# Pollution Prevention & Native Fish Keepers, Inc.

Intern: Elissa Ikola | Site Advisor: Barry Hansen

August 3, 2022

# Land Acknowledgement

- We acknowledge that we are on the traditional territories of the Apsáalooke (Crow), Niimîipuu (Nez Perce), Očhéthi Šakówiŋ (Lakota), Piikáni (Blackfeet), Séliš (Salish), Shoshone-Bannock, and Tsétsêhéstâhese (Northern Cheyenne) Nations.
- We would also like to acknowledge and give thanks to the Bitterroot Salish, Pend d'Oreille, and Kootenai Peoples who are the original and continuing stewards of these lands.

# Funding Acknowledgement

 This research was funded by the EPA Pollution Prevention (P2) and the Western Sustainable Agriculture and Research (SARE) grants. The content of this publication is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of EPA Pollution Prevention or Western Sustainable Agriculture and Research programs.

# About Me

- From northern Minnesota
- Pursuing a B.S. in Environmental Studies, emphasis in Environmental Health and Toxicology at Bemidji State University
- Passion for the outdoors, STEM, community involvement



# About Native Fish Keepers, Inc.

- Nonprofit created and operated by Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes
- Established in 2017 for invasive lake trout suppression
- Process over 20,000 lbs of fish annually
  - Sold locally and donations to local food banks













# Flow Diagram of Fillet Production



# The Issue?

- The amount of waste going out
  - Much more serious of an issue than previously thought
  - Average: 1,400 pounds/day
- Over 2/3 of harvested biomass often ends up as waste
- Environmental impacts of shuttling waste across the bay 4x per week
  - ~272 gallons of fuel per season = 2.4 metric tons of  $CO_2$  emissions



#### Approach



- Take notes of fishery background and daily data
- Average amount of outgoing waste
- Research existing fishery/aquaculture waste solutions
- Identify possible solutions for Native Fish Keepers, Inc.

# Extruded Human Snack

- Food grade waste composes small fraction of waste
  - Most would still be dumped
- Not many feasible options
- Cultural/dietary differences with possible options
  - No local market



# **Extruded Fish Food**

- Uses all fish waste produced
- Time- and energy-intensive relative to amount of waste
- Industry desires to move away from ocean-based products
  - Plant-based fish feed
- Fish meal = <\$1/pound</p>
- Expensive start-up for production and no local plants to ship to

Amazon's Choice			
Trout Chow 500, 3/16"(4.8mm)	2 lbs. Pond/Game Fish Chowl, A 32%	Floating Catfish Chow, 32% Protein, for Catfich and Other Band Fish	Purina Mills Game Fish Chow 50 lb
Trout, Bluegill, Hybrid Striped Bass,	Floating Pond Diet/Pellet.	Species, 2 lbs.	
Yellow Perch, Sunfish, Crappie, Red	***** ~71	**********	\$6099
***** * * ~ 69	\$18 <sup>92</sup>	\$16 <sup>99</sup> (\$0.53/Ounce)	(\$0.09/Ounce)
\$18 <sup>99</sup> (\$0.59/Ounce)	oprime Get it as soon as Eri Aur 5	(autorounce)	FREE Shipping
✓prime Get it as soon as Fri, Aug 5 EPEE Shipping on orders over \$25 shipped	FREE Shipping on orders over \$25 shipped by Amazon	FREE Shipping on orders over \$25 shipped by Amazon	

by Amazon

Machine	Price	
Grinder	4,500	
Processor	1,000	
Freeze Dryer	20,000+	
Extruder	Unknown	
<mark>Total =</mark>	<mark>\$25,500+</mark>	

# Flow Chart of Fish Food Extrusion Process



# Biofertilizer

- Most feasible option
- Current community wants for fish waste fertilizer
- Two options
  - Waister equipment: powder
  - Phosphoric acid: liquid hydrolysate





#### **Biofertilizer: Waister Equipment**



- Energy and time efficient
- Utilizes all fish waste
- Shelf Stable
- Works well for grains based on N,P
- Costly
  - ~\$200,000 for machine (excludes shipping) + \$5,000 annually for maintenance



Model	Evaporation capacity	Power consumption	Footprint	Power supply	Max installed power
Waister 40	40 kg/h	0,85 kWh/kg water	H=2,50 m, W=0,99 m, L= 2,70 m*	400 V 50 Hz (3P+N+E) - 80 A	51 kW
Waister 60	60 kg/h	0,85 kWh/kg water	H=2,51 m, W=1,31 m, L= 3,04 m*	400 V 50 Hz (3P+N+E) - 114 A	67 kW



Footprint for Waister 40 / 60

# Biofertilizer: Hydrolysate/Silage





- Globally utilized Relatively cheap and accesible
- Can be kept for 6+ months on site if pH is stabilized
- Needs to be worked with more often
- ~\$0.30-\$5 / ounce

# **Conclusion and Solutions**

- Need more data on annual amount of waste and environmental pollution
- Very expensive process both in and out of facility
- Although it is the costliest up front, the Waister machine would convert all waste on site to a usable form
  - Doesn't require extensive training
  - Can be kept on site or shipped out without needing refrigeration or acidity checks





# Lake Trout Nutrient Profiling



# Background

- Great variability among lake trout fillets depending on set, season, age, length, weight, and location
- Known data of trout's high Omega 3 Fatty Acid content
  - Not as much for Flathead Lake trout
- Possible marketing approach for local fillet sales and distribution
  - Further suppression and conservation for native species

# Current Work

Test	Location	Types Tested
Color	MSU Lab	All bright, pale
Moisture	MSU Food Lab	All bright, pale, waste?
Fat, Total	NP Analytical	Bright. Pale, waste
Fatty Acid	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Protein	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Mercury	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Vitamin D	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Cholesterol	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Sodium	NP Analytical	Bright, pale
Vitamin A	NP Analytical	Bright, pale

L	Α	В	Length
30.82	10.38	10.55	8.33

- Colorimetry analysis for 36 typical fillets
- Mass and length data for 36 fillets collected
- Fillets sent off to NP Analytical for nutritional information



# What's Next?

- Further data collection on lug counts (process Vs. unprocessed)
- Incorporate laboratory analysis for Waister requirements
- Possible aquaculture visits to see biofertilizer equipment utilization
- Research and analyze more fillet types
- Receive and share fillet nutritional analysis with Native Fish Keepers, Inc.
- Continue conversation with CSKT Tribal Council on project updates

#### **Personal Benefits**





- More expansive understanding of natural resource conservation efforts
- Stronger collaboration skills
- Learned how to work in a fillet processing center
- Learned food manufacturing food/facility safety standards
- Enhanced professional skills

# Personal Thanks and Acknowledgements

- Native Fish Keepers, Inc., Montana Manufacturing Extension Center, Mission Mountain Food Enterprise Center, Salish Kootenai College, Bozeman Fish Technology Center, MSU Food Product Development Lab, Montana State University, Waister
- Barry Hansen, Native Fish Keepers, Inc. (NFKI)
- Wan-Yuan Kuo, MSU Food Product Development Lab (FDPL)
- Jan Tusick, Mission Mountain Food Enterprise Center (MMFEC)
- Wendy Sealey, USDA ARS
- Gibson Gaylord, Bozeman Fish Technology Center (BFTC)
- Netting/Processing Teams, NFKI
- Food Processing Team, MMFEC
- Hallstein Baarset, Waister







- Mattie Griswold, MSU FDPL
- Kelsea Hertel, MSU Dept. of Health and Human Development
- Edwin Allan, MSU FDPL
- Jenny Grossenbacher, MSU Institute on Ecosystems
- Barbara Watson, MSU Institute on Ecosystems
- Paddy Fleming, Montana Manufacturing Enterprise Center

# THANK YOU!