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Welcome and Introductions

Get to know the people at your table with the following madlib:

Hi. My name is ____________________ and I’m in the (department/school/college) of ____________________.
I’m here because ____________________________.
Officially, my scholarship centers on ____________________________ , which is a fancy way of saying _______________________.
Learning Objectives

In completing this workshop, participants will be able to:

1. Outline MSU’s Retention, Tenure and Promotion (RTP) process
2. Fulfill their responsibilities in the RTP process
3. Assemble a well-organized dossier that maximizes their likelihood of a successful outcome
Agenda

Resources and Guiding Documents
Review Process Overview
Standards and Definitions
Candidate Rights and Responsibilities
The Dossier
Final Notes
Resources

https://www.montana.edu/provost/
Faculty & Staff Resources

Info: Please contact us if you have questions that are not addressed on this website.

Resources

- Academic Assessment
- Academic Program Review
- Activity Insight
- Advisor Resources
- Awards
- Curriculum Development
- Department Head Sessions
- Diversity & Inclusion at MSU
- Faculty Annual Reviews
  - Faculty Handbook
  - Faculty Directors
- Hiring Faculty
- Incentive Program for Researchers (IRP)
- Modified Duties Request Form
- Non-Tenure Track Collective Bargaining Agreement
- Retention, Tenure and Promotion
  - Sabbaticals and Professional Development
  - Student Academic Misconduct

https://www.montana.edu/provost/faculty/
Retention, Tenure & Promotion

Related Documents

- Reviewer Information Sessions
- Covid 19 Tenure Extension
- Faculty Handbook
- AY22-23 RTP Candidate Workflow
- AY21-22 RTP Candidate Workflow
- Sample Dossier Structure
- Tenure Credit Dates AY12-AY20
- Tenure Credit Dates AY21-AY29

Deadlines AY21-22

- Retention review dossiers due to URTPC December 6
- Tenure and/or Tenure and Promotion dossiers due to URTPC February 7
- Promotion only material due to URTPC February 22

Additional Resources

- Model Guidelines for In-depth Assessment of Teaching
- College and Department Role and Scope Documents
- University Retention, Tenure and Promotion Committee
- Coversheet- Updated
- Vote Tally Sheet
- RTP Workshop-September, 2021

https://www.montana.edu/provost/faculty/promotion.html
Faculty Handbook

Annual Review, Retention, Tenure & Promotion

Annual Review

Statement on Tenure

RTP - Definitions

RTP - Role and Scope Documents

RTP - Standards and Timelines

RTP - Rights and Responsibilities

Performance Review Post Tenure

https://www.montana.edu/policy/faculty_handbook/
This is where performance indicators and expectations are defined.
Can be quantitative and qualitative.
Table Discussion

Of what importance are the Role and Scope documents to the RTP process at Montana State?
Agenda

Resources and Guiding Documents

Review Process Overview

Standards and Definitions

Candidate Rights and Responsibilities

The Dossier

Final Notes
Retention Review Process

- **Dossier**
  - Internal Reviews
  - Review Materials

- **Primary Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator

- **Intermediate Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator

- **University**
  - URTPC
  - Provost
  - President

- **Board of Regents**
Tenure & Promotion Review Processes

External Review
- Review Materials
- External Reviews

Dossier
- Remaining Review Materials
- Internal Reviews

Primary Unit
- Committee
- Administrator

Intermediate Unit
- Committee
- Administrator

University
- URTPC
- Provost
- President

Board of Regents
Timeline

See published RTP Workflow:

- May 2    External review materials (Tenure/Promotion only)
- Sept 12  Retention dossier deadline
- Oct 3    Tenure dossier deadline
- Oct 17   Promotion dossier deadline
Agenda

Resources and Guiding Documents
Review Process Overview
Standards and Definitions
Candidate Rights and Responsibilities
The Dossier
Final Notes
University Standards for Retention

The University standards for the retention of probationary faculty members are:

◦ **effectiveness** in teaching, scholarship, and service during the review period, and

◦ **integration** of no less than two of the following during the review period: teaching, scholarship, and service, and

◦ **satisfactory progress** towards meeting the standards for tenure by the candidate’s tenure review year.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect on the first day of employment (or more recent)

See Faculty Handbook
University Standards for Tenure

The University standards for the award of tenure are:

◦ **sustained effectiveness** in teaching and service during the review period, and
◦ **integration** of no less than two of the following during the review period:
  - teaching, scholarship, and service, and
◦ **accomplishment** in scholarship.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect on the first day of employment (or more recent)
University Standards for Promotion to Rank of Associate Professor

Same as the standards for the award of tenure.

See Faculty Handbook
The University standards for promotion to the rank of Professor are:

- **sustained effectiveness** in teaching and service during the review period, and
- **sustained integration** of no less than two of the following areas during the review period: teaching, scholarship, and service, and
- **excellence** in scholarship.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect two years prior to deadline of notification of intent to apply for promotion (or more recent)
Table Discussion

What are some examples of integration?

Teaching  
Research  
Service
Definitions

Areas of Responsibility
- Teaching, scholarship, service

Teaching
- Course design, development and delivery
- Improvements to courses
- Incorporating best practices, new technology
- Curriculum design and development
- Graduate student mentoring
- Academic advising
- Graduate student committees
- “Contributions to the...instructional enterprise”
Definitions, cont.

Scholarship

- Discovery, application or assimilation of new knowledge + dissemination
  - Grant writing; writing research-based articles, book and other works; conference presentations, etc.

- Generation of new knowledge in pedagogy + dissemination
  - Developing, studying pedagogical innovations; curricular/pedagogical studies; innovation in community engagement

- Generation of new creative products and experiences
  - Composition, production, direction, performance, exhibition of creative works of art, film, theater, music, architecture

- Creation of partnerships, programs and plans through Extension
  - Leverage knowledge and resources of the university and the public/private sector to enhance learning, discovery, and engagement
  - Educate and engage citizens, and strengthen communities
  - Address locally identified issues and problems
  - Apply and disseminate knowledge, and contribute to the public good.
Definitions, cont.

Service

◦ Contribution of faculty knowledge and expertise to assist and engage individuals and/or organizations to meet goals and solve problems.
◦ Professional service (e.g., involvement in professional society)
◦ Public service (e.g., engagement with local community)
◦ University service (e.g., serving on committees, advising student groups)
More definitions...

**Effectiveness**
- Successful performance, appropriate to years of service

**Accomplishment**
- Sustained and commendable performance reflected in the quantity, quality, and impact of scholarly activities and products

**Excellence**
- Sustained, commendable, and distinguished performance reflected in the quantity, quality, and impact of scholarly activities and products
And more definitions!

**Indicators**
- The categories of scholarly products and activities used to evaluate performance of the faculty
  - E.g., peer-reviewed articles, juried exhibitions, published monographs, teaching evaluations, etc.

**Review Period**
- The period of performance to be considered for review
- Retention and tenure: first day of employment → dossier deadline
- Promotion: end of previous review period → dossier deadline
Table Discussion

What questions do you have about standards and definitions?
Agenda

- Resources and Guiding Documents
- Review Process Overview
- Standards and Definitions
- Candidate Rights and Responsibilities
- The Dossier
- Final Notes
Candidates’ Responsibilities

a) Be familiar with RTP requirements
b) Attend training
c) If early review, notify primary review administration by deadline
d) If tenure or promotion, submit external review materials by deadline:
   ◦ Your full CV
   ◦ Scholarship statement
   ◦ Selected works and other evidence that best represents your scholarship during the review period
   ◦ Other items as required by Role and Scope documents
Candidates’ Responsibilities, cont.

e) Prepare and submit dossier by deadline. To include (min.):
   ◦ Cover sheet (download from Provost website)
   ◦ Full CV
   ◦ Personal statement
   ◦ Separate self-evaluations of teaching, scholarship, service and integration
      ◦ Summary of activities, work products, accomplishments, recognition by year over review period
      ◦ Demonstrate that you meet the standards
      ◦ Scholarly products published or accepted for publication during review period (tenure and promotion)
   ◦ Other materials as required by Role and Scope documents

f) Accept negative result caused by incomplete submission

g) Meet deadlines
   ◦ Not submitting by deadline = no review and denial of retention/tenure
Candidates’ Responsibilities, cont.

h) May not solicit external or internal letters

i) Promptly report potential conflicts of interest of review committees

j) Respond to requests for more materials or information within 5 days

k) Notify department head of any unavailability while dossier is under review
   ◦ Extensions for extenuating circumstances only; submit to chair of URTPC
Candidates’ Rights

l) You may submit a written request to correct factual errors in evaluation letters
   ◦ Submit to chair of URTPC; cannot add, alter or remove materials after deadline unless requested

m) If you receive a negative recommendation (or tie vote) at any level of review, you may submit a response addressing it
   ◦ Submit to the entity that issued the letter within 5 days of receipt
   ◦ Address only issues raised in the letter
   ◦ Letter will be added to dossier

n) If you receive a negative recommendation from Provost, you can pursue a formal grievance
Agenda
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The Dossier

Primary Review Unit

Primary Review Unit
Table Discussion

Tips on writing your personal statement

What to include in your:

- Teaching section
- Scholarship section
- Service section
- Integration section
Dossier Tips

Full CV:
- When in doubt, include it!
- Use reverse chronological order – makes finding what applies to review period easier

Personal Statement: paint a holistic picture

Self-evaluation Narratives:
- Demonstrate how you have met the standards outlined in the Role and Scope

Provide supporting evidence

Make it easy for reviewer to say, “Yes!”
Final Thoughts

The RTP review provides an opportunity to reflect on your journey:
- what you have been doing
- why you have been doing it
- what you have achieved

MSU’s multi-layer process ensures fair and substantive assessment

Bear in mind that reviewers will base their assessments on the materials that you provide to make your case

Note also that many of the reviewers will not be familiar with your discipline
- Make a compelling case!