

Evaluating the Effects of a Storyline Approach on Biology Student Performance and Attitudes

Shane Cullian, Williams Bay High School, Williams Bay, WI

BACKGROUND

Williams Bay High School:

- Enrollment = 230 students
- Fringe-rural public school between Milwaukee and Madison

9th Grade Biology & Honors Biology:

- Three sections 2 biology and 1 honors biology
- Sample size of 47 students

Instructional Context:

- Annual perception of students that they "can't do science"
- Science is seen as a body of knowledge that an exclusive group of individuals can attain
- Biology has previously been teacher-driven and lacking coherence and sense-making on behalf of students

GOAL OF ACTION RESEARCH

To compare a **storyline** approach to traditional instructional methods when teaching biology. A **storyline** is a series of lessons that promote student-coherence, and involve collaborative sensemaking with the teacher as a facilitator.

PRIMARY RESEARCH QUESTIONS

What is the effect of a storyline approach to teaching biology compared to a traditional method of instruction and learning?

Will student attitudes towards biology and individual science practices differ between the storyline and traditional approaches?

NON-TREATMENT

TREATMENT (STORYLINE)



KEY FINDINGS

Collaborating through a storyline makes "us work with kids where we usually wouldn't work with. That prepares us for the real world when we have to get jobs . . . we have to 'play nice in the sandbox'." - 2nd Hour Biology Student

Previous science lessons were "more sit back and mostly just do your work in a book . . . you can actually participate now instead of just being a spectator." - 3rd Hour Biology Student

"This [storylines] is most closely related to literal science, right? You have to draw your own conclusions and work with the 'squad'." – 4th Hour Honors Biology Student



Figure 1. Survey II results showing student attitude towards group work, content understanding and passion for science post-treatment (N=35).





Figure 2. Pre and post-test scores for treatment and non-treatment (*N*=47). Dashed line indicates mean values and solid line indicates median value,

CLAIM – EVIDENCE – REASONING

CLAIM

Students experienced greater content understanding through traditional instruction compared to a storyline

Student attitude and self-efficacy towards science practices increased post-storyline compared to non-treatment

EVIDENCE

Non-treatment pre/post-test median values: 58% to 79% Treatment pre/post-tests median values: 58% to 60% to 68% REASONING

Students valued the aspect of sense-making with their peers Acknowledgement of difficulty explaining concepts to peers compared to memorizing facts and terms from notes Ability to ask questions and engage in science practices without fear of being wrong was met with positive sentiment