

Grasshopper Biology and Management



Dave Branson

US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service Pest Management Research Unit Sidney, Montana <u>Dave.Branson@usda.gov</u>

Nicole Davidson

Lead technician and grasshopper identification expert

USDA ARS Grasshopper Website: ars.usda.gov/grasshopper/ SCIENCE

Grasshoppers Are Descending on the West in Swarms

BY GRACE WOODRUFF

JULY 12, 2021 • 5:16 PM



7/20/2021

Grasshoppers and cattle compete for food in US drought - CNN

A LIVE TV

Jeff Bezos and crew speak after their historic flight to the edge of space

Dr. Fauci and CDC chief Dr. Walensky discuss the Covid-19 pandemic at a Senate hearing

Cattle are competing against grasshoppers for food in the West's historic drought. The bugs are winning. By Rachel Ramirez, CNN © Updated 10:43 AM ET, Fri July 2, 2021



Grasshoppers Swarm Wheat Fields, A Phenomenon One Grower Hasn't Seen Since the 1980s



LATEST VID



Grasshopper outbreaks and impact

- Regional cyclical outbreaks: 4-15 years.
- Outbreaks: ~2 to 6 of ~80 rangeland species
- Economic problems for ranchers 个 during drought like 2021 when grass production is low, compete with livestock
- Rangeland forage losses in U.S. ~\$1.7 billion/year
- Outbreaks lead to large scale chemical control programs

















Grasshopper feeding impacts on rangeland function

• Grasshoppers can eat more than cows when abundant and weigh more per acre

 \leftrightarrow

- Beneficial roles at low to moderate densities
 - Important food source for grassland birds and game birds
 - Can increase productivity through nutrient cycling modifications.
 Unknown: how often + vs -
- Grasshoppers can modify native grass composition

Grasshopper Biology and Ecology

- Typically 5-20 species at a location, 1-4 typically dominant
- Plant community determines species present
- One generation per year
- Lay eggs in soil, hatch in spring and early summer



"It's going to be a bad year, I just saw grasshoppers in March"

~5 species hatch in late summer. Spend winter in litter or in cracks in the soil. Adults by April or May. <u>Not</u> an economic concern.









Banded-winged grasshoppers

- Colored wings a sign that they are not pest species.
- Many species snap hindwings as they fly (crepitation) and can be heard across a field
- Clear-winged grasshopper is a pest species, but isn't common in our area



Spur throated grasshoppers

- Most prominent grasshoppers by their numbers, activities and diversity
- Several economically damaging species to both rangeland and crops, including migratory grasshopper in the current MT outbreak
- Often ~nondescript looking



Slant faced grasshoppers

Some economically damaging species, largely eat only grass

Males call attention to themselves by "singing" in the vegetation (stridulating their legs) to attract females.





Grasshopper species can be hard to identify

Species differ in what they eat – influences risk to crops

fifth-instar two-striped grasshopper



fifth-instar two-striped grasshopper



fifth-instar two-striped grasshopper

Multiple identification keys, Android and iPhone ID apps, naturalist's guides and species information at:

ars.usda.gov/grasshopper/

Grasshopper Plant Associations

Strong diet and habitat restrictions for some species





Russian thistle grasshopper



- Eats Chenopods: kochia, Russian thistle, four winged saltbush, greasewood, winter fat, lambsquarters
- Starves to death when confined with grass
- Not common enough to serve as a weed control agent



Chadron State College, and Identic Pty Ltd (Lucid).

adult stages of many of the most commonly encountered grasshoppers in the western U.S. The adult key facilitates the identification of 76 species of adult grasshoppers. All species included are in the family Acrididae with the exception of one, Brachystola magna, which is in the family Romaleidae. See the keys page if you need help determining whether your specimen is an adult or a nymph. The Lucid mobile keys were created by USDA-APHIS-ITP through collaboration with the USDA-APHIS-PPQ Colorado SPHD Office, University of Nebraska at Lincoln,

Android app stores Android app stores Android app stores Feel free to call us at the lab: 406-433-2020

Free USDA nymph and adult grasshopper identification app available in iPhone, Android app stores

1unnamed.webp

Show all

×



www.idtools.org/id/grasshoppers/	
	oppers: Aeolopiides turnio 🗴 🕂
About Morphology Fact sheets Reys Glossary Gallery	
Adult grasshopper key	search fact sheets GO
full screen key	1 2 2 X O Entities Remaining: 83 83
Location of collection (state) Month of collection Spur (spine) present	Acrolophitus hirtipes (Say)
Mesosternal lobes	seropedellus clavatus (Thomas)
Dorsal striping Shape of rear margin of dorsal pronotion Features Chosen 0	nini conspersa siculder
Key initialised: 83 entities remaining	

Edition 4.1 - new taxa added July 2020 idtools.org Lucid Mobile apps - Android, iOS



Predators and pathogens

 Grasshoppers are a primary food source for many grassland birds. Birds and spiders can regulate grasshopper populations when densities are lower.





 Many diseases, predators, and parasites







Fungal "Summit disease"

Birds and Grasshoppers



Sharp-tail grouse crop, Richland County, fall 2020 (Josh Campbell)

Grasshoppers are an important component in the early diet of sage grouse chicks and in general for many grassland songbirds, pheasants and grouse



AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

A Refresher: Grasshoppers and Weather

Ability to proactively manage grasshopper problems constrained by an inability to predict responses to weather variation and forage quality.

• Highly variable dynamics, patterns differ between ecosystems in the US.

Short term to decadal scale weather patterns impact grasshoppers

Northern Great Plains (US): assumed population increase with warm/dry conditions.







Weather Impacts: Rule of Thumb

- Direct and Indirect Effects
- Slower development
- ?? More susceptible to diseases and natural enemies
- Higher mortality, fewer eggs laid
- Higher forage production, so plenty of food to go around for cows and hoppers



Less damaging

Weather: Direct and Indirect Effects

- Faster development
- Less susceptible to diseases
- Lower mortality
- More eggs produced IF quality forage
- Much we don't know, ARS hired John Humphreys a mathematical modeler/ insect pest forecaster to improve our ability to predict outbreaks





Egg survival in winter?

- Eggs can handle cold temps, infrequent mortality with cold temps and no snow cover
- Low soil moisture content/extreme drought can infrequently affect egg survival, poorly understood
- Lots of predators and parasites underground



U.S. Drought Monitor Current Drought Change in Drought



The U.S. Drought Monitor (USDM) is updated each Thursday to show the location and intensity of drought across the country using a five-category system, from Abnormally Dry (D0) conditions to Exceptional Drought (D4).

The USDM is a joint effort of the National Drought Mitigation Center, USDA, and NOAA. Learn more.

U.S. Drought Monitor Categories



Past examples: Large scale observations of grasshopper fluctuations, the potential roles of weather and food

Widespread economically damaging grasshopper outbreaks in 2010



- Millions of acres sprayed in 2010
- Outbreak densities in much of Montana



2011 Weather and grasshoppers

- Cold and wet early summer didn't wipe out hoppers
 - Takes severe conditions to directly kill young hopers
 - Many pest grasshoppers didn't hatch until late June or early July after the cold wet weather









2012: Food and grasshoppers

- Severe drought in much of the western U.S.
- Southern Montana, low plant biomass and quality in early summer.
 - High # of hatchlings, but few survived to adults.
 - Strong decline in 2013, food limited mortality and reduced egg laying.







Food and weather as drivers of outbreak dynamics in Montana (USA)

Branson. 2008. Influence of a large late summer precipitation event on food limitation and grasshopper population dynamics in a northern Great Plains grassland. *Environmental Entomology* 37:686-695.









- Late summer rainfall event in 1999 → severe outbreak of 130 per m² in 2000, due to forage quality/availability.
- Food limitation during 2000 led to low survival, reproduction and 2001 hatching - particularly for late season species.
 - Overall densities at the site dropped by 85% in 2001
 - Densities of the dominant late summer species **dropped by 97%** from 100 to 3 per m2!



 Forage and weather impacts aren't included in existing hazard maps, but ranchers are already watching these factors



Economic infestation

- Sample economic thresholds: WY ranch ~ 17/yd², NM 23/yd², Alberta <50 gh/m². Lockwood ~20/yd². RAATs improves EIL
- Economic infestation variable: productivity, drought, species comp
- Case studies: With/without GH: Due to plant regrowth, little indication of reduced rangeland biomass <12-15/m² in less arid ecosystems – at times ~ 40+/m².
- <u>15 per square yard is a reasonable warning density</u>



2019: From down trends, boom starts w/ few hotspots (especially S. H 2020: Nymphal counts shot up widely (similar trends in adult surveys, 2021: Most widespread problem yet, with above counties > $8 / yd^2$



• Compiled historic rangeland grasshopper outbreak survey data (density ≥ 15/sq. yd.) from the 17 contiguous western states of the U.S.A.

• Mapped 18 years of GH outbreak data to 5x5

km grids for the western US



• Grasshopper outbreaks data visualizations and spatial modeling

Grasshopper impacts on rangeland production

 Received: 27 October 2017
 Accepted: 5 August 2018

 DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.12897

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Journal of Animal Ecology 🗧 erris

Effects of grasshoppers on prairies: Herbivore composition matters more than richness in three grassland ecosystems

Angela N. Laws^{1,2} | Chelse M. Prather² | David H. Branson³ | Steven C. Pennings²

Species matter in terms of their feeding impact on plant biomass – grasshopper is not a grasshopper.

Much stronger effects of grass feeding species on production than mixed grass and forb feeders.



FIGURE 2 The average change in total plant biomass (g) relative to controls for each orthopteran species richness treatment. All sites were pooled. No effect of herbivore species richness was observed in any of the sites. Bars are standard errors



FIGURE 3 The change in plant biomass relative to controls for (a) total plant biomass, (b) grasses, (c) forbs and (d) shrubs for each herbivore functional composition treatment. Bars are standard errors

Determine the role of rangeland insects on rangeland ecosystem function and production

- Limited understanding of how plant regrowth following grasshopper feeding and weather variation affects economic thresholds for control
 - Initial 3 site study in the Northern Great Plains
 - Longer term: Expand collaboratively, in multiple ecosystems. Shift to dynamic predictions
 - Treatment programs need info on damage thresholds





Where are things headed?

- Hazard maps are based solely on the previous years densities (i.e. 2018 Hazard ~= 2017 densities)
- Red indicates high grasshopper densities
- Note: To create the maps, adult survey densities are interpolated using a kriging model that underestimates smaller hot spots





2020 USDA APHIS adult grasshopper survey

• Predicted a high risk in much of MT

- Relying on previous year density to predict risk isn't accurate, much of NE MT and McKenzie County predicted low risk
- John Humphries with ARS is working to develop more complex models that include satellite vegetation data and weather data









AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE







Recent example from Miles City

- Abundant grasshoppers in 2019
- Fall 2019 rain maintained forage quality in 2020. ~16%个in insect degree days
- Reproduction: densities x forage conditions x temperature in <u>late summer</u> strongly affect future risk
- Densities remained high in late summer 2020.
- High reproduction, predicted high probability of 个 severe outbreaks in 2021
 - 90% of females laid ≥ 1 egg pod by mid-Sept
 - 76% of ovarioles (picture) producing eggs in mid-Sept, good forage for reproduction
- 2021 Densities remained roughly the same. Some areas in Montana increased dramatically, other areas did not. Why...



ARS Long Term Monitoring Sites

- Miles City MT: Largely stable 20/21
- Western ND: 2021 densities ~much higher, often >15/m²
- *APHIS map shows much lower density/risk in ND than our extensively sampled long term monitoring sites
- Reproduction?







AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Where are we headed?

Degree days higher in 2021 – grasshoppers are ectotherms and develop faster and eat more when it is warmer. More time for reproduction.

Low forage production from drought – grasshoppers need protein content with some veg moisture

Densities: Increased from 2020 to 2021 in much of NE MT and western ND. Some rangeland sites >30m2

Late summer rangeland forage quality highly variable due to spotty rain, grasshopper problems in '22 likely variable

Grasshoppers will move off rangeland into crops if crops are ~greener

Photo taken in McKenzie County



Risk assessment for ranchers: What to look out for?

Late summer forage condition?

- Grasshoppers need protein and at least some green vegetation
- Did hopper numbers remain high into late summer?
- Egg laying occurs in late summer and early fall

Late summer and fall weather conditions - warm vs. cool?

- Grasshoppers need heat to lay many eggs, if cool may stay alive but less egg laying
- Areas with high late summer densities are where high hatching could occur -> **frequently look for small hatchlings** (1/8-1/4") or you may lose most of your forage before spraying



Can we better examine drought impacts on outbreaks using cage experiments?

- Passive drought frames frequently used in grasslands
 Drought vs. no-drought treatments
- Weak survival impacts
- Similar impacts of high density and drought on grass and grasshoppers
- Moderate drought reduced reproduction in a year with low early and high late summer rains

Follow up: How does season of drought affect hoppers?

- Precipitation: (-50% season long, -70% early drought, -70% late drought, ambient precipitation)
- Drought timing matters: Early summer drought reduced grass biomass but **positively** affected nitrogen content and grasshopper survival
- Extreme early summer drought and high grasshopper densities may be required to strongly affect dynamics



Do sustained high densities of grasshoppers harm longer-term production of rangeland grasses?

- 5 years at a grass dominated site
- Migratory grasshopper, mixed forb and grass feeder, dominant pest.
- 0 to 45 grasshoppers per cage.
- Monitored plant composition





- Even with a sustained density of 45 per m², considered a severe outbreak, few impacts of a mixed feeding grasshopper on primary production or species composition.
- <u>Caveat</u>: Species composition matters grass feeding species have stronger detrimental impacts on rangeland

Preventative management of grasshoppers through rangeland management



- Focus of grasshopper management: Managing rangeland through grazing and fire
 - Manipulate habitats to slow growth, reduce survival and reproduction



Grazing impacts aren't always clear, due to variation in weather and grasshopper densities

Mechanisms - twice over grazing:

- Slower development rates than season long livestock grazing
- Lower late season species densities

Season-long grazing (SL): Consistent grazing pattern, uneven canopy Twice over rotational grazing (TOR): Inconsistent pattern/timing between years



Fire can reduce densities of some grasshopper species by >80%, but effects depend on grasshopper species, fire timing, and standing plant biomass



Egg mortality mediated both by how deep a given grasshopper species lays eggs belowground and fire intensity

- Burning elevates soil temperatures
- Whitewhiskered grasshopper strongly reduced following fire
- Small egg pods of 3 to 5 eggs just below the soil surface.







Deep laying species not affected. Many pest species lay ~vertical and deeper egg pods

Of species strongly reduced by fire: Whitewhiskered: egg mortality *Obscure*: terminated reproduction, not egg mortality

Precipitation drives fire intensity through plant production



Examine impact of alternative grazing management practices and fire on invasive grasses and grasshoppers

Testing if multiple grazing management strategies and fire can be used to reduce dominance of the invasive grass Kentucky Bluegrass (ARS Mandan)?

- Examine grasshopper responses
- Examine dung beetles and dung decomposition/nutrient cycling







Conclusion

- Management approaches are best integrated before outbreaks, if they are to reduce outbreaks
 - Variability in climate conditions, vegetation, grasshopper population dynamics
 - Sustainable rangeland management strategies that minimize outbreaks and promote biodiversity while satisfying needs of the grazing industry







2022 Research – Post control monitoring

Follow up on spray programs – Stakeholder questions addressed:

- Impact of no-action. Would outbreak end without control (ie short duration)?
- Multiyear returns on spray?
- Do population dynamics differ post spray program, vary between pest and non pest species, etc?

ARS may at times be able to do longer term monitoring that APHIS can't due to time and personnel constraints.



Some ongoing projects

- Long term grasshopper population dynamics sites in MT and ND
- Fire and grazing rangeland management in southern ND
- Impact of invasive grasses on grasshopper communities
- Impact of fire in juniper encroached landscapes in ND on grasshoppers and pollinators



USDA ARS Grasshopper Website: ars.usda.gov/grasshopper/



Outbreak Info: Grasshopper Forecast Map 2021 APHIS PLANT PROTECTION AND OUARANTINE 2021 Rangeland Grasshopper Hazard Grasshoppers per sq. yd. USDA, APHIS, PPO Based on 2020 Adult Survey 150 Centre Ave - <3 246.6 million acres</p> fort Collins, Co 80526 164.1 million acres Date Created 10/8/2020 <15 49.6 million acres

34.6 million acres



Questions:

1. What do you see as your biggest impediment to effectively managing grasshoppers?

2. If you could have us research one area that would improve grasshopper management or generate needed knowledge what would that be?

Questions or suggestions? dave.branson@usda.gov; 406-478-3860

 Much ongoing ARS research came about from input from land managers, APHIS, ranchers and farmers