 5.8

Agree Disagree
The EPP has access to information on the employment status of completers

5.7.5 The EPP has access to information on the employment status of completers from which of the following sources? (Check all that apply.)

- Self-report from the completer
- Third party:
 - School district
 - State department (specify)
- Other (specify)

5.7.6 Based on the EPP's available information, complete the chart below on the employment status of candidates who completed their program in Academic Year 2012-2013.

Number of completers with each employment status	
--	--

Year of program completion	Total number of completers	Employed in a position for which they were prepared	Employed in an education position outside of their preparation	Enrolled in higher education	Employed outside of the education field	Not employed	Employment status unknown
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8
AY 2012-2013	170						170

Example: If 60 candidates completed their program in AY 2012-2013, the numbers across the row should sum to 60 (17+9+8+4+2+20)

60	17	9	0	4	2	20
----	----	---	---	---	---	----

5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information. *Report consumer information for the educator preparation provider.*

Indicate which of the following categories of consumer information the EPP has access to and publicly displays on its website. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Consumer information	No Access to data	Access to data	Publicly displayed data
3-year student loan default rate	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Average cost of attendance	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	http://www.montana.edu/catalog/expenses/exp2.html
Average beginning salary of a program completer	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Placement patterns of completers	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	

Section 6. Areas for Improvement, Weaknesses, and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report.

Weakness 2.3 Influential quality control system

The program needs to attend to the collection and monitoring of program data and the communication with clinical evaluators.

The program has made major progress in the collection and monitoring of program data. We have held over 40 meetings with our stakeholders to discuss program requirements and identify data needs. This resulted in the development of our Program Assessment System which is used to systematically collect, aggregate and analyze our data. This data serves as the basis for our annual cycle of continuous program improvement. We have completed three full cycles of ongoing program improvement and the fourth cycle is underway. The program has also taken significant steps to improve communication with Clinical Evaluators. We have implemented 11 actions that have increased the frequency, clarity, and accuracy of our communication with K-12 administrators, cooperating teachers, and field supervisors.

Stipulation 2.1 Rationale for assessments

The program needs to develop the assessment instruments, the rubrics for scoring, a precise alignment with claims, the training of the evaluators and the criteria for success for each assessment it is proposing to use to support its claims. It needs also to describe the methods by which it will investigate the reliability and validity of its assessments.

The TEP has taken major steps to tackle each component of our accreditation stipulation. In Fall 2013 we submitted a petition for the removal of our stipulation and provided evidence to demonstrate that we had clearly remediated the deficiency. Our ongoing efforts include the following: ongoing improvements to five assessment instruments; careful alignment of our Claims with State and InTASC Standards; comprehensive training of clinical personnel through 75 face-to-face training sessions for faculty, clinical supervisors, cooperating teachers, and K-12 administrators; establishment of the criteria for each assessment allowing us to document student competency on our performance indicators and identify areas of programmatic strength and weakness; development and implementation of a comprehensive plan to guide ongoing investigation of the reliability and validity of our assessment instruments.

Section 7. Accreditation Pathway

Inquiry Brief. *Update Appendix E to confirm the categories of evidence the faculty members rely on and have available to support their claims that candidates know their subjects, know pedagogy, and can teach in an effective and caring manner. The update should also note any new categories of evidence the faculty plans to collect.*

A. Items under each category of Appendix E are examples. Programs may have more or different evidence.

Type of Evidence	Available and in the Brief ¹		Not available and not in the Brief		Reason for your selection
	Relied on	Not Relied on	For future use	Not for future use	

Grades

Candidate grades and grade point averages



Grades are used at the Program Gates as a global indicator of academic preparation.

Scores on standardized tests

Candidate scores on standardized license or board examinations



We use Praxis II as evidence to support the TEP Content Mastery Claim.

Candidate scores on undergraduate and/or graduate admission tests of subject matter knowledge and aptitude



No reliable data collection methods are available at this time.

Standardized scores and gains of the completers' own students



The state of Montana does not collect this data.

Ratings

Ratings of portfolios of academic and clinical accomplishments



Portfolio ratings are not included in our PAS because we believe they would not provide any unique evidence to support our claims.

Third-party rating of program's students



The revised 27-item Field Experience Performance Assessment (FEPA) is used for both Teaching Practicum and Student Teaching.

Ratings of in-service, clinical, and PDS teaching



The revised 27-item Field Experience Performance Assessment (FEPA) is used for both Teaching Practicum and Student Teaching.

Ratings, by cooperating teacher and college / university supervisors, of practice teachers' work samples



Our revised TWS is used to collect data about teacher candidates' competencies relevant to all 5 TEP Claims.

Rates

Rates of completion of courses and program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Graduation rates will be included as evidence in future Briefs as an indicator of student and programmatic success.
Completers' career retention rates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No reliable data collection methods are available at this time.
Completers' job placement rates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No reliable data collection methods are available at this time.
Rates of completers' professional advanced study	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	No reliable data collection methods are available.
Rates of completers' leadership roles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	No reliable data collection methods are available.
Rates of graduates' professional service activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	No reliable data collection methods are available.
Case studies and alumni competence					
Evaluations of completers by their own pupils	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	We do not have access to reliable data collection methods.
Completer self-assessment of their accomplishments	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	We are developing a plan for collection of this data.
Third-party professional recognition of completers (e.g., NBPTS)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	We do not have access to reliable data collection methods.
Employers' evaluations of the program's completers	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	We use the Employer Survey as a source of evidence for all 5 Claims
Completers' authoring of textbooks, curriculum materials, etc.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	We do not have access to reliable data collection methods.
Case studies of completers' own students' learning and accomplishment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	We are developing a plan for collection of this data.

¹: Assessment results related to TEAC Quality Principle I that the program faculty uses elsewhere must be included in the Brief. Evidence that is reported to the institution or state licensing authorities, or alluded to in publications, Web sites, catalogs, and the like must be included in the Brief. Therefore, Title II results, grades (if they are used for graduation, transfer, and admission), admission test results (if they are used), and hiring rates (if they are reported elsewhere) would all be included in the Brief.

B. Provide an update of the program's data spreadsheet(s) or data tables related to the program's claims.

 MSU TEP Assessment Datasheet

Section 8: Preparer's Authorization

Preparer's authorization. *By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2014 EPP Annual Report.*

I am authorized to complete this report.

Report Preparer's Information

Name: Jayne Downey

Position: Department Head, Education

Phone: 406-994-7426

E-mail: jdowney@montana.edu