## **Annual Program Assessment Report**

Academic Year Assessed: 2022-2023 College: Letters and Science Department: Political Science Submitted by: David Parker, Department Head Assessment reports are to be submitted annually by program/s. The report deadline is <u>October 15<sup>th</sup>.</u>

#### Program(s) Assessed:

Indicate all majors, minors, certificates and/or options that are included in this assessment:

Majors/Minors/Certificate	Options
Political Science Major and Minor	

### Annual Assessment Process (CHECK OFF LIST)

1.	Data are collected as defined by Ass	sessment Plan			
	YESX I	NO			
2.	Population or unbiased samples of o	collected assignments are scored by at least two faculty			
	members using scoring rubrics to e	nsure inter-rater reliability.			
	YESX	NO			
3.	Areas where the acceptable perform	nance threshold has not been met are highlighted.			
	YESX 1	NO NA			
4.	Assessment scores were presented				
	YES	NOX (E-mailed to faculty)			
5.	•	nt results, and responded accordingly (Check all appropriate			
	lines)				
	Gather additional data to verify o	or refute the result			
	Identify potential curriculum cha	nges to try to address the problem			
	Change the acceptable performa	ince threshold, reassess			
	Choose a different assignment to	o assess the outcome			
	Faculty may reconsider threshold	ds			
	Evaluate the rubric to assure out	comes meet student skill level			
	Use Bloom's Taxonomy to consid	der stronger learning outcomes			
	Choose a different assignment to	o assess the outcome			
OTHER: Accepted the results as we met our thresholds for all PLOs.					
6.		nges made because of previous assessment results (closing the NOX			

#### 1. Assessment Plan, Schedule and Data Source.

a. Please provide a multi-year assessment schedule that will show when all program learning outcomes will be assessed, and by what criteria (data). (You may use the table provided, or you may delete and use a different format).

ASSESSMENT PLANNING CHART						
PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME	2021- 2022	2022- 2023	2023- 2024	Data Source*		
Disciplinary Knowledge	X	X		Final Exam/Paper/Project PSCI 210/230/240/250		
Analytical Skills and Critical Thinking	X	X		390R Seminar Paper and Senior Exit Survey		
Technical and Applied Skills	X	X		390R Seminar Paper and Senior Exit Survey		

\*Data sources can be items such as randomly selected student essays or projects, specifically designed exam questions, student presentations or performances, or a final paper. Do not use course evaluations or surveys as primary sources for data collection.

#### b. What are your threshold values for which you demonstrate student achievement?

	Threshold Va	alues	
PROGR	AM LEARNING OUTCOME	Threshold Value	Data Source
1.	Understand fundamental issues in American	Majors average 75% on final exam	Exam scores
	Government.	in PSCI 210	from majors
2.	Understand fundamental issues in International	Majors average 75% on final exam	Exam
	Relations	in PSCI 230	scores
			from
			majors
3.	Understand fundamental issues in Political Theory	Majors average 75% on final exam	Exam
		in PSCI 240	scores
			from
			majors
4.	Understand fundamental issues in Public	Majors average 75% on final	Exam
	Administration	exam in PSCI 250	scores
			from
			majors
5.	Coherence and Logic of Argument	No student unacceptable	Capstone
			Assignment
6.	Familiarity with secondary literature	No student unacceptable	Capstone
	and/or Primary Sources		Assignment
7.	The political science major Improved my	Average score a 2	Senior Exit
/.	analytical skills		Survey
0		Average score a 2	Senior Exit
8.	Political science major improved by	Average score a 2	
	research skills		Survey
		No student unacceptable	Capstone
9.	Present a Thesis Statement		Assignment

10. Grammar and Writing Style	No student unacceptable	Capstone
		Assignment
11. Proper Use of Citations	No student unacceptable	Capstone
		Assignment
12. Political science major improved my	Average score a 2	Senior Exit
writing skills		Survey
13. Political science training will further my	Average score a 2	Senior Exit
career goals		Survey
2 What Was Dong	•	

#### 2. What Was Done

a) Was the completed assessment consistent with the plan provided? YES\_\_\_X\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_\_

## b) Please provide a rubric that demonstrates how your data was evaluated.

Indicator	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Threshold Value
	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	
PLO 1: Demonstrate Disciplinary Knowledge					
Understand fundamental issues in American Government	NA	NA	NA	NA	Majors Average 75% in final exam of PSCI 210
Understand fundamental issues in International Relations	NA	NA	NA	NA	Majors Average 75% in final exam of PSCI 230
Understand fundamental issues in Political Theory Understand fundamental issues in Public Administratio	NA	NA	NA	NA	Majors Average 75% in final exam of PSCI 250 Majors Average 75% in final exam of PSCI
n	NA	NA	NA	NA	240

PLO 2: Analytical Skills/Critical Thinking					
		An	• · · ·	Argument is made in a	No student in
Coherence and logic of argument Familiarity	No clear argument is made	argument is made but it is not clear or concise	Argument is coherent and logically sequenced	logic sequence with clear transitions and hypotheses laid out precisely	the unaccepta ble category
with secondary literature and/or Primary Sources The political science major Improved my analytical skills	Secondary literature is not communica ted	Secondary literature is reviewed but superficiall y NA	Secondary literature is well- reviewed in a logic sequence NA	Secondary literature is well-reviewed, and organizes the literature conceptually and thematically	No student in the unaccepta ble category Average a score of 2 on the Senior Exit Survey
Political science major improved by research skills	NA	NA	NA	NA	Average a score of 2 on the Senior Exit Survey
PLO 3: Technical and Applied Skills					ourvey
				Research question is	No student in the
Present a research question	No research question	Research question is is present Sentences	Research question is clear and concise	clear, concise, and writing in an interesting voice	unaccepta ble category
Grammar and Writing Style	Lacks complete sentences/ multiple grammar errors	are complete, few errors in punctuatio n Citations	No errors in grammar or sentence structure	Clear, concise, lively writing	No student in the unaccepta ble category
Proper Use of Citations	No evidence of proper convention	are generally used where appropriate	Arguments are appropriately cited and a consistent style of	Citations are complete and without error	No student in the unaccepta

	s of citations		citation is employed		ble category
			- 1 7		Average a
Political					score of 2
science major					on the
improved my					Senior Exit
writing skills	NA	NA	NA	NA	Survey
Political					Average a
science					score of 2
training will					on the
further my					Senior Exit
career goals	NA	NA	NA	NA	Survey

## 3. How Data Were Collected

a) How were data collected? (Please include method of collection and sample size).

Department head gathered final exam grades from instructor of record for PSCI 210, 230, 240, and 250 in the fall and spring semesters. He then computed the average major grades in each course to determine if outcome was met.

Senior exit surveys were administered by Department Head via Qualtrics for all graduating seniors (majors and minors). Graduating seniors were provided with Qualtrics link by Department Head after the graduation certification meeting. 22 students completed the survey out of 29 students graduating (76% completion rate).

PSCI 390R final papers from spring and fall semester were gathered from instructor of record by Department Head. 5 papers chosen at random from fall course and 5 at random from spring course by the instructor. Fall enrollment was 24, spring was 24.

#### b) Explain the assessment process, and who participated in the analysis of the data.

Senior exit survey and final exam grades were compiled and analyzed by Department Head. Assessment of 390R papers according to the rubric was done by Sara Rushing and the Department Head independently. The Department Head then compiled and analyzed the results.

## 4. What Was Learned

Based on the analysis of the data, and compared to the threshold values provided, what was learned from the assessment?

a) Areas of strength

#### PLO1: Demonstration of Disciplinary Knowledge

Department has achieved PLO thresholds with our majors achieving a grade of 75% or higher in the final exam (or other cumulative assessment) in across all eight classes assessed (PSCI 210/PSCI 230/PSCI 240/PSCI 250 fall and spring semesters).

#### PLO2: Analytical and Critical Thinking Skills.

Department achieved PLO thresholds for Senior Exit Survey questions on analytical skills and research skills (scores of 2.0 or less on both).

Department achieved PLO thresholds for analytical skills and research skills on the 390R final paper (no student rated unacceptable).

#### **PLO3: Technical and Applied Skills**

## Department achieved threshold values of 2.0 or less on senior exit survey questions pertaining to career goals and writing skills.

Department achieved PLO thresholds for technical and applied skills 390R final paper (no student rated unacceptable).

#### b) Areas that need improvement

None. We achieved our assessment goals.

## 5. How We Responded

## a) Describe how "What Was Learned" was communicated to the department, or program faculty. Was there a forum for faculty to provide feedback and recommendations?

Results communicated to department in a report which was e-mailed to them. They were asked for feedback and recommendations.

# b) Based on the faculty responses, will there any curricular or assessment changes (such as plans for measurable improvements, or realignment of learning outcomes)?

YES\_\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_X\_\_\_

No need for changes as we met all our assessment outcomes. We are discussing ways to make clearer connections to research throughout our courses.

c) When will the changes be next assessed? N/A

### 14. Closing the Loop

a) Based on assessment from previous years, can you demonstrate program level changes that have led to outcome improvements?

This is our third year under the new assessment plan. No changes are necessary given that we have achieved our PLO thresholds in all cases. Submit report to programassessment@montana.edu