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Learning Objectives

In completing this training, participants will be able to:

1. Outline MSU’s Retention, Tenure and Promotion (RTP) process
2. Fulfill their responsibilities in the RTP process
Agenda

- Resources and Guiding Documents
- Review Process Overview
- Standards and Definitions
- Reviewer Responsibilities
- The Dossier
- Final Notes
- Bias Literacy Training


Resources

https://www.montana.edu/provost/
This is where performance indicators and expectations are defined.
Can be quantitative and qualitative.
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Retention Review Process

- **Dossier**
  - Internal Reviews
  - Review Materials
- **Primary Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator
- **Intermediate Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator
- **University**
  - URTPC
  - Provost
  - President
- **Board of Regents**

Tenure & Promotion Review Processes

- **External Review**
  - Review Materials
  - External Reviews

- **Dossier**
  - Remaining Review Materials
  - Internal Reviews

- **Primary Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator

- **Intermediate Unit**
  - Committee
  - Administrator

- **University**
  - URTPC
  - Provost
  - President

- **Board of Regents**

**Review Timeline, AY22-23**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Retention</th>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Promotion only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dossier Deadline</td>
<td>September 12</td>
<td>October 3</td>
<td>October 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Review Committee</td>
<td>October 17</td>
<td>October 31</td>
<td>November 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Administrator</td>
<td>October 31</td>
<td>November 14</td>
<td>December 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Committee</td>
<td>November 14</td>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>February 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Administrator</td>
<td>December 5</td>
<td>February 6</td>
<td>February 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URTPC</td>
<td>February 6</td>
<td>February 27</td>
<td>March 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provost</td>
<td>April 3</td>
<td>April 3</td>
<td>April 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>April 17</td>
<td>April 17</td>
<td>April 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
University Standards for Retention

The University standards for the retention of probationary faculty members are:

- **effectiveness** in teaching, scholarship, and service during the review period, and
- **integration** of no less than two of the following during the review period: teaching, scholarship, and service, and
- satisfactory **progress** towards meeting the standards for tenure by the candidate’s tenure review year.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect on the first day of employment (or more recent)

See Faculty Handbook
University Standards for Tenure

The University standards for the award of tenure are:
- sustained effectiveness in teaching and service;
- integration of no less than two of teaching, scholarship, and service; and
- accomplishment in scholarship
As demonstrated by the candidate's performance during the review period.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect on the first day of employment (or more recent)

See Faculty Handbook

University Standards for Promotion to Rank of Associate Professor

Same as the standards for the award of tenure.

See Faculty Handbook
University Standards for Promotion to Rank of Professor

The University standards for promotion to the rank of Professor are:

◦ **sustained effectiveness** in teaching and service;
◦ **integration** of no less than two of teaching, scholarship, and service; and
◦ **excellence** in scholarship

As demonstrated by the candidate’s performance during the review period.

Reviewed under the Role and Scope documents in effect two years prior to deadline of notification of intent to apply for promotion (or more recent)

---

Definitions

**Areas of Responsibility**

◦ Teaching, scholarship, service

**Teaching**

◦ Course design, development and delivery
◦ Improvements to courses
◦ Incorporating best practices, new technology
◦ Curriculum design and development
◦ Graduate student mentoring
◦ Academic advising
◦ Graduate student committees
◦ “Contributions to the...instructional enterprise”
Definitions, cont.

Scholarship
- Discovery, application or assimilation of new knowledge + dissemination
  - Grant writing; writing research-based articles, book and other works; conference presentations, etc.
- Generation of new knowledge in pedagogy + dissemination
  - Developing, studying pedagogical innovations; curricular/pedagogical studies; innovation in community engagement
- Generation of new creative products and experiences
  - Composition, production, direction, performance, exhibition of creative works of art, film, theater, music, architecture
- Creation of partnerships, programs and plans through Extension
  - Leverage knowledge and resources of the university and the public/private sector to enhance learning, discovery, and engagement
  - Educate and engage citizens, and strengthen communities
  - Address locally identified issues and problems
  - Apply and disseminate knowledge, and contribute to the public good.

Definitions, cont.

Service
- Contribution of faculty knowledge and expertise to assist and engage individuals and/or organizations to meet goals and solve problems.
- Professional service (e.g., involvement in professional society)
- Public service (e.g., engagement with local community)
- University service (e.g., serving on committees, advising student groups)
More definitions...

**Effectiveness**
- Successful performance, appropriate to years of service

**Accomplishment**
- Sustained and commendable performance reflected in the quantity, quality and impact of scholarly activities and products

**Excellence**
- Sustained, commendable, and distinguished performance reflected in the quantity, quality, and impact of scholarly activities and products

And more definitions!

**Indicators**
- The categories of scholarly products and activities used to evaluate performance of the faculty
  - E.g., peer-reviewed articles, juried exhibitions, published monographs, teaching evaluations, etc.

**Review Period**
- The period of performance to be considered for review
- Retention and tenure: first day of employment → dossier deadline
- Promotion: end of previous review period → dossier deadline
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Review Committee Membership

Only tenured faculty members
◦ Ideally, at least half full professors. No emeritus faculty members.

Required to attend orientation and bias literacy training

No one whose dossier is under review in that cycle

Conflicts of Interest:
◦ Personal, business or professional relationship that could be perceived to preclude objective evaluation
◦ Could realize personal, financial, professional or other gain or loss
◦ You must declare potential COI before any deliberation of that candidate
◦ Candidates may disclose potential COI to Provost
Conduct of Review

a) Were preceding reviews conducted in a substantive manner and according to policies and procedures?
   ◦ If no, return dossier to the appropriate level of review to remedy
   ◦ Once remedied, dossier will be reconsidered by each subsequent review level

b) Conduct independent, substantive review of the dossier
   ◦ Assess quantity, quality and significance of the candidate’s work.
   ◦ Does candidate meet the appropriate standards defined in Role and Scope?

c) Write an evaluation letter for each candidate
   ◦ Include recommendation, rationale and vote tally
   ◦ Evidence that supports recommendation and reasons for any negative votes
   ◦ Add letter to dossier (Folder 1) and send copy to candidate.

Tips for writing your letters

Address it to the next level of review

Include:
   ◦ Statement about how prior reviews were conducted
   ◦ Clear recommendation with vote tally
   ◦ Rationale with specific data

Address all criteria and avoid extraneous information. Use same terminology as in Role and Scope / Faculty Handbook

Stick to the dossier

Pay attention to the review period

Use gender-neutral language (e.g., “the candidate,” “Asst. Prof. Smith”)
Conduct of Review, cont.

d) Ensure references to external and internal letters are accurate.
   ◦ All evaluation letters, including yours

e) If you would like additional clarification or documentation:
   ◦ Submit in writing to candidate, prior review committee or review administrator; candidates have 5 days to respond
   ◦ Add written request and response to dossier (Folder 1)
   ◦ If required, re-evaluation at all levels of review

f) Only committee members may be present during committee deliberation and voting

Conduct of Review, cont.

g) Notify the next level of review when review is complete
   ◦ If candidate is allowed to respond, next review must wait until after the deadline for response

h) Record vote on Vote Tally Sheet in the dossier

i) If you will not meet published deadline for good cause, notify the candidate along with the reasons why
Confidentiality

All reviews of faculty are confidential personnel matters
- Every person participating in the review process will keep all matters in confidence, during and after the review process.

Candidates, reviewers, administrators and faculty may not approach committee members, students, staff or reviewers

No information about the substance of the review may be communicated to those ineligible to participate in the decision

Faculty members recommended for retention, tenure and/or promotion will be announced by the Provost

Suspected Legal/Ethical Violations

If you suspect legal or ethical violations by any candidate or participant in the review process, you are required to report it to the Provost.
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The Dossier

Template Dossier

Name:

01 Review Documents
02 External Review Letters
03 Internal Reviews Letter...
04 Assignment Performance...
05 Curriculum Vitae
06 Personal Statement

07 Integration Narrative
08 Teaching Narrative and...
09 Scholarship Narrative and...
10 Service Narrative and ...
11 Professional Development
12 Appendix

Candidate Cover Sheet.d...
COARS2019.pdf
ConfidentialityNotice.pdf
DAEERS07012019.pdf
votetallysheet.docx
Dossier Contents

See “Read Me” files for instructions
◦ Please leave the Read Me files for the next set of reviewers!

Folder 01: Review Documents
◦ Evaluation letters
◦ Requests for information from candidate, other reviewers
◦ Responses to requests for information
◦ Follow file naming convention, please!

Dossier contents, cont.

Folder 02: External Review Letters (tenure and promotion only)
◦ Reviewer selection process and review solicitation letter
◦ Review letters from external reviewers (min. 4)
◦ Bio-sketches of reviewers

Folder 03: Internal Review Letters (only if req’d or allowed by R&S)
◦ Reviewer selection process
◦ Review letters
◦ Teaching observations
Dossier contents, cont

Folder 04: Assignment Performance
- Candidate’s letter of hire
- Annual reviews from the review period
- Evaluation letters from prior MSU reviews (tenure and promotion only)

Final Thoughts

MSU’s multi-layer process ensures fair and substantive assessment

Bear in mind that reviews shall be:
- Limited to materials included in the dossier
- Concerned with work conducted during the review period
- Based on the criteria, indicators and standards in the candidate’s unit’s Role and Scope document

Your careful and conscientious work is vital

Thank you for your service!
Bias Literacy Training

[Link to Bias Literacy Training](https://montana.techsmithrelay.com/3TUB)